#### In the Matter Of:

#### UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

NO. 1:16-cv-03088-ELR

#### CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D.



1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA		
2	ATLANTA DIVISION		
3			
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )CIVIL ACTION		
5	Plaintiff, )NO. 1:16-cv-03088-ELR		
6	vs. )		
7	STATE OF GEORGIA, )		
8	Defendants. )		
9	)		
10			
11	VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF		
12	CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, Ph.D.		
13			
14	Thursday, December 1, 2022, 9:14 a.m., EST		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19	HELD AT:		
20	Parker Poe		
21	1075 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 1500 Atlanta, Georgia 30309		
22			
23	WANDA I DODINGON CDD CCD No D 1072		
24	WANDA L. ROBINSON, CRR, CCR, No. B-1973 Certified Shorthand Reporter/Notary Public		
25			



1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2	
3	Appearing on Behalf of the Plaintiff:
4	WHILLY GARDNER EGOLIER
5	KELLY GARDNER, ESQUIRE CLAIRE CHEVRIER, ESQUIRE
6	U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division
7	950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20579
8	T: 202.305.6630 E-mail: kelly.gardner@usdoj.gov
9	claire.cherier@usdoj.gov
10	
11	Appearing on Behalf of the Defendant:
12	MELANTE TOUNGON EGOLITBE
13	MELANIE JOHNSON, ESQUIRE DANIELLE HERNANDEZ, ESQUIRE
14	ANNA EDMONDSON, ESQUIRE (ZOOM) Robbins Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC 500 14th Street, N.W.
15	Atlanta, Georgia 30318 T: 404.856.3261
16	E-mail: mjohnson@robbinsfirm.com  dhernandez@robbinsfirm.com
17	aedmondson@robbinsfirm.com
18	
19	Appearing on Behalf of the Witness:
20	BETH F. MORRIS, ESQUIRE
21	Parker Poe 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 1500
22	Atlanta, Georgia 30309 T: 470.240.3466 F: 404.869.6972
23	E-mail: bethmorris@parkerpoe.com
24	
25	



1	
2	ALSO PRESENT VIA ZOOM:
3	U.S. Attorney's Office:
4	FRANCES COHEN, ESQUIRE
5	RENEE WOHLENHAUSE, ESQUIRE
6	VICTORIA LILL, ESQUIRE
7	ANDREA HAMILTON, ESQUIRE
8	LAURA CASSIDY TAYLOE, ESQUIRE
9	CRYSTAL ADAMS, ESQUIRE
10	
11	
12	STACEY SUBER-DRAKE, ESQUIRE
13	CHANTEL MULLEN, ESQUIRE
14	Georgia Department of Education
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ALSO PRESENT:
22	MAYA CARTER, Videographer
23	
24	
25	



# CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

1		INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS	
2			
3	CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, Ph.D.		
4	By Ms. Gardn	er Page 9	
5			
6			
7		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
8	PLAINTIFF'S		
9	NO.	DESCRIPTION PAGE	
10	Exhibit 626	Subpoena To Testify At A Deposition 15 Cassandra Holifield	
11 12	Exhibit 627	Cassandra Allen Holifield, Ph.D. 23 Resume	
13	Exhibit 628	North Metro GNEGS Program Brochure 62 Updated August 2020	
14 15	Exhibit 629	4/9/2016 Email Thread from Cassandra 82 Holifield To Nakeba Rahming GA00041282 - GA00041284	
16 17 18	Exhibit 630	7/22/2016 Email Thread From 93 Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba Rahming With Electronic Attachment GA00041656 - GA00041657	
19	Exhibit 631	North Metro GNETS FY22 Grant 104 Application	
20	Exhibit 632	3/29/2019 Email Thread From 123 Cassandra Holifield To Vickie Cleveland	
22		GA00343281 - GA00343282	
23	Exhibit 633	FY 22 GNETS Employment Status 139	
24			
25			



1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued)
2	PLAINTIFF'S	
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION PAGE
4	Exhibit 634	5/9/2018 Email Thread From 148 Cassandra Holifield To Vickie
5		Cleveland With Attached Word Document GA00321183 - GA00321187
6		GA00321163 - GA00321167
7	Exhibit 635	10/7/2016 Email Thread From 158 Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba Rahming
8		GA00065489
9	Exhibit 636	8/2/2017 Email Thread From 160 Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba Rahming
10		With Attached Word Document GA00791440 - GA00791442
11	Exhibit 637	9/18/2017 Email From Nakeba 164
12		Rahming To Cassandra Holifield With Attached Word Document
13		GA00793645 - GA00793646
14 15	Exhibit 638	9/19/2017 Email Thread From 171 Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba Rahming GA00793696
16	Exhibit 639	12/1/2016 Email Thread From 175
17		Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba Rahming GA00042647
18	Exhibit 640	12/8/2016 Email Thread From 182
19		Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba Rahming With Attached Word Document
20	Deshibit CAI	GA00042977 - GA00042987
21	Exhibit 641	North Metro GNETS Student Tiers of 192 Support in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)
22		North Metro_Temp_005047
23	Exhibit 642	2/9/2016 Email Thread From 202 Cassandra Holifield to Nakeba
24		Rahming and Recipients With Attached Strategic Plan
25		GA00040621 - GA00040626
	1	



1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued)
2	PLAINTIFF'S	
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION PAGE
4	Exhibit 643	2/5/2016 Email From Cassandra 207 Holifield To Nakeba Rahming
5		With Attachment GA00062065 - GA00062070
6	Evhihit 644	GNETS North Metro GNETS BOY 208
7	EXHIBIC 044	Strategic Plan Rating Rubric 08-17-21
8		North Metro_Temp_005656
9	Exhibit 645	4/26/2018 Email Thread From 224 Cassandra Holifield To Vickie
10		Cleveland GA00319999 - GA00320000
11	Exhibit 646	2/19/2019 Email Thread From 227
12		Cassandra Holifield To Vickie Cleveland
13		GA00094762 - GA00094765
14 15	Exhibit 647	8/9/2016 Email Thread From 236 Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba Rahming GA00063361 - GA00063362
16	Exhibit 648	7/26/2016 Email Thread From 238
17		Cassandra Holifield To Recipients GA00063211 - GA00063213
18	Exhibit 649	5/17/2016 Email Thread From 243 Cassandra Holifield To Vickie
19		Cleveland GA00345934
20	Evhihit 650	3/4/2016 Email Thread From 244
21	EXIIIDIC 050	Cassandra Holifield To Vickie Cleveland
22		GA00040927 - GA00040928
23	Exhibit 651	3/16/2016 Email Thread From 247 Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba
24		Rahming GA00041055 - GA00041057
25		



r			
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued)	
2	PLAINTIFF'S		
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION PAGE	3
4	Exhibit 652	3/30/2016 Email From Cassandra 250 Holifield To Nakeba Rahming	)
5		GA00041160 - GA00041161	
6	Exhibit 653	3/31/2016 Email Thread From 255 Cassandra Holifield To Recipients	5
7		GA00041177 - GA00041178	
8	Exhibit 654	1/14/2018 Email Thread From 256 Cassandra Holifield To Lisa Futch	5
9		and Recipients GA00015236	
10	Exhibit 655	1/31/2018 Email Thread From 261	L
11		Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba Rahming and Recipients	
12		With Attachment GA00015983 - GA00016052	
13	Exhibit 656	10/27/2017 Email Thread From 263	3
14		Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba Rahming With Attachments	
15	D 1 11 11 650	GA00132302 - GA00132322	
16	Exhibit 657	7/6/2020 Email Thread From 270 Cassandra Holifield To Zelphine	,
17		Smith-Dixon and Recipients With Attachments GA02326483 - GA02326486	
18			
19	EXNIDIT 658	3/12/2017 Email Thread From 273 Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba Rahming	5
20	Deskibit CEO	GA00784542	_
21	EXHIBIC 659	6/7/2019 Email Thread From 276 Cassandra Holifield To Vickie Cleveland	,
22		GA00347596 - GA00347600	
23	Exhibit 660	2/14/2018 Email Thread From 281	-
24		Cassandra Holifield To Rahming, Cleveland	
25		GA00201024 - GA00201026	



1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued)
2	PLAINTIFF'S	
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION PAGE
4	Exhibit 661	6/7/2019 Email Thread From 287 Cassandra Holifield To Vickie
5		Cleveland GA00319313 - GA00319314
6		G110 03 1 3 3 1 1
7	Exhibit 662	12/11/2019 Email Thread From 290 Cassandra Holifield To Vickie
8		Cleveland GA00356478 - GA00356481
9	Exhibit 663	5/24/2018 Email Thread From 293
10		Cassanddra Holifield To Keller and Recipients
11		GA00322900 - GA00322902
12	Exhibit 664	GNETS Documentation of Services 298 FY 22 North Metro GNETS Program
13	Exhibit 665	Spreadsheets 301 North Metro_Temp_002628
15	Exhibit 666	9/26/2018 Email From Cassandra 305 Holifield To Vickie Cleveland
16		With Attached Spreadsheet GA00333801 - GA00333802
17	Exhibit 667	2/16/2016 Email Thread From 312
18		Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba Rahming GA05058857 - GA05058858
19	Exhibit 668	4/8/2016 Email Thread From 312
20		Cassandra Holifield To Nakeba Rahming GA05058891
21	Exhibit 669	2/3/2016 Email Thread From 312
22		Cassandra Holifield To Lisa Futch and Recipients
23	Exhibit 670	GA05087765 11/14/2018 Email From Vickie 327
24		To Recipients With Attached Spreadsheets
25		GA00336618
l		



1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is
2	December 1st, 2022, and the time is 9:14 a.m.
3	This will be the videotape deposition of
4	Dr. Cassandra Holifield in the matter of the
5	United States of America versus the State of
6	Georgia, taken at 1705 Peachtree Street,
7	Northeast, Suite 1500, in Atlanta, Georgia.
8	Would counsel please identify themselves
9	for the record.
10	MS. GARDNER: Kelly Gardner for the United
11	States.
12	MS. COHEN: Claire Chevrier for the United
13	States.
14	MS. MORRIS: Beth Morris on behalf of
15	North Metro RESA.
16	MS. JOHNSON: Melanie Johnson for the
17	State of Georgia.
18	
19	CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, Ph.D.,
20	being duly sworn, was examined and testified as
21	follows:
22	
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. GARDNER:
25	Q Good morning, Dr. Holifield.



1	А	Good morning.
2	Q	Him are you?
3	А	Good.
4	Q	My name is Kelly Gardner and I represent
5	the Unite	ed States. I'm going to be taking your
6	deposition	on today.
7		Would you please state your full name for
8	the reco	rd.
9	А	Dr. Cassandra Allen Holifield.
10	Q	Dr. Holifield, have you ever been deposed
11	before?	
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	How many times have you been deposed?
14	А	Too many. I don't know. Three, four. I
15	don't kno	OW.
16	Q	When was your most recent deposition?
17	А	I think a couple of months ago.
18	Q	Okay. And what was that deposition taken
19	in connec	ction with?
20	А	The State of Georgia lawsuit against
21	GNETS.	
22	Q	The GNETS litigation?
23	А	The GNETS.
24	Q	And to be clear, that deposition was not
25	taken by	the United States; is that right?



1	A No. I think it was the State of Georgia,
2	GAO.
3	Q Do you understand GAO to be the Georgia
4	Advocacy Office?
5	A Yes.
6	Q So that deposition was taken by GAO?
7	A Yes.
8	Q The other depositions that you have been a
9	part of, generally what's been the nature or subject
10	matter of those litigations?
11	A GNETS.
12	Q GNETS. Am I correct that you are being
13	represented today by Ms. Morris, for purposes of
14	your deposition?
15	A Yes.
16	Q I'm sure that Ms. Morris has explained
17	much of this to you, but today you and I are
18	basically going to have a conversation. I'm going
19	to ask the questions and your only job is to answer
20	them honestly and completely.
21	Do you understand that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q The court reporter has sworn you in. That
24	means that everything you say here today is under
25	oath and must be truthful. Do you understand that?



1	A	Yes.

Q The court reporter is going to write down what you and I say in order to create a transcript of our conversation. She can't record a nod or a shake of your head. So in order to make her job easier, I'm going to ask that you speak clearly and that you give oral answers.

Can we agree on that?

A Yes.

Q The other thing that you and I are going to need to do is try to avoid talking over one another. I'm going to do my best not to interrupt you when you're answering, and I'm going to ask you to do your best to let me finish my question before you start to answer. Okay?

A Yes.

Q If at any point you don't understand a question that I ask, you should feel free to stop me and ask me to clarify. I'm happy to try to reframe the question. Okay?

A Yes.

Q If you need a break at any time, just let me know or tell your attorney. If you're in the middle of answering a question, I'll ask that you finish that question and then we'll see what we can



1	do about a break. Okay?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Sometimes it may happen that you give an	
4	answer as completely as you can, and then later on,	
5	maybe five minutes, an hour later, you may remember	
6	some additional information in response to that	
7	question. If that happens, just let me know that	
8	you would like to add something to an earlier	
9	question and we'll give you an opportunity to do	
10	that. Okay?	
11	A Okay.	
12	Q Is there any reason you can think of why	
13	you will not be able to answer my questions	
14	completely and truthfully today?	
15	A No.	
16	Q Do you have any questions for me before we	
17	proceed?	
18	A No.	
19	Q Okay.	
20	MS. GARDNER: Beth, we have typically	
21	agreed with the State of Georgia in these	
22	depositions that all objections except as to	
23	form and privilege are reserved until trial.	
24	Is that okay with you?	
25	MS. MORRIS: That's agreeable.	



1	MS. GARDNER: Okay.	
2	BY MS. GARDNER:	
3	Q Dr. Holifield, did you do anything to	
4	prepare for today's deposition?	
5	A I just do my job.	
6	Q Okay. Did you meet with counsel?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q How long did you meet with counsel for?	
9	A 15 minutes.	
10	Q Did you meet with anyone other than	
11	counsel in preparation for today's deposition?	
12	A No.	
13	Q Have you talked to anyone other than	
14	counsel about today's deposition?	
15	A No.	
16	Q Did you review any documents in	
17	preparation for today's deposition?	
18	A No.	
19	Q Have you talked with any other GNETS	
20	depositions GNETS directors about your deposition	
21	or theirs?	
22	A No.	
23	Q Have you reviewed any other deposition	
24	transcripts in this matter?	
25	A No.	



1	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-626 was		
2	marked for identification.)		
3	BY MS. GARDNER:		
4	Q Dr. Holifield, I'm going to hand to you		
5	what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 626.		
6	This is a subpoena to testify at a		
7	deposition in a civil action, and the subpoena is		
8	directed to Cassandra Holifield.		
9	That's you, correct?		
10	A Yes.		
11	Q Have you seen this document before?		
12	A Yes.		
13	Q And when were you provided with this		
14	document?		
15	A It says December 1st.		
16	Q That's today's date?		
17	A I don't recall.		
18	Q But you have seen this document before?		
19	A Yes.		
20	Q The top of the document has the case name		
21	United States versus Georgia. Do you see that?		
22	A Yes.		
23	Q Do you understand that this deposition is		
24	being taken in connection with litigation against		
25	the State of Georgia?		



1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And do you understand that the litigation
3	relates to	o the Georgia Network for Educational and
4	Therapeut	ic Supports program?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Are you aware that that program is more
7	commonly :	referred to as the GNETS program?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	So if I use the term "GNETS," you will
10	understan	d that to mean the Georgia Network for
11	Education	and Therapeutic Support program?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	When did you first learn of this
14	litigation	n?
15	A	I started the job in 2012 and it was six
16	months af	ter that, so.
17	Q	Okay.
18	A	Yeah.
19	Q	And how did you learn of this litigation?
20	A	I think we had a meeting with the DOE and
21	they shared the information with us.	
22	Q	And when you say "we had a meeting," who
23	is "we"?	
24	A	The Georgia network the directors for
25	GNETS.	



1	Q So all of the GNETS directors had a	
2	meeting with the Georgia Department of Education	
3	about this litigation?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Who from the Georgia Department of	
6	Education was present at that meeting?	
7	A I don't recall. That's 10 years ago. I	
8	don't recall.	
9	Q Okay. Was would Nakeba Rahming have	
10	been at that meeting?	
11	A I don't think she had started at that	
12	time.	
13	Q And what was what was discussed at that	
14	meeting?	
15	A Just the lawsuit and they shared the	
16	document that I guess was shared with the DOE. So	
17	they gave us an overview of what the letter said.	
18	Q Okay. And in terms of your understanding	
19	after that meeting, what did you understand the	
20	litigation to be about?	
21	A GNETS and equity issues.	
22	Q And when you say "equity issues," what do	
23	you mean by that?	
24	A I think based on the paperwork, there were	
25	some concerns that GNETS kids didn't have access.	



1	Q	And when you say "access," access to what?
2	А	Some of the same things as typical peers.
3	Q	Okay. Is there anything else that you
4	understand	d about the nature of this lawsuit that you
5	haven't to	old me about yet?
6	А	Not no, not that I can think of right
7	now.	
8	Q	In the course of this lawsuit did the
9	North Meta	ro GNETS program receive a subpoena from
10	the United	d States requesting that it provide certain
11	documents	about its regional GNETS program?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Were you involved in responding to that
14	subpoena?	
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	What role did you play?
17	А	Gathering the documents.
18	Q	So I take it that you're familiar with the
19	documents	that were collected and produced in
20	response t	to that subpoena?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	When did you first become acquainted with
23	the GNETS	program?
24	А	Again, I think I started in 2012. So I
25	worked at	the DOE before that as a state consultant,



1	so I knew of GNETS, but my role in the GNETS	
2	specifically was in 2012.	
3	Q So in 2012, you first became sort of	
4	personally involved with GNETS?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q But it sounds like you worked at the	
7	Georgia Department of Education previously, so you	
8	were generally familiar with GNETS as a program?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q What is the GNETS program?	
11	A Georgia Network for Educational and	
12	Therapeutic Supports is a part of the full continuum	
13	of services with students with disability, part of	
14	IDEA, where we provide academic behavior on	
15	therapeutic support services to kids who aren't able	
16	to get everything that they need in a traditional	
17	program.	
18	Q When you say kids who aren't able to get	
19	everything they need in a traditional program, is	
20	there a particular target population of students for	
21	GNETS?	
22	A There's no target, it's a referral process	
23	from the IEP team.	
24	Q How is the overall network structured?	
25	A Can you clarify what you are asking?	



1	Q I'm just trying to understand like from a
2	statewide prospective, it's called the Georgia
3	Network for Educational and Therapeutic Supports
4	program, so I'm just wondering what is the network
5	and what does that look like, how is it structured?
6	A There are 24 programs across the State of
7	Georgia. My understanding is they're all about 50
8	miles away from each other. So we all serve
9	students within the State of Georgia who need more
10	therapeutic and mental health and behavioral
11	supports.
12	Q Okay. And those 24 programs, are those
13	referred to as regional GNETS programs?
14	A I'm not really sure.
15	Q Okay.
16	A Okay. Sorry.
17	Q The 24 programs, do they, in terms of the
18	students that they serve, is it sort of organized by
19	geography?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay.
22	A Yes.
23	Q I may be using some acronyms today for
24	brevity, so I want to go through a few of them to
25	make sure you and I are on the same page. Okay?



1	A	Okay.
2	Q	If I use the term "Georgia DOE," will you
3	understan	d that to be the Georgia Department of
4	Education	?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	If I use the term "LEA," will you
7	understan	d that to be local education agency?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	If I use the term "RESA," will you
LO	understan	d that to be Regional Educational Service
L1	Agency?	
L2	А	Yes.
L3	Q	If I use the term "GNETS centers," will
L4	you under	stand that to mean standalone GNETS
L5	locations	?
L6	A	Yes.
L7	Q	If I use the term "GNETS school-based
L8	locations	," will you understand that to mean GNETS
L9	locations	that are based in general education
20	settings?	
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	If I use the term "PBIS," will you
23	understan	d that to be positive behavioral
24	intervent	ions and supports?
25	А	Yes.



1	Q If I use the term "EBD," will you	
2	understand that to mean emotional and behavioral	
3	disabilities?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q And if I use the term "general education	
6	settings," will you understand that to mean public	
7	schools in Georgia where children with EBD and other	
8	behavioral health conditions receive instruction and	
9	services alongside children who do not have	
10	disabilities?	
11	A Can you repeat that again because I heard	
12	part of it?	
13	Q Why don't you tell why don't you tell	
14	me this, what do you understand to be a general	
15	education setting?	
16	A A general education setting is a	
17	traditional K-12 school setting where both students	
18	with disabilities and students without disabilities	
19	receive academic supports.	
20	Q Okay. So if I use the term "general	
21	education settings," we will understand that to be	
22	what you have just said.	
23	A Okay.	
24	Q Okay.	
25	MS. GARDNER: I'm going to ask the court	



1	reporter to please mark this document as		
2	Plaintiff's Exhibit 627.		
3		(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-627 was	
4	mar}	ked for identification.)	
5	BY MS. GAR	RDNER:	
6	Q	Dr. Holifield, you have been handed what's	
7	been marke	ed as Plaintiff's Exhibit 627. Do you	
8	recognize	this document?	
9	A	Yes.	
10	Q	And what is this?	
11	A	My resume.	
12	Q	This is a current version of your resume?	
13	A	Yes.	
14	Q	And you are currently the director of the	
15	North Metro GNETS program, correct?		
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	How long have you been director at North	
18	Metro GNET	rs?	
19	A	10 years.	
20	Q	And am I correct that you began your	
21	tenure as	director in 2012?	
22	A	Yes.	
23	Q	Do you hold any educational degrees?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	And what are those degrees?	



1	A	I have my doctorate in special education,
2	autism, be	ehavioral disorders, specific learning
3	disabiliti	les, and also my master's in the same.
4		I also have my bachelor's is in
5	psychology	7.
6		I have also a leadership degree as well,
7	and other	certifications.
8	Q	Okay. Where did you obtain your
9	bachelor's	degree?
10	A	University of Tennessee Chattanooga.
11	Q	I'm sorry, did you say Chattanooga?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. And what about the master's degree?
14	A	Georgia State University.
15	Q	And your doctorate degree?
16	A	Georgia State University.
17	Q	I believe you said you had a leadership
18	endorsemer	nt as well?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Where?
21	A	West Georgia.
22	Q	West Georgia, okay.
23		Do you hold any other professional
24	licenses a	apart from your educational degrees?
25	A	I have a registered behavioral technician



1	certification, which is under the BACB, the
2	Behavioral Certification Board.
3	Q Okay. When did you and is that
4	referred to sometimes as RBT?
5	A Yes.
6	Q When did you obtain that?
7	A Maybe about three or four years ago. I
8	can look on here and see but
9	Q Okay. Three or four years ago.
10	Do you hold any other credentials that are
11	relevant to your work as a director of a regional
12	GNETS program?
13	A I have a certification in all of the
14	content areas, ELA, math, science, reading, and I
15	also have online teaching endorsement degree.
16	Q Anything else?
17	A Not that I can recall.
18	Q To whom do you report directly as director
19	of the North Metro GNETS program?
20	A Dr. Leigh Ann Putman is my RESA director.
21	Q And you said is it Ms. Putman?
22	A Putman, yes.
23	Q Is her her title is director of RESA?
24	A Executive director of Metro RESA.
25	Q Executive Director of Metro RESA.



1	Does anyone report to you?
2	A Yes.
3	Q How many people report to you?
4	A Leadership or teachers? My direct
5	reports, I have eight coordinators that report to
6	me, and then the teachers and the paras also fall
7	under my leadership, but my coordinators provide the
8	direct supervision for them as well.
9	Q So you have eight direct reports?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And those are your coordinators of North
12	Metro GNETS site locations?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And then there would be additional
15	teachers and paraprofessionals who report to each of
16	those eight coordinators?
17	A Yes, along with me, and I have school
18	psychologists, social workers as well.
19	Q The school psychologists and the social
20	workers, do they report to your coordinators or do
21	they report directly to you?
22	A Both. They have direct contact with the
23	coordinators and the schools they serve, and then I
24	oversee that.
25	Q Okay. And how many social workers is



1	that?								
2	A Right now I have five.								
3	Q And how many psychologists?								
4	A Right now I have three.								
5	Q Does anyone report to you who is not a								
6	part of the staff of North Metro GNETS program?								
7	A No.								
8	Q What are your duties as the director of								
9	the North Metro GNETS program?								
10	A To oversee the program, to make sure that								
11	all students that are in our receiving services								
12	in our program get the services that are in their								
13	IEP, and to make sure that they're implemented with								
14	fidelity.								
15	Q And when you say to make sure they're								
16	implemented with fidelity, how do you do that?								
17	A I inspect what I expect. We go through								
18	IEP meetings.								
19	I'm sorry.								
20	I go to IEP meetings and we monitor IEPs.								
21	We look at progress data and we just make sure that								
22	if there are any adjustments or different								
23	interventions that need to be implemented, we as a								
24	team go in and make those adjustments as necessary.								
25	Q When you say "we monitor IEPs," how do you								



monitor	IEPs?
	~ ~ .

A Well, data is collected, first of all, for academic and behavioral goals, and then we collect progress monitoring data on that throughout the year to make sure kids are making progress.

- Q And what kind of data is collected on academic and behavioral goals?
  - A It depends on the actual goal.
- Q Okay. Is there some system or form on which you collect that data?
  - A A form?
- Q I'm just wondering, I understand that the data for any particular student may be different, but is there some common system or set of forms or way that you collect that data for students generally?
- A Well, I mean we use Catalyst as one of the programs that we use with our students with autism.

  But we also use ABA data collection, applied behavior analysis, using spreadsheets.
- Q Okay. And when you say you also look at progress data, would that progress data fall into the same categories as what you've just listed, Catalyst, ABA, data collection? Are those the sorts of things that you would look at along the way for



1	progress								
2	A Yes.								
3	Q monitoring?								
4	A Yes. And that's mostly behavioral. We								
5	look at different academic data.								
6	Q Okay. And in terms of the academic data								
7	you look at, what kind of data is that?								
8	A We work with the school system, since								
9	we're part of the school. So our different school								
10	systems, whatever they use academically, that's what								
11	we also use.								
12	Q Okay. I'd like to direct your attention								
13	to Page 2 of your resume. I just had a couple of								
14	questions about the contents in terms of the								
15	description of your role as GNETS director.								
16	You mention at the end of that section, on								
17	Page 2, that you "steered company through								
18	complicated re-organization, resulting in annual 20%								
19	reduction in operational costs."								
20	Do you see that?								
21	A Yes.								
22	Q What is the reorganization that you								
23	reference here?								
24	A Basically what happens is we look at the								
25	needs of our students and our staff population. We								



1	restructure based on the needs of that. So I now
2	have behavioral specialists that are they oversee
3	the supports in each of my respective school
4	districts.
5	So instead of they are also itinerate
6	for the different districts, but it helps us to
7	target the needs of the students directly.
8	Q So the reorganization had to do with
9	deploying behavioral specialists as sort of in the
LO	management model
L1	A Right and also the curriculum
L2	specialists as well.
L3	Q We're talking over each other. We're
L4	going to make the court reporter mad.
L5	So that is clear on the record, the
L6	reorganization had to do both with deploying
L7	behavioral specialists in the management model that
L8	North Metro GNETS employs, and you added also
L9	curriculum specialists as well?

A Yes. And also my social workers and school psychologists. So everybody has more than one school that they serve, but I try to restructure so all of my staff that's in Atlanta Public Schools, we follow their curriculum and their guidance but they understand the expectations of the school



20

21

22

23

24

25

district.

So that model where I have behavioral specialists that serve Atlanta Public Schools only, Fulton County Schools only, Atlanta Public Schools only. And so the school social worker, school psychologists, all of that are aligned with the expectations of the school districts as well.

Q So it sounds like what you did was to centralize your behavioral specialists and curriculum specialists so they are focused in a single school district and they can master --

A Yes.

Q -- that particular school district's personality, for lack of better words, but the things that that particular school system does and is aiming to do?

A Yes.

Q How did you achieve 20 percent reduction in operational costs?

A By better utilizing my staff and their expertise and everything. And so using that model, we were able to really save on costs but we were also able to better target the needs of our students.

Q You also mention in the same section, and



1	this is at the top of Page 2, that you increased
2	"employee effectiveness by 25%."
3	Do you see that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And how, how have you measured that?
6	A Staff evaluations and also we have a GNETS
7	Strategic Plan that I monitor data of how the
8	progress of our program is doing annually. It's
9	actually more than annually. We look at it over
10	at least three times a year.
11	Q Okay. So part of how you are assessing
12	employee effectiveness is based on the GNETS
13	Strategic Plan?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And then you also said you assess employee
16	effectiveness through staff evaluations?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And are those evaluations that are
19	conducted of staff performing work in the North
20	Metro GNETS program?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Who conducts those evaluations?
23	A I conduct the evaluations on all my
24	leadership levels, so coordinator level. My
25	coordinators are all evaluators as well that have



1	been certified in TKES and LKES, and they evaluate
2	the teachers and the parapros directly under them.
3	Q And you mentioned TKES and LKES. That's
4	TKES, T-K-E-S, and LKES, L-K-E-S?
5	A Yes.
6	Q What is TKES?
7	A Teacher evaluation system. And leader
8	evaluation system is the
9	Q How does that evaluation system is that
10	some sort of universal evaluation system? Where
11	does the system come from?
12	A The State of Georgia. Georgia Department
13	of Education.
14	Q Okay. Do you ever evaluate any teachers?
15	A I only evaluate teachers if there's a
16	problem. So if a teacher is underperforming, the
17	coordinators and I will bring I will come in on
18	that level, help conduct the direct observations and
19	provide support, coaching and support.
20	Q Okay. We may come back to evaluations
21	later.
22	Before serving as director of the North
23	Metro GNETS program, where did you work?
24	A I was the director of Northwest Georgia
25	Learning Resources System, GRLS.



Τ	Q Okay. Am I correct according to your									
2	resume you were the director of the Northwest									
3	Georgia Learning Resources System from 2003 to 2011?									
4	A Yes.									
5	Q Who was your actual employer when you were									
6	with the GLRS?									
7	A On Northwest Georgia RESA.									
8	Q In your capacity as director of the									
9	Northwest GLRS, did you work at all with the									
10	Northwest Georgia GNETS program?									
11	A Yes.									
12	Q And in what ways did you work with that									
13	program?									
14	A While GRLS provides professional learning									
15	and ongoing coaching and support. So whenever the									
16	directors or the coordinators are requested									
17	assistance with academic and/or behavioral support,									
18	I would go out, monitor what their needs were and do									
19	a needs assessment and then to go in and develop									
20	professional development to assist them with that.									
21	Q Okay. So it's largely providing									
22	professional development to the Northwest Georgia									
23	GNETS program?									
24	A Yes.									
25	Q Okay. In providing professional									



deve	elopme	ent,	did you	de	evelop	any	impı	ressi	ions	about
how	well	the	Northwes	зt	GNETS	prog	gram	was	opei	rating?
	А	No	_							

Q When you provided professional development, was there any process by which after delivering professional development you went in for coaching or to assess how well that professional development was being implemented?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And how did that work?

A Well, again, it depends on what the professional development was about, but basically you provide the training, you go in and then you develop the training module, you assess the situation. You go back, you provide the results of it, and you go back in and you do coaching and support individualizing what the needs are based on the observations, and then you follow up with that.

But we also Train The Trainer model. So the expectation wasn't that I was the person that was going to be the keeper of the knowledge. So I trained other people to be mastery of whatever their needs were.

Q And what kinds of -- can you give me a few examples of the kinds of training professional



development	you	provided	to	the	Northwest	Georgia
GNETS progra	am?					

A I'm sure I -- you know, it's been a long time, but one of the things that I was constantly providing training on was PBIS, Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports; writing behavior intervention plans; knowing how to collect data on FPAs and BIPs as well.

Q When you say knowing how to collect data on FBAs and BIPs, what is an FBA?

A Functional behavior assessment.

Q How is that relevant in the GNETS context?

A Basically all students that have behavioral issues based on the research, you go in and you collect data based on the challenging behaviors. So you'll be able to set a goal and expectation and an intervention plan knowing what the functions of the behaviors are so you can set up an intervention that addresses the challenging behaviors, but you also have to know what the function of the behavior is because even though behavior may look the same, the reason why a child is acting a certain way may have a different reason for that.

So that's why you do the Functional



1	Behavior Assessment first and then you develop the
2	Behavior Intervention Plan.
3	Q And what is the Behavioral Intervention
4	Plan?
5	A It depends on what the behavior is.
6	Q Broadly what is an
7	A A Behavioral Intervention Plan is a plan
8	that helps a teacher or the parapro work with the
9	student to know exactly why the child is acting a
10	certain way, and you develop an intervention based
11	on the child's needs and desires to, you know, get
12	rid of that challenging behavior, or at least lessen
13	that behavior, so it becomes more, you know,
14	normalized and as a general population.
15	Q Your resume says you also worked as a
16	school improvement specialist within Metro RESA?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And when was that?
19	A It was a very short period of time, but it
20	was around 2011 to 2012, for about a year. I guess.
21	Q And what did your role as a school
22	improvement specialist entail?
23	A As a school improvement specialist, we are
24	assigned the different schools across the metro

Atlanta area that were under Metro RESA. So I was a



special ed special education school improvement
specialist, where schools that were on the list that
needed extra support, I would go in and again I
would do a needs assessment, observations, meet with
the team to determine what their thoughts were on
some of the challenging behaviors and help them
develop and implement plans to address those
behaviors.

Q And when you say you would go in and do a needs assessment, what kinds of needs were you assessing?

A All kind -- again, it depends on the school. Some of the schools would contact us because they had academic and behavioral challenges. That was of course my area of expertise, so in general those were the types of supports that I was providing on SDI, specially designed instruction, and more behavioral related things for populations of the schools who needed me most.

Q Okay. And you mentioned that you were a special education school improvement specialist. So I take it that there are also school improvement specialists that do not specifically address special education?

A Yes.



1	Q And how are those two specialists
2	different? Like what's the distinction?
3	A I think the schools have the same issues,
4	but the person who can address those specific issues
5	has a targeted area of specialty. So even though we
6	all were school improvement specialists, I was
7	called into the schools who really had needs based
8	on their data and them going in doing to a data dig
9	that their problems at the school were related to
10	needs they have for special education.
11	Q Okay. Where did the funding to do that
12	school improvement work come from?
13	A Georgia Department of Education.
14	Q Your resume says you also worked as a
15	special education and English language learning
16	product manager for Western Governors University?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And what was what were your roles and
19	responsibilities in that position?
20	A They were revamping their Special
21	Education Department, and they needed someone with
22	my expertise to help develop the special ed
23	curriculum for Western Governors University.
24	Q So this is a special ed curriculum for

students who are studying to become special



December 01, 2022

1	education teachers?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Going further back it says that you are
4	also an education program specialist,
5	emotional/behavioral disorders, at the Georgia
6	Department of Education?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And what were your responsibilities in
9	that role?
10	A In that role I oversaw the whole State of
11	Georgia. They had program specialists at that point
12	where, you know, school districts or individual
13	schools would call and say, hey, we really see,
14	looking at our data, that we have a personal need
15	in you know, I keep going back to FBAs and BIPs,
16	or behavioral issues, and that was my area of
17	expertise.
18	They would look at their discipline data
19	and they would say, hey, I really need your help in
20	helping me to determine what we need to do, how to
21	dig in deeper to make sure that we address these
22	concerns that we have.
23	But where in GNETS I have different school
24	districts, I have four; at the DOE any school

district that needed my help would be able to call



1	in and say, hey, we really need you to come in and
2	assist us with these behavioral related issues.
3	Q So is it fair to say that that role was
4	sort of you were providing technical assistance
5	A Yes.
6	Q to the entire
7	A State.
8	Q State at the request of school
9	districts?
10	A Yes.
11	Q You mentioned when you were working at the
12	GLRS that a lot of what you were doing was
13	professional development?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Is that true of this position as well or
16	
17	A Yes.
18	Q While you were an education program
19	specialist for emotional and behavioral disorders at
20	the Georgia Department of Education, did you work
21	with any GNETS programs in that role?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And what ways did you work with GNETS
24	programs in that role?
25	A Again, the school districts and GRLS



1	and GNETS are all part of the special ed umbrella.
2	So anyone that would call to say, hey, we really
3	need your help and your eyes to look at whatever the
4	situation is, I would go in and basically do the
5	same type of technical assistance. Kind of evaluate
6	and assess and kind do interviews and all of that
7	sort of thing to develop a plan of implementation of
8	how to address that behavior-related issue.
9	Q How long you were in that role?
10	A Which role?
11	Q The education program specialist for
12	emotional and behavioral disorders at GaDOE?
13	A I think about three years.
14	Q And then finally your resume says that you
15	also previously taught students with EBD and autism
16	in Atlanta Public Schools?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And how long did you do that?
19	A About eight years. Seven or eight years.
20	Q What schools in APS did you teach at?
21	A Walter White Elementary.
22	Q Walter White?
23	A Yes.
24	Q So the entire time that
25	A The entire time I taught at Walter White



1	Elementary but I also implemented helped the
2	middle school and high school implement programs as
3	well as a chair person.
4	Q When you say the middle school and high
5	school, you're talking about the middle school and
6	high school that Walter White fit into?
7	A Just the Atlanta Public School District,
8	period.
9	Q All middle schools and high schools?
10	A Right. I worked as a chairman on one of
11	the committees there to address behavior
12	district-wide. I didn't work at the other schools,
13	though, no.
14	Q So you were on an Atlanta Public Schools
15	district-wide committee
16	A Yes.
17	Q to address behavior issues at the
18	middle school and high school level?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Are there particular initiatives you
21	worked on when you were on that committee?
22	A Working with students that were
23	transitioning from GNETS programs. They were called
24	Psycho Ed programs then.
25	Q And you say you were working with students



1	that were transitioning from GNETS programs. How
2	were you working with students who were
3	transitioning? What were you doing in particular?
4	A Helping to develop school-based programs
5	for kids that the IEP team thought were ready.
6	Q So you were helping to develop programs
7	that would help support students who had been in
8	GNETS come back into the general education setting
9	and be successful?
10	A Yes.
11	Q What kinds of supports did you propose or
12	work on introducing in that role?
13	A It's very similar to the school-based
14	GNETS programs we have right now. So to help them
15	have academic behavior and therapeutic support
16	services, but they also have like a home base where
17	they can go and get more individualized supports
18	than the typical classroom teacher could provide
19	from a special ed teacher that had the expertise and
20	the training.
21	Q So were you creating basically transition
22	classrooms in particular middle schools and high
23	schools to receive those GNETS students?
24	A Yes.

And were those classrooms staffed by GNETS



1	staff or building level staff?
2	A I don't recall then how they were staffed,
3	but I'm sure that they're very similar to the way
4	they are now, but I don't recall.
5	Q Okay. What grade levels did you teach
6	when you were at Walter White?
7	A K-3 no. K-5.
8	Q And was that like a self-contained special
9	education classroom?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Does the North Metro GNETS program serve
12	students from multiple school systems?
13	A Yes.
14	Q How many school systems does North Metro
15	serve?
16	A I serve four, but Buford City schools has
17	a collaborative agreement with Gwinnett County
18	schools, so they are served within the Gwinnett
19	program.
20	Q Okay. And what are the other school
21	systems that North Metro GNETS serves?
22	A Atlanta Public Schools, Fulton County
23	Schools, Gwinnett County Schools, and Buford City
24	Schools.

Has a group of school systems that North



Q

1	Metro GNETS serves changed since 2015 at all?
2	A Can you clarify that question?
3	Q Sure. Sometimes, you know, a school
4	system may not be served by a GNETS program or they
5	may move to another regional GNETS program. So I'm
6	just wondering if from 2015 to the present, has
7	North Metro GNETS always served only the school
8	systems that you just listed, APS, Gwinnett, Fulton,
9	and Buford City?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Does North Metro GNETS program serve
12	students across multiple sites?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And how many sites do you have within the
15	North Metro GNETS program?
16	A Twenty-one.
17	Q Has the number of sites remained
18	consistent, from 2015 to the present?
19	A Um, a couple of years ago Atlanta
20	Public Schools used to be divided into North
21	Atlanta, South Atlanta. Fulton County Schools used
22	to be divided North Fulton, South Fulton. And over
23	the course of the years the school district asked me
24	if I could take over all of Atlanta Public Schools
25	and all of Fulton County Schools



1	So in that respect the division changed,
2	but the school districts did not.
3	Q Okay. So just to clarify, when Atlanta
4	Public Schools had South Atlanta, and Fulton County
5	Schools had South Fulton County, were those portions
6	of those school systems served by the South Metro
7	GNETS program?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And now North Metro GNETS program serves
10	all of Fulton County and all of Atlanta Public
11	Schools?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Including those areas that were previously
14	served by South Metro GNETS?
15	A Yes.
16	Q When did that change take place?
17	A Hum. My guess, I think it was about three
18	years for, for Fulton. I think it was in my third
19	year, taking over South Fulton, and it's probably
20	been between three to five years with Atlanta.
21	Q So that change with South Fulton maybe
22	occurred somewhere around 2019?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And Atlanta Public Schools change was
25	prior to that?



1	A Yes.
2	Q When you mentioned that you have 21 sites
3	right now, has that number fluctuated between 2015
4	and today?
5	A Yes.
6	Q What's the largest number of sites you've
7	had in that time period?
8	A Right now is the largest, 21. And then
9	when I took over the South Fulton and South
10	Atlanta so South Atlanta had one, two, three
11	they had five sites, in Atlanta, that used to be
12	South Fulton. And then six sites that used to be in
13	South Fulton that I now have.
14	So it was an addition of 11 different
15	locations.
16	Q Okay. So there were five sites that used
17	to be in South Atlanta, and six sites that used to
18	be in South Fulton that are now part of North Metro
19	GNETS?
20	A Yes.
21	Q How many sites were there in the North
22	Metro GNETS program when you took over in 2012?
23	A Could you repeat that?
24	Q Sure. I'm just trying to get a sense for

the change in terms of the number of sites --



1	A Right.
2	Q where your serving students.
3	So you became director of the North Metro
4	GNETS program in 2012?
5	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
6	Q And I'm wondering if you recall how many
7	sites there were in the North Metro program at the
8	time you took over as director?
9	A Okay, let me count.
LO	There are five in Gwinnett, one in
L1	Atlanta. That's six. And six in North Fulton.
L2	Twelve.
L3	Q Okay. Does the North Metro GNETS program
L4	has what is known as a fiscal agent?
L5	A Yes.
L6	Q Who is North Metro GNETS' fiscal agent?
L7	A Metro RESA.
L8	Q So we're on the same page, what is the
L9	role of North Metro's, North Metro's fiscal agent?
20	A They oversee all of our funding that flows
21	from the State and the federal level.
22	Q And when you say they oversee the funding,
23	what does that mean?
24	A We have a CFO, chief financial officer,
5	and a hudgeting and an HP Department there that we



1	collaborate and we work with.
2	So they help me maintain all of the
3	funding and make sure that it's spent the way it's
4	supposed to be spent, in the right areas.
5	Q Okay. And so I believe you mentioned that
6	there's both state funding and federal funding?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And that's specifically for the North
9	Metro GNETS program?
LO	A Yes.
L1	Q That funding flows to Metro RESA?
L2	A Yes.
L3	Q And so Metro RESA kind of holds those
L4	funds for use by the North Metro GNETS program?
L5	A Yes.
L6	Q What's the process by which you obtain
L7	access to that, those funds, to pay staff or to buy
L8	supplies, whatever it is you need? How does that
L9	work?
20	A Again, we have line items. We have items
21	that are approved by the State based on those
22	guidelines. So we draw down money based on the
23	need.
24	So it's just like any other school
25	district would do. There are approved federal and



1	state funds. So our CFO, we work together to make
2	sure that, you know, supplies, materials, computers,
3	that sort of thing, are, you know, dispensed based
4	on the needs of the program.
5	Q Do you have to submit something like a
6	purchase order?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Or some sort of actual request to Metro
9	RESA for those things?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And then Metro RESA approves it and pays
12	it out?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Do you have regular meetings with Metro
15	RESA regarding the North Metro GNETS program?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And how often are those meetings?
18	A They're anywhere between a month to two
19	months. Every other month or so.
20	Q Okay. And with whom at Metro RESA do you
21	meet?
22	A Typically with Dr. Leigh Ann Putman, my
23	RESA executive director, and Vanessa Haigler. She's
24	our CFO, and sometimes I'm sorry.
25	Vanessa Haigler, and sometimes with



1	Deborah Tabron, our HR person.
2	Q You said Deborah Tabron is the HR person?
3	A Yes.
4	Q When you meet with these folks from Metro
5	RESA, what is the purpose of those meetings?
6	A We're usually going over a budget and
7	HR-related issues to just make sure that I keep them
8	abreast of all of our needs and also the funding.
9	Q Do you meet with them to discuss anything
10	on the programmatic side?
11	A I speak with Leigh Ann about it. If
12	there's an issue that's going on, I will definitely
13	keep her in the loop and we collaborate if it's
14	anything I need her to help me intervene on.
15	Q Okay. Does North Metro GNETS have an
16	Advisory Board?
17	A Yes. The special ed directors.
18	Q And when you say the special ed directors,
19	the special ed directors for the districts that
20	North Metro GNETS program serves?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And is that like are they constituted
23	as an official Advisory Board?
24	A Yes.
25	Q How long has that Advisory Board been in



CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D.
UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

1	place?
2	A It's a part of the State Board Rule, and
3	it's always been set up that way. So our
4	collaborative community meetings is when all the
5	special ed directors, GNETS directors, everybody
6	comes to the table once a month.
7	Q You said that the Advisory Board is a part
8	of the State Board Rule. What is the State Board
9	rule that you're referencing?
10	A GNETS.
11	Q The GNETS State Board Rule?
12	A Yes.
13	Q You mentioned collaborative community
14	meetings, and I believe you said those happen once a
15	me?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Who in particular participates in those
18	collaborative committee meetings?
19	A GLRS sets the meetings but the special ed
20	directors from all of the metro Atlanta areas that
21	fall under our RESA come to those monthly meetings.
22	Q Do you also attend those monthly meetings?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Does anyone else from your North Metro
25	GNETS staff attend those meetings?



1	A No	).
2	Q Sc	the meetings are attended by the
3	special ed d	lirectors for the school systems that
4	North Metro	serves, GLRS and you?
5	A Ye	es. And it's also all the special ed
6	directors th	at fall under the RESA, which is more
7	than just my	four school districts.
8	Sc	RESAs serve more school districts as a
9	whole than e	each of the GNETS does, so we're all at
10	the table.	
11	Q Th	ese collaborative community meetings are
12	different fr	om Advisory Board meetings?
13	A No	. We collaborate them. We do that
14	we consider	them all the same.
15	Q Ok	ay. So Advisory Board meetings also
16	happen once	a month?
17	A Ye	es.
18	Q Ho	w many people were on staff at the North
19	Metro GNETS	program in the 2021-22 school year?
20	A I	can't answer.
21	Q Ca	n you give me a ballpark?
22	A Um	a, a hundred.
23	Q Ok	ay.
24	A Th	at were actually on my payroll, because,

again, we have staff that the districts actually



	UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA
1	fund.
2	Q Okay. And for the current 2022-23 school
3	year, approximately how many staff are on your
4	payroll for the North Metro GNETS program?
5	A Probably about 90.
6	Q Approximately how many additional staff
7	are there that are provided by LEAs?
8	A The LEAs provide a lot of the teachers and
9	the paras as well that they fund. So I would say
10	probably another across the districts, probably
11	around a hundred, 150.
12	Q Okay. Are there any contracted positions
13	at North Metro GNETS that you have not considered,
14	quote unquote, on staff in your numbers?
15	A Yes. I do have some contracted staff.
16	Q And how many contracted staff do you
17	currently have?

I use a company, Comprehensive Behavior Change, and they have their own staff, but it is one company.

And then I contract with Morehouse School of Medicine, the psychiatric program, as my child psychiatric support.

Between those two sources, how many contracted staff are there currently?



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Dr. Maddox is one school psychiatrist, but
she has psychiatric interns that support our program
that are a part of the Morehouse School of Medicine,
but the contract is only with her. So I do have
interns that are going through internship. So I
don't know how you want to count that.

Q Okay.

A And then Comprehensive Behavior Change is owned by two people, two BCBAs, but I also have contracted this year with several of their RBTs. So it's probably between six and eight of them as well.

- Q Does North Metro GNETS currently have any vacancies?
  - A Yes.
    - Q How many vacancies do you have?
- A Um, if you want exact numbers, I can't give you an exact number but I can give you a quesstimate.
  - I think I am down two school psychologists, two social workers, I think two teachers, and four parapros, approximately.
  - Q The two school psychologists that you're down, are those school psychologists who would have been at a particular site? Or how does that work?
    - A My Gwinnett sites are my largest, and so I



1	had a school psychologist that worked there
2	full-time. So I am using a part-time person there.
3	So that's one of the vacancies.
4	And then Fulton County Schools is about
5	100 miles apart from north to south, and so I have
6	one school psychologist that is currently doing the
7	whole district. So I would have had the other
8	school psychologist working in the north and the
9	other in the south.
10	Q And then the two social worker vacancies,
11	likewise are those site specific?
12	A Well, they're not they're district
13	specific because our social workers and school
14	psychologists, all except for one, serve the
15	district. So multiple schools. So their itinerate.
16	So I am down one school social worker in
17	North Fulton and one in Gwinnett.
18	Q How many social workers do you have
19	serving do you have any social workers serving
20	North Fulton right now?
21	A Yes.
22	Q How many do you have serving North Fulton?
23	A Two.
24	Q And how many do you have serving South
25	Fulton?



1	A One.	
2	Q How many social workers do you have	
3	serving Gwinnett?	
4	A Three.	
5	Q And ordinarily you would have had four?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Where are the teacher vacancies?	
8	A I have one in South Fulton. I have one in	
9	Gwinnett at the BRIDGE.	
10	Q And how have you compensated for those	
11	vacancies?	
12	A I have I used to have lead teachers. I	
13	have one or two of those left. So our lead teachers	
14	go into the classrooms to provide those supports,	
15	so. And substitutes as well.	
16	Q So, for example, at the BRIDGE, do you	
17	have a lead teacher who's taking over the vacant	
18	teacher position?	
19	A Yes. But it's every now and then. If I	
20	can get a substitute to go in, I hire a sub to go	
21	in. If not, the lead teacher leaves her duties and	
22	responsibilities and goes in and teaches those	
23	classes.	
24	Q Today, at the BRIDGE, is there a	
25	substitute or is the lead teacher?	



1	A It's I don't know today. I did not
2	call to see.
3	Q Does that change on a day-to-day basis, or
4	do you have a long-term substitute for some period
5	of time?
6	A We have long-term subs that we try to get
7	to come every day, but it just depends.
8	Q So that's something that could change from
9	day-to-day?
LO	A Yes.
L1	Q And the teacher vacancy in South Fulton,
L2	is that the same, that it changes from day-to-day
L3	whether it's a substitute
L4	A I have a lead teacher that's serving in
L5	that classroom right now, indefinitely.
L6	Q How do you compensate for the four parapro
L7	vacancies?
L8	A We do the best we can. I have behavior
L9	specialists that are all BCBAs or RBTs. So we go in
20	and support those teachers. Or we've sometimes
21	considered having our paras float from one classroom
22	to the next. The teachers remain the same but the
23	parapros go into the classrooms where the most needs

When you have vacancies in particular, I'm



Q

24

25

are.

1	thinking particularly about teacher vacancies, do
2	you ever combine classrooms?
3	A Depends on the behaviors and the cognitive
4	level of the students.
5	Q Have you done that in the past?
6	A I have done it in the past for like two
7	EBD classes but it's really challenging if you have
8	a low incidence autism class and an EBD class in the
9	same building to be combined. So it's a challenge.
LO	Q Approximately how many students were
L1	served in the North Metro GNETS program in the
L2	2021-22 school year?
L3	A I think it was about 320, approximately.
L4	Q And approximately how many students are
L5	currently being served in the North Metro GNETS
L6	program in the 2022-23 school year?
L7	A I think about 275, and it fluctuates
L8	daily. That's why it's hard to give an exact
L9	number.
20	Q Okay. It sounds like there has been a
21	decrease from last year?
22	A Yes.
23	Q What do you attribute that to?
24	A When our kids are ready to transition back



to the LRE, we transition them.

1	Q Are there a number of students that have
2	been served last year and this year in North Metro
3	GNETS program relatively consistent with the numbers
4	you've seen over the last five to seven years, or
5	have they changed significantly?
6	A They've changed.
7	Q In what way have they changed?
8	A We serve fewer students but they have more
9	significant issues.
10	Q And why do you think that is?
11	A I think because we're doing a better job
12	of meeting our students' needs behaviorally and
13	academically, so those kids transition. But the
14	kids that are with us really have some severe
15	behavioral and mental health issues.
16	So requires more staff than typically
17	we're funded for.
18	Q And when you say it requires more staff
19	than what you're typically funded for, what to you
20	mean by that?
21	A Well, when you have to provide one-on-one
22	support to a student, it really requires a lot more
23	services.
24	Q So in your experience you found the

funding to be insufficient to meet that higher level



1	of need t	hat you're seeing in your student
2	populatio	n?
3	A	In some situations, yes.
4		MS. GARDNER: I'm going to ask the court
5	repo	rter to please mark this exhibit as 628.
6		(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-628 was
7	mar	ked for identification.)
8	BY MS. GA	RDNER:
9	Q	Dr. Holifield, you have been handed what's
10	been mark	ed as Plaintiff's Exhibit 628.
11		Do you recognize this document?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And what is this?
14	A	Our North Metro GNETS brochure.
15	Q	Who created this document?
16	A	I did.
17	Q	And what is the purpose of this brochure?
18	A	To share an overview of our program with
19	the paren	ts.
20	Q	And this is a document that the North
21	Metro GNE	TS program provided in response to the
22	United St	ates subpoena for documents; is that
23	correct?	
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Turning to Page 2 of this brochure, am I



1	correct this gives an overview of what North Metro
2	GNETS actually is?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And it identifies it, as we've discussed,
5	as one of the 24 GNETS programs across the State of
6	Georgia?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Am I correct it goes on to say that GNETS
9	"programs provide comprehensive educational and
10	therapeutic support services to students who might
11	otherwise require residential or other more
12	restrictive placements due to the severity of one or
13	more of the characteristics of the disability
14	category of emotional and behavioral disorders
15	(EBD) "?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Is that accurate?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Does North Metro GNETS program collect any
20	data regarding the extent to which its services have
21	prevented or impacted student's needs for
22	residential or other more restrictive placements?
23	A No.
24	Q Does North Metro GNETS program track when
25	any of its students are placed in a residential



faci	1	i	ty	7?
------	---	---	----	----

- A Yes and no. And the reason why I say yes and no, once a child goes to a residential facility, it's no longer a GNETS student. It still -- it goes back to the LEA. So we don't track that.
- Q So then do you, do you have any records or way of knowing historically which of your GNET students have gone on to residential treatment?
- A Not -- no, not really. That would be the LEA.
  - Q Okay. This mentions other more restrictive placements. What would be examples of other more restrictive placements apart from residential treatment facilities?
    - A A day treatment facility.
    - Q Anything else?
    - A That's all I can think of right now.
- Q Do -- does North Metro GNETS program track which students go to day treatment facilities?
- A Not really. Again, it's an LEA decision at an IEP meeting. So we know which students were referred and were accepted for a residential or day treatment, but we don't track them. They just become unenrolled students with GNETS and they go back onto the enrollment with the LEA.



December 01, 2022

1	Q Okay. So there's no like record or report
2	you could pull that would show that?
3	A No.
4	Q There's a section on Page 2 that says:
5	"Example of Services Offered."
6	Do you see that?
7	A Yes.
8	Q I want to just talk about a few of these.
9	The first one says: "Educational Services &
10	Supports by Certified Teachers and Curriculum
11	Specialists."
12	A Yes.
13	Q Do you see that?
14	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
15	Q And what are the services and supports
16	that would be included in this category?
17	A The traditional teaching behavior,
18	therapeutic groups. Those types of things.
19	Q I believe you said you have a curriculum
20	specialist on staff at North Metro?
21	A Yes.
22	Q How many do you have?
23	A Two.
24	Q And how are those two curriculum
25	specialists deployed across the



1	A I have three. Excuse me.
2	Q Three?
3	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
4	Q How are they deployed across the
5	A I have one. Kelley Wright serves all of
6	North Fulton. I have one that serves South Fulton.
7	I have one that serves Atlanta and she's shared with
8	Gwinnett. So she has two different school
9	districts.
10	Q Okay. This section also includes a bullet
11	that says "Social Skills Training."
12	Do you see that?
13	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
14	Q What kind of social skills training does
15	North Metro GNETS program offer?
16	A We, we our school social workers and
17	our school psychologists, we use different curricula
18	to meet their social skills, but they have small
19	groups, individual groups, that sort of thing.
20	Aspire is one of the things that we
21	utilize that we got from the State, but he also use
22	WhyTry as our main social skills curriculum.
23	Q What is Aspire?
24	A Aspire is just a framework that you teach
25	kids to self-advocate for themselves, in an



1	appropriate way.
2	Q And Aspire is something that your social
3	workers and school psychologists deploy?
4	A Also teachers.
5	Q Is there a training that occurs for staff
6	to equip them to sort of implement the principals of
7	Aspire?
8	A Yes. We do booster trainings every year
9	for all of our new teachers.
10	Q And you said Aspire is something you got
11	from the State?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And how did that transpire?
14	A It was an initiative from the State a few
15	years ago where everyone across the State was
16	offered to participate in this self-advocacy
17	training program for students with disabilities.
18	Q You also mentioned WhyTry?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And is that a social skills curriculum?
21	A Yes. It's a social skills and a
22	trauma-informed care program built all together.
23	Q And is that something that North Metro
24	GNETS program is using across all of its sites?
25	A Yes.



December 01, 2022

1	Q	And who, who is responsible for delivering
2	that curri	Iculum?
3	A	Mostly our social workers.
4	Q	And how do they do that?
5	A	They have individual and small group
6	therapy se	essions where we go through the curriculum
7	and teach	them expectations.
8	Q	Do those individual and small group
9	therapy se	essions touch every single student in North
10	Metro GNET	rs?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	How frequently do the small group sessions
13	occur?	
14	A	At least three times a month.
15	Q	How frequently do the individual sessions
16	occur?	
17	A	It depends on the individual student based
18	on their r	needs.
19	Q	Anything else that falls into the category
20	of social	skills training that we haven't talked
21	about?	
22	A	I don't think so.
23	Q	Moving down to the fourth bullet, it says:
24	"Behaviora	al Interventions & Therapeutic Support
25	Services.'	ı



1	Do you see that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q What are the behavior interventions and
4	therapeutic support services provided by your school
5	psychologists?
6	A Again, we, we have we have their FBAs,
7	their BIPs. They have the social skills training.
8	But the individual behavioral interventions depends
9	on what's written in the IEP for the student.
10	Q And so but your school psychologists
11	play a role in implementing that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And what about your social workers, how
14	are they involved with providing behavior
15	interventions and therapeutic support services?
16	A Well, as a GNETS program, we're all
17	trained to meet the needs of our kids based on their
18	IEPs. So everybody plays a different role, but it's
19	all based on the behavioral goals of the individual
20	student.
21	So, again, the school psychologist plays a
22	particular role maybe with CBT, cognitive behavior
23	therapy; where our social worker may provide a
24	different role but it's all based on the individual
25	needs of the students.



1	Q I'm just wondering if there are like
2	categories of things your social workers can
3	provide. Perhaps maybe they can't provide some of
4	your school psychologists can provide. So are there
5	things categorically that the social workers tend to
6	focus on or be responsible for?
7	A Not categorically. I mean typically it is
8	just teaching them the appropriate skills from a
9	clinical and therapeutic aspect of how to be
10	successful out in the environment, in the classroom,
11	but when they also go home.
12	Q What kind of licensure do your social
13	workers who are currently on staff have?
14	A They're all social worker certified from
15	the Georgia PSC, or licensed clinical social
16	workers.
17	Q And I believe you mentioned before BCBAs?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And I believe do you have any BCBAs who
20	are fully like on payroll at North Metro GNETS?
21	A Yes.
22	Q How many?
23	A Two.
24	Q And then I believe you also said that
25	A RBTs.



December 01, 2022

1	Q Well, that you're contracting with an
2	organization for additional BCBAs?
3	A Yes.
4	Q So how many additional BCBAs come through
5	that contracting company?
6	A Of course, there are three that are BCBAs
7	and they also provide RBT support as well.
8	Q Okay. And do you separately have RBTs on
9	staff at North Metro GNETS?
10	A Yes.
11	Q I know you are an RBT?
12	A Yes.
13	Q How many apart from yourself?
14	A Um, let me count.
15	There are two in Atlanta. There are
16	I'm trying to think, in North Fulton. I think
17	there's two in North Fulton.
18	And then there's one in Gwinnett.
19	So five.
20	Q And that's five not including you?
21	A Yes.
22	Q In this section of Example of Services
23	Offered, the Local Interagency Planning Team also
24	appears. Do you see that?
25	A Yes.



1	Q Is that also referred sometimes as the
2	LIPT?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What services does the LIPT offer?
5	A Well, LIPT basically offers services that
6	are more extensive to the families. So it is a
7	referral process with parental consent, where we sit
8	down and we look to see what a student's needs are
9	and the family needs are and the different agencies,
10	explain what services that they have available that
11	could assist the family.
12	Q Are all students enrolled in North Metro
13	GNETS program involved in the LIPT?
14	A No.
15	Q How does a student sort of get integrated
16	into the LIPT for those more extensive services?
17	A A parent has to make a request, or we
18	could make the referral to a parent suggesting that
19	we think there are some other services they may
20	benefit from.
21	Q And so just using last school year 2021-22
22	as an example, about how many North Metro GNETS
23	students would you say were a part of the LIPT?
24	A Probably less than 10 percent.
25	Q This brochure also notes that there are



1	services offered through other interagency linkages.
2	Do you see that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What services come from other interagency
5	linkages?
6	A Those are the people that actually sit at
7	the LIPT table. So that's like the overall name,
8	but then there are different agencies that are the
9	linkages within that program.
10	So Hope House and some of the other big
11	ones, Youth Villages not Youth Villages. What is
12	the name?
13	There are several different agencies that
14	again come to the table, hear the profile of the
15	student and the family's needs, and then they
16	connect together at those LIPT meetings, but they
17	are all different agencies.
18	Q So the meetings involve multiple agencies
19	where there is some sort of a presentation of
20	profiles of various
21	A Students.
22	Q students?
23	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
24	Q And then there's kind of a discussion
25	among the group as to what are the resources that



1	could supp	port the student?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Understood.
4		Are there other state agencies at the
5	table for	the LIPT?
6	A	Not that I'm aware of, no.
7	Q	Anyone from the Georgia Department of
8	Education	?
9	A	No.
10	Q	What about the Georgia Department of
11	Community	Health?
12	A	Yes. Yes, they are there.
13	Q	And what about the Georgia Department of
14	Behavioral	l Health and Developmental Disabilities,
15	DBHDD?	
16	A	Yes, they usually have a representative
17	there. So	o I guess there are state agencies there.
18	Q	Is there any representation from any
19	Community	Service Board?
20	A	There could be, but I don't recall the
21	last coup	le that I attended that there were.
22	Q	Towards the bottom it says "District and
23	Home School	ol Collaboration."
24		Do you see that?
25	A	Yes.



1	Q What does this refer to?
2	A Well, we all sit at the table for students
3	that are referred in for GNETS services and we also
4	have progress meetings. So we constantly meet on
5	the students because the students are still students
6	of the district.
7	Q How often do you have progress meetings on
8	students with the districts you serve?
9	A There's always an LEA from the district at
10	all of our IEP meetings.
11	Q And is there a particular frequency with
12	which IEP progress meetings occur?
13	A It depends on the student.
14	Q Is there any minimum sort of frequency?
15	Do they have to occur at least every X period of
16	time?
17	A Well, IEPs are done at least annually but
18	they can be done more frequently.
19	MS. GARDNER: I don't know exactly how
20	long we have been going, but would you like to
21	take a short break?
22	A Sure.
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:32 a.m.,
24	and we are off the record.
25	(A recess was taken.)



Τ	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:45 a.m.,
2	and we are on the record.
3	BY MS. GARDNER:
4	Q So I want to switch gears a little bit and
5	talk a little bit about data and more so data
6	collection and management and sort of like how you
7	do that.
8	I'm wondering whether there is any sort of
9	database or data portal where you at North Metro
10	GNETS access information about the students that you
11	serve?
12	A Well, all of our students, IEPs and their
13	data, is placed in their IEP. So we are all the
14	information is housed within like Infinite Campus
15	is what Atlanta and Fulton use. And then Gwinnett
16	uses Synergy.
17	Q And those are like student data management
18	like databases, basically?
19	A It's basically where they keep all their
20	documents for IEPs and data and that sort of thing.
21	Q And you said Fulton and APS uses Infinite
22	Campus, and Gwinnett uses Synergy?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Do you have access to Fulton and APS'
25	Infinite Campus database?



1	A Yes.
2	Q And do you have access to Synergy?
3	A No.
4	Q Why is that?
5	A Because Gwinnett hasn't ever given me
6	access.
7	Q Have you asked for access?
8	A Every year.
9	Q And every year they say no?
10	A Yep.
11	Q Do they has Gwinnett provided you with
12	a reason why you cannot have access?
13	A I'm considered a contractor since I don't
14	work for them.
15	Q Okay. You don't work for Fulton or APS
16	either, though, correct?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Does your lack of access to Synergy
19	present challenges in terms of your ability to see
20	information about your students at North Metro?
21	A Yes.
22	Q What are those challenges?
23	A I can't monitor what I need to see. I
24	physically have to go into the buildings to look in
25	permanent folders to find information.



1	Q So if you want to have historical
2	information about students that you're serving at
3	North Metro GNETS, you have to physically go to a
4	school within Gwinnett County and look at like a
5	hard copy file?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Are there hard copy files for all of the
8	information that you would need to access?
9	A Sometimes.
10	Q Are there times where there's not a hard
11	copy file containing the information that you need?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And what kinds of information generally
14	like would you be looking for?
15	A Again, it depends on the situation with
16	the student, but if I'm trying to, you know, get to
17	understand like an historical perspective of what is
18	occurring with a child to be able to intervene, I
19	may or may not have access to that information in
20	Gwinnett.
21	Q What has been Gwinnett's response when you
22	explain this to them?
23	A That I'm a contractor and they will only

give access to secure information to people who have

an ID and work for Gwinnett County Public Schools



24

1	directly
	$\alpha \pm 1 + C + C + \gamma$ .

- Q Has there been any response to those instances where you're looking for information and information is not contained in paper records, so you can't get it at all?
  - A Could you rephrase that?
- Q Yeah. I'm just wondering if you've had any conversations about the challenges in particular where there is no work-around. So I think you said earlier that one of the things you can do is you can go and look at hard copy files within Gwinnett but that sometimes there's information you're looking for that might not be contained in those hard copy files. Is that accurate?
  - A Yes.
- Q So I'm just wondering if you've had any conversations with Gwinnett about those circumstances where information you're looking for would not be contained in hard copy files but where it's information that you need in order to effectively serve a student?
  - A Yes, I've had those conversations.
- Q And has there been any response to those particular kinds of situations?
  - A We do the best we can with the information



1	I have access to.
2	Q And what has been Gwinnett's response?
3	A I don't work for Gwinnett County Public
4	Schools.
5	Q No, but presumably you have conversations
6	with them about the challenges that are presented?
7	A Right.
8	Q Where you can't get the information from a
9	hard copy record?
10	A Right. That's their response.
11	Q Oh, it's just you don't work for us?
12	A Yes. I mean they're not you know, it's
13	not combative, but because I'm not employed with
14	Gwinnett, their perspective is I'm a contractor. So
15	even though I'm the director of the program in
16	Gwinnett, I still don't have access to students'
17	IEPs and databases.
18	Q Are you familiar with the GNETS dashboard?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And what is the GNETS dashboard?
21	A Are you referring to the DOE dashboard
22	within the portal, where we submit our budget? Is
23	that the dashboard you're referring to?
24	Q I'm actually not sure. I know it's the
25	GNETS dashboard. So I guess are you telling me that



1	there is a GNETS dashboard within some sort of
2	portal that you have that connects you to the
3	Georgia Department of Education?
4	A Well, there's a there's a GNETS and
5	I guess that's why I'm trying to clarify what you
6	mean, but there is a tab within the GNETS within
7	the DOE portal where we have to submit our budget
8	and our documents every year. So that's the
9	dashboard I assume you're referring to.
10	Q Okay. So the Georgia Department of
11	Education has a portal that you log into in order to
12	submit your budget every year?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And are you saying there is a tab within
15	that portal that is called like it's a GNETS tab?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Do you submit any other information to the
18	Georgia DOE via that portal?
19	A Usually just the budget, and we have an
20	email address that we translate transmit
21	confidential information, but that's it.
22	Q Okay. When you say you have an email
23	where you transmit confidential information, what
24	kind of confidential information would you transmit



by that email?

1	A I mean only if they ask me for
2	information, like a student list or a class list.
3	So nothing more than information like that.
4	Q Okay. And do you get periodic requests
5	for information from the Georgia Department of
6	Education?
7	A No.
8	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to ask the court
9	reporter to mark this document as Plaintiff's
10	Exhibit 629.
11	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-629 was
12	marked for identification.)
13	BY MS. GARDNER:
14	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
15	Plaintiff's Exhibit 629. This is an email from you
16	to Nakeba Rahming, dated April 9, 2016, with the
17	subject "Re: GNETS Dashboard Updates."
18	And the first page of this document is
19	Bates-stamped GA00041282.
20	Do you recognize this?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Am I correct that in your email to Ms.
23	Rahming you write: "I'm excited about the GNETS
24	Dashboard and I'm sure everyone else will be excited
25	about it as well. I'll keep this to myself until



1	you're ready for us to share this information with
2	our fiscal agents."
3	Do you see that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And then am I correct that you go on to
6	say: "In response to your questions, my North Metro
7	GNETS Central Office is located within Atlanta
8	Public Schools and I'd like them to serve as my NM
9	GNETS Dashboard location."
10	A Yes.
11	Q "However, I have the most students served
12	within Gwinnett County Public Schools but Gwinnett
13	County Schools will not give me access to IEP
14	online, Infinite Campus, nor any other database that
15	my other districts give me access to. Gwinnett
16	considers me a contractor and I've asked for the
17	past 4 years to have access to my students' data,
18	IEPs, etc. and the answer has always been no."
19	A Yes.
20	Q And is this what we have just been
21	discussing, the challenges that you've been having
22	with Gwinnett county?
23	A Yes. And looking at this email, the
24	dashboard is SLDS.
25	Q SLDS, okay. What does SLDS stand for?



1	A Student Longitudinal Longitudinal Data
2	System.
3	Q And what is the Student Longitudinal Data
4	System?
5	A Basically, it's a portal where you can go
6	in and look at individual student data that like
7	absences and that sort of thing, that the rest of
8	the State uses. They created that dashboard for
9	GNETS as well.
10	Q Okay. And when you say "they created that
11	dashboard for GNETS as well," who is "they"?
12	A DOE. Georgia DOE.
13	Q When you say "they created the dashboard
14	for GNETS as well," was there a time GNETS did not
15	have access to SLDS?
16	A I think it was during the time when I
17	can't be sure, but I think SLDS was new to the State
18	of Georgia, and then they created access for GNETS,
19	because we serve more than one school district. So
20	we had to have one school district to serve for all
21	of our other districts.
22	Q So there was some maneuvering required
23	A Yes.
24	Q because GNETS is unique in that it
25	covers multiple school systems?



1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And so the Georgia Department of Education
3	took the 1	lead on sort of figuring out how to get the
4	GNETS prog	grams access
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	to the SLDS?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	You note in this email that at the time
9	you sent t	this, most of the students served at North
10	Metro GNET	TS came from Gwinnett County schools?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Is that still true today?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	You discuss something here called IEP
15	online?	
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	What is IEP online?
18	A	It's an IEP system that Atlanta Public
19	Schools us	ses. So even though they use Infinite
20	Campus as	their school information system, IEP
21	online is	where the IEPs are written and they're
22	connected	together.
23	Q	Does Gwinnett County also use IEP online?
24	A	They use Synergy.
25	Q	So Synergy contains



A Yes.
Q IEPs?
And Synergy is the database that you were
saying you don't have access to?
A Correct.
Q What does having access to IEP online
allow you to do?
A It allows me to help meet the needs of my
students, you know, because all of their goals and
objectives are determined by an IEP team. And in
order to monitor progress and see how they're doing,
you know, you have to look at the IEP because it's
written specifically for a specific student.
Q So for students who are coming from
Gwinnett County, where you don't have access to
Synergy, you're looking at a hard copy IEP?
A Yes.
Q Am I correct that your email to Ms.
Rahming responds to prior emails that Ms. Rahming
had sent that you received?
A Yes.
Q I want to turn to the earliest of those
emails, which appears on the second page of this
document.

Am I correct that Ms. Rahming wrote to all



1	of GNETS directors on April 8th, 2016 to discuss the
2	GNETS Dashboard?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And in that email, and this is in the
5	second paragraph, Ms. Rahming says that "Directors
6	will be provided with administrative access for the
7	Georgia SLDS via a link in a district's Student
8	Information System, Infinite Campus, Power School,
9	and SchoolMax. An ID and password will be provided
10	for each GNETS staff to access the 'GNETS' dashboard
11	to disaggregate GNETS teachers and students from
12	teachers and students in school districts."
13	Do you see that?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And you've already explained to me what
16	SLDS is, correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q What kind of information can be obtained
19	from SLDS?
20	A Information about the student's
21	background, their picture, the age, their grades,
22	absences, behavior, that sort of thing.
23	Q Does SLDS include discipline records?
24	A I think it includes attendance but not
25	discipline, if I recall.



1	Q And when you say that there's information		
2	about a student's behavior in SLDS, where would that		
3	appear?		
4	A It depends on whether or not the school		
5	district has connected the information. So, again,		
6	I'm trying to remember the last time I looked in		
7	SLDS, it's more attendance data than discipline		
8	data.		
9	Q Okay. Is there also academic data in		
10	SLDS?		
11	A Yes.		
12	Q What kind of academic data appears?		
13	A Their testing data. You know, if they		
14	have GAA, or, you know, if they've taken the		
15	curriculum assessments, it's all in there.		
16	Q When you say if they have GAA, that's the		
17	Georgia Alternative Assessment?		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q Would it also indicate whether the student		
20	is a milestone student?		
21	A Yes.		
22	Q I take it at the time Ms. Rahming sent		
23	this email the GNETS directors did not have access		
24	to SLDS?		
25	A Correct.		



1	Q And she was working to change that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Does North Metro GNETS have access to SLDS
4	data now?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Ms. Rahming goes on to say in this email,
7	towards the bottom of the second paragraph, and it
8	says "The GNETS Dashboard will."
9	Do you see that?
10	A Bottom of the second paragraph. If an
11	LEA where?
12	Q It says "The GNETS Dashboard will," and
13	there's a list of six things that it will do.
14	A Oh, yes. Okay.
15	Q Do you see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And the first thing it says the GNETS
18	Dashboard will do is: "Eliminate the limited access
19	that GNETS staff have to student data/records
20	because they are from other districts."
21	Do you see that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And that's similar to the challenge that
24	you were discussing with not having access to
25	student data and records from Gwinnett County



1	because you're not from Gwinnett County?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And just so I'm clear, when this says that
4	GNETS staff are from other districts, does that mean
5	other districts than the one that might serve as a
6	GNETS program's fiscal agent, or what does that
7	mean?
8	A I think it means that GNETS is a program
9	that serves multiple districts. And so in SLDS,
10	under the one partner you can pull this type of data
11	from that SLDS but it's still not IEP data.
12	So we had to have multiple accesses for
13	all of our school districts to get any other data
14	prior to SLDS.
15	Q Okay. The other thing this says the GNETS
16	Dashboard will do, under No. 2: "Provide
17	opportunities for GNETS staff to have access to
18	depend education resources."
19	A Yes.
20	Q What did you understand this to mean?
21	A What I understand it to mean now is, is
22	that professional development is listed as one of
23	the tabs in there as well that we have access to.
24	Q Professional development is listed as a
25	tab in the SLDS?



1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. Moving town to the No. 4, it says
3	"The GNETS Dashboard will: Provide common and
4	comparable data for students across GNETS programs,
5	sites, classes, and grade levels."
6	Do you see that?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Did your lack of access to data and
9	information for students coming from Gwinnett County
10	prevent you from viewing common and comparable data
11	for North Metro students across sites?
12	A I can see SLDS data but I cannot see IEP
13	data, so.
14	Q But before having access to the SLDS, you
15	would not have even been able to review SLDS data
16	across all of your GNETS students?
17	A Correct.
18	Q I take it then what you're saying now is
19	you can view SLDS data across all of your students
20	but you still cannot view the same sort of extent of
21	IEP data?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Because you don't have access to the IEP
24	data for Gwinnett County?



Correct.

Α

1	Q And then the last thing in No. 6 here that
2	this says the GNETS Dashboard will do is: "Make
3	historical 'longitudinal' and operational 'real
4	time' data available for all programs."
5	What do you understand that to mean?
6	A Once a student has enrolled in a school
7	district, since the creation of SLDS, it's housed
8	there. So if a student receives services, whether
9	those are general ed or special ed student, the
10	historical data with testing or whatever is in that
11	one location, that you can see old test scores up to
12	current time.
13	Q Okay. So if you wanted to see where one
14	of your GNETS students who is a sixth grader was
15	when they were in third grade in terms of their
16	scores, you could go to SLDS and see that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q But before access to SLDS, if you didn't
19	have access to the database for the district where
20	that student came from, then you would not be able
21	to access that information?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Okay. Is there any sort of data
24	management tool that North Metro GNETS program uses

for each fiscal year to record and report out



1	information to the Georgia Department of Education?
2	A Can you be more specific so I I'm not
3	really sure what you mean.
4	Q Sure.
5	MS. GARDNER: I'm going to ask the court
6	reporter to mark this document as Plaintiff's
7	Exhibit 630.
8	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-630 was
9	marked for identification.)
10	BY MS. GARDNER:
11	Q Dr. Holifield, have you been handed what
12	has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 630. This is
13	an email from you to Nakeba Rahming, dated July
14	22nd, 2016. The subject is "Re: FY 17 GNETS Data
15	Management Tool."
16	A Yes.
17	Q And this contains one attachment, which is
18	an Excel spreadsheet with the file name "FY 16 GNETS
19	Annual Verification Report"
20	A Yes.
21	Q "_Data Management Tool"?
22	A Yes.
23	Q The Bates-stamp on this document is
24	GA00041656.
25	Do you recognize this?



o, (oo, (i to t i to o o i i i i i i i i i i i i	
UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORG	Αlέ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I'd like to ask you to just take a look at 0 this email, which references a data management tool, which is what I want to ask you about.
  - Α Okay.
- So I'm hoping this will help refresh your recollection.
- The attachment to this document was produced as an Excel document, so I'm also going to publish electronically the Excel version of this.
  - Α Okay.
  - Can you see this document? 0
- 13 Α Yes.
- 14 You see that the top is captioned -- the 15 attachment is captioned "GNETS Data Management 16 Document"?
  - Yes, I'm familiar with the document. Α
  - 0 What is this data management tool?
  - Basically, it's a spreadsheet that they've asked us to document all the students that receive services in our program, and it tells us how many segments they're with us and when they come into the program and when they leave.
  - And when you say "this is a spreadsheet that they've asked us to document all the students



1	that receive services in our program," who is
2	"they"?
3	A The DOE.
4	Q Okay. So you receive this spreadsheet
5	from the Georgia Department of Education?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Do you receive a new spreadsheet like this
8	every fiscal year?
9	A We update the same spreadsheet.
10	Q Okay. But every year you submit new data
11	to the Georgia Department of Education in this
12	format?
13	A We now before we had the GNETS tab in
14	there, we submitted this spreadsheet. Now that we
15	have the tab, we still collect the data but we enter
16	just the numbers into the electronic platform.
17	Q Okay. So at some point before there was a
18	GNETS tab in the Georgia Department of Education
19	portal, you would submit this actual spreadsheet to
20	the Georgia Department of Education?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And what you're saying is that now that
23	you have a GNETS tab in the portal, you simply enter
24	all of the data that would appear in the spreadsheet
25	directly into the portal?



1	A Yes.
2	Q But you're still transmitting effectively
3	the same data?
4	A Yes.
5	Q I want to just go over some of the
6	information that is included on the spreadsheet, or
7	that you would now report through the portal.
8	The spreadsheet includes students' first
9	and last names, correct?
10	A Uh-hum. Yes.
11	Q On the far left-hand side there is a
12	column for System ID?
13	A Yes.
14	Q What does that mean?
15	A The school district the student is
16	actually located in.
17	Q So that's the student's home school
18	district?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And is this system name just the name of
21	the student's home school district?
22	A It's a there's two they're basically
23	the same thing. The PGM004 A and B is an actual
24	report that can be pulled by the school district,
25	but then that's the system name.



1	So it's an identifier for each of the
2	different schools within the district.
3	Q So, for example, for Fulton County School
4	District, which North Metro GNETS serves, there
5	might be a number that identifies Fulton County
6	School District and then the system name would be
7	Fulton County?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And what is the there's a field on this
10	form that says Entry Date.
11	Do you see that?
12	A It's the date that the student was the
13	first day the child received GNETS services for that
14	year.
15	Q Okay. So not the first day that the
16	student was ever enrolled in GNETS, but the first
17	date that the student attended GNETS for that
18	particular fiscal year?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And correct that you also report exit
21	dates?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And that would apply if a student left
24	GNETS some time before the end of the fiscal year?
25	A Yes.



1	Q What is Program Type code mean?
2	A I think Program Type is whether it's a
3	school base or a center base, if I recall.
4	Q This particular spreadsheet has the No. 2
5	populated already in the Program Type code. What
6	does the 2 mean?
7	A I don't know. I have to look at the
8	cheat-sheet. It's in the manual.
9	Q But there is one code that's for
10	center-based and one code that's for school-based?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And for each student that would be served
13	by North Metro GNETS you would report whether that
14	student was in a center or was in a school-based
15	location?
16	A Correct.
17	Q What about Program Type Auxiliary code,
18	what does that mean?
19	A I would have to look my secretary
20	actually fills this spreadsheet out, so.
21	But it's basically the same kind of
22	information. Again, whether it's school-based or
23	whether it's a center-based program and the number
24	of segments is pretty much everything they're asking
25	in the spreadsheet.



1	Q Okay. You said there's a cheat-sheet for
2	filling this out?
3	A Yes. In the old GNETS manual.
4	Q Okay. And where did you obtain that GNETS
5	manual?
6	A They gave it to us years ago. In this
7	particular case, 2015, 2016.
8	Q And "they" being the Georgia Department of
9	Education?
10	A Yes.
11	It's also listed the in the QBE page of
12	the DOE. It's a question and answer page on their
13	website.
14	Q Okay. This also includes information
15	about the Number of Daily Segments, correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And what is that field asking for?
18	A How many periods of the day do they
19	receive services in the GNETS classroom.
20	Q Okay. And what is the total number of
21	segments in a school day?
22	A It depends on whether they're a blocked
23	schedule or whether they are traditional six segment
24	day.
25	Q So traditional schedule would have six

1	segments?
2	A A traditional would have six.
3	Q And a block would have how many?
4	A Four.
5	Q This spreadsheet also asks for information
6	about mental health services provided at school?
7	A Yes.
8	Q What kind of information would you provide
9	in that field?
10	A If a student's parents for example, if
11	they go to LIPT, the Local Interaction Planning
12	Team, and an outside service provider was given
13	permission to come to the schools to provide those
14	services at school, that would go in that field.
15	Q And then this also includes a field that
16	says Mental Health Services Outside of School?
17	A Yes.
18	Q What kind of information would you report
19	in that field?
20	A The same kind of information but a family
21	sometimes will receive services for the entire
22	family rather than just the student.
23	Q Okay. The next field says Move to Less
24	Restrictive Services.
25	A Yes.



1	Q What does that mean?
2	A They follow the continuum. If an IEP team
3	determines that the child exited a school-based
4	program and went to maybe, say, an IRR class,
5	interrelated class, or back to their general ed
6	classroom, we would document it there.
7	Q And is this kind of like a like a
8	checkbox, like they did or they didn't? Or is this
9	more qualitative information that you would enter
10	here?
11	A Qualitative information so we could make
12	sure that the services we're providing, how
13	basically whether or not the kids are exiting GNETS
14	and going back into their LRE.
15	Q So in a field like this would you explain
16	like what the student is doing if it's one class
17	period out, or if it's IRR, they return fully to
18	their
19	A No. That would be in the actual student's
20	IEP.
21	Q Okay. So what would the entry here look
22	like, do you know?
23	A Move to a lesser restrictive?
24	Q Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)

For example, if a child was in a



Α

1	center-based program and then they moved into a
2	school-based program, and from a school-based
3	program back into an IRR classes. So it's kind of
4	like the backwards model of most restrictive to
5	least restrictive, or least restrictive to most
6	restrictive, depending on how their behavior goes.
7	Q Okay. And then the last column here says
8	Basis of GNETS Dismissal.
9	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
10	Q What does that mean?
11	A Basically, did they meet their goals. Did
12	they meet their goals and they were exited from the
13	program based on the IEP, or whether or not they
14	went to a more restrictive program, a residential or
15	day treatment.
16	Q Okay.
17	A Or back to a general ed classroom. It
18	goes both sides of the spectrum.
19	Q And the fields that appear on the
20	spreadsheet are still the fields that you would
21	enter through the Georgia Department of Education
22	portal?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Do parents of North Metro GNETS students
25	have to sign any sort of records release for their



1	students to receive GNETS services?
2	A Are you saying do they sign a consent for
3	placement? What are you asking?
4	Q A records release.
5	A Oh, yes.
6	Q And how does that work?
7	A We just follow whatever the school
8	district requirements are.
9	So if some confidential records are
10	requested by an agency, it goes through like the
11	legal office typically, and the LEA provides the
12	information based on whatever the request is.
13	So it kind of goes through their legal
14	department to approve or deny.
15	Q For a student who is referred to
16	referred for consideration of GNETS services, and
17	then a determination is made they should in fact
18	receive GNETS services, does that student have to
19	sign a records release to move from their
20	A Yes. It's in the IEP meeting.
21	Q And what is the reason for the student
22	having to sign that records release?
23	A So we can get the information on how to
24	best serve the student.
25	Q And when you say get the information,



1	you're getting that information from the student's
2	home school system?
3	A Yes.
4	MS. GARDNER: I want to ask the court
5	reporter to mark this document as Plaintiff's
6	Exhibit 631.
7	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-631 was
8	marked for identification.)
9	BY MS. GARDNER:
10	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
11	Plaintiff's Exhibit 631. This is a document on
12	Georgia Department of Education letterhead, I
13	suppose, and the title at the top is "George Network
14	for Educational and Therapeutic Support GNETS FY 22
15	Grant Application."
16	Do you recognize this document?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Is this North Metro GNETS FY 22 Grant
19	Application?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And is this a document that North Metro
22	GNETS produced to the United States in response to a
23	document subpoena?
24	A Yes.
25	Q I want to talk a little bit about the



1	timing of this grant application.
2	First of all, who puts this grant
3	application together for North Metro?
4	A You mean that completes it? I do.
5	Q Okay, you complete the application.
6	Are you the person who actually submits
7	the application?
8	A I submit it to the portal, yes.
9	Q So you use the Georgia Department of
LO	Education portal that you were talking about earlier
L1	to submit this?
L2	A Yes. So years ago they only had paper.
L3	Now we have portal. So I just copy and paste right
L4	into the portal this information.
L5	Q At the top of this first page it says:
L6	"This FY 22 Grant Application and required
L7	attachments must be submitted by the fiscal agent
L8	through the Consolidated Application no later than
L9	June 30th, 2021. Budgets will be reviewed for
20	approval after all required documentation is
21	submitted through the Consolidated Application ."
22	Do you see that?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Who reviews the budgets for approval as
5	itle stated here?



1	A So I my RESA director and my budget
2	person review internally through North Metro and
3	Metro RESA, and then it goes to the DOE, and Vickie
4	Cleveland and Lakesha Stevenson are the DOE GNETS
5	program manager and program specialists that review
6	and approve the document.
7	Q Okay. So just so I'm clear, Vickie
8	Cleveland is the Georgia Department of Education
9	GNETS program manager?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And Lakesha Stevenson is the GNETS program
12	specialist at the Department of Education?
13	A Yes.
14	Q So you're saying that in terms of the
15	review of budgets, once they're submitted through
16	the consolidated application, that it's Ms.
17	Cleveland and Ms. Stevenson who do that review?
18	A Yes.
19	Q So this says that this needs to be
20	submitted no later than June 30, 2021, right?
21	A Yes. But that's a typo.
22	Q Okay. What's the typo?
23	A Because the 2021 is an FY 22 grant
24	application.

Is that what you're asking?



1	Q Yeah. So you're saying that this, this is
2	a typo in terms of when it was supposed to be
3	submitted?
4	A No. Actually, I'm thinking of the wrong
5	year. Nevermind. It's correct.
6	We submit it every June prior to the
7	following year. So the 2021 is correct. I'm sorry.
8	Q Okay. This is very confusing to me, too.
9	So maybe you can help me understand.
10	A So the grant application is we have to
11	submit it by June of the previous school year
12	because our calendar years for schools go from July
13	to June.
14	Q Okay.
15	A And then so I have to submit it at the
16	end of the previous year for the July 1st, is the
17	beginning of the new school year of 2022.
18	Q Okay. So when you submit this on June 30,
19	2021, is this for your budget that applies for the
20	fall of 2021 and the spring of 2022, or for your
21	budget that applies for a full year leader?
22	A So this is my data from the ending of the
23	previous school year, but the grant application is
24	for funding for the upcoming school year.

Okay. So in this particular application



1	the data that would be included would be from the
2	2020-2021 school year?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And this would be reviewed for your budget
5	that would apply in the 2021-2022 school year?
6	A Correct.
7	Q So after you submit this application,
8	which it says has to be submitted no later than June
9	30 of 2021, what is the relative time frame when you
LO	would then hear something about this application?
L1	A It depends. I mean it varied from a
L2	couple of months to a couple months.
L3	Q A couple months?
L4	A Yes.
L5	Q Would you hear prior to the start of the
L6	school year?
L7	A Um, not typically, but our budgets
L8	actually extend through September 30th. So even
L9	though the fiscal year ends June 30th, the funding
20	doesn't end until like September, so it carries into
21	the next year.
22	Q Okay. And after you submit this, what
23	typically would be the next step in terms of your
24	notification of something about the outcome of this



application?

1	A I would get a call from Vickie saying,						
2	hey, you know, can you explain this or can you						
3	explain that, I just want to clarify.						
4	So we kind of go through each section, if						
5	she had question, and then it would be approved.						
6	Then we would get my CFO would get an approval						
7	from grants accounting. I think that's the name of						
8	the letter approving the budget amount.						
9	Q How does the approval of the budget amount						
10	intersect with what I think is often referred to as						
11	like your preliminary and/or final allocation?						
12	A Can you rephrase that or clarify?						
13	Q Sure. I guess my question is, do you ever						
14	receive notification of just like your allocation						
15	for North Metro GNETS programs in terms of how much						
16	money you're receiving for the state grant and the						
17	federal grant?						
18	A Yes. So after the Governor approves the						
19	overall state budget, they send us a basically a						
20	notification of the different programs, what the						
21	award amount is for each GNETS program.						
22	Q Okay. And is that process separate from						
23	your submitting this grant application?						
24	A I think they're all tied together.						

Okay. Do know when you submit a grant



Q

1	application already what your preliminary allocation
2	is?
3	A No. Not unless the Governor once the
4	last day of the legislative session is over, we know
5	what amount overall is approved for the GNETS grant,
6	but we do not know what our individual allocations
7	are until after that.
8	Q Okay. So how do you come up with and
9	submit a budget if you don't actually know how much
10	money you have to work with?
11	A Well, this is a grant application that
12	doesn't include figures for money.
13	Q Let me turn to Page 2 of this grant
14	application.
15	Am I correct that this chart that's
16	included as part of your grant application shows the
17	number of students transitioning to less or more
18	restrictive settings for the upcoming school year FY
19	22?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And for North Metro this chart shows that
22	there were nine students returning to their home
23	school or home district?
24	A Yes.

And that's out of 323 North Metro GNETS



Q

1	students total?					
2	A Yes.					
3	Q And then there were 146 students who were					
4	in a GNETS self-contained facility?					
5	A Yes.					
6	Q And 168 students who were in a					
7	school-based GNETS classroom or wing?					
8	A Correct.					
9	Q And just so I'm clear, these are figures					
10	for the upcoming school year? So when this was					
11	submitted, this would have been figures that you					
12	were anticipating for the 2021-22 school year?					
13	A This was the this would have been the					
14	2021 to 2022 school year. So last school year.					
15	So that was representative of what I					
16	served last year.					
17	Q Okay, but this document was submitted by					
18	the end of June of 2021. So I'm just trying to					
19	figure out whether these numbers are sort of					
20	prospective in what you're anticipating or what they					
21	are actually recording what happened?					
22	A So they are recording what happened for					
23	the school year I served the students, which is used					
24	I guess by the DOE to determine my allocations for					
25	the upcoming school year, because these are the					



1	numbers I ended with over the course of the school
2	year.
3	Q So these are the numbers you ended with
4	from the 2020-21 school year?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And this chart also shows how these
7	categories break down by the school district over
8	the North Metro
9	A Yes.
10	Q Am I correct the pages that follow this
11	grant application show a number of classrooms at
12	each North Metro GNETS site along with the number of
13	teachers and paraprofessionals at each site?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And for each site it breaks down the
16	number of classrooms and the number of teachers and
17	paraprofessionals to show how many serve at the
18	elementary and middle school and high school levels?
19	A Yes.
20	Q The information specific to each site also
21	explains the therapeutic staff available for that
22	site?
23	A Yes.
24	Q So, for example, it would show whether a
25	site has a psychologist, and if so whether that





1	Beginning at the top, there's just one							
2	director at North Metro, and that's you, correct?							
3	A Correct.							
4	Q And your position is funded by the State							
5	grant?							
6	A Yes.							
7	Q You also have 20 classroom teachers that							
8	are funded by the State grant?							
9	A Yes.							
10	Q Do you know where those 20 classroom							
11	teachers are located?							
12	A Yes.							
13	Q Where are they located is there like a							
14	particular kind of are all those 20 teachers at							
15	centers or how does that work?							
16	A They're all over. Literally, we have							
17	grant funded teachers in every school building and							
18	we also have LEA funded teachers in every school							
19	building.							
20	Q So they're mixed together?							
21	A Yes.							
22	Q This chart shows that at the time you							
23	submitted this grant application you had four							
24	psychologists?							
25	A Yes.							



1	Q	And all four of those psychologists were				
2	also funded by the State grant?					
3	A	Yes.				
4	Q	What are the credentials of the				
5	psychologists that you have on staff?					
6	A	They all have a school psychologist				
7	degree, a	and they're either licensed school				
8	psychologists, or just school certified school					
9	psychologists.					
10	Q	So they all have certifications or				
11	licenses?					
12	A	Yes.				
13	Q	On the second page of the staffing pattern				
14	chart, it	shows that you had eight social workers at				
15	the time	of this grant application?				
16	A	Yes.				
17	Q	And all of those were also funded by the				
18	State gra	ant?				
19	A	Yes.				
20	Q	And then there are five behavior				
21	specialists listed in your staffing pattern?					
22	A	At that time it was.				
23	Q	At that time?				
24	A	Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)				
25	Q	And those were all funded by the State				



1	grant?
2	A Yes.
3	Q You also had two curriculum instruction
4	specialists at the time?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And those were funded by the State grant?
7	A Yes.
8	Q This says on the staffing pattern that you
9	had 21 nurses. Do you see that?
LO	A That is LEA funded, not grant funded.
L1	Yes.
L2	Q It says there's an asterisk and it says
L3	"our nurses are shared nurses"?
L4	A Yes.
L5	Q And what does that mean?
L6	A Basically, so in some of our schools
L7	most of our schools the nurses are the school
L8	district's nurses and our students see those same
L9	nurses.
20	But in our center-based program, we have a
21	nurse that's dedicated just to our one program.
22	Q Which, which location is that?
23	A Our Oglethorpe and Atlanta Public Schools.
24	Q And where does that where would that
25	nurse show up on this chart? In the same category?



1	A Yes.
2	Q Other Personnel, this lists curriculum and
3	behavioral specialists, and it says two. That's
4	separate from the curriculum specialists listed
5	above?
6	A It's the same.
7	Q The same, okay.
8	And then moving down there's a list of
9	Contracted Positions?
LO	A Yes.
L1	Q And Dr. Gail Mattox, this is the
L2	psychiatrist at the Morehouse School of Medicine
L3	that you were referencing earlier?
L4	A Yes.
L5	Q Then Comprehensive Behavior Change is the
L6	organization that you mentioned you contract with
L7	for BCBAs?
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q Here you had a contracted school
20	psychologist. Do you still have a contracted school
21	psychologist this current school year?
22	A Yes. She's actually one of my retired
23	school psychologists and I haven't been able to find
24	another one, so she's still contracting with me
25	part-time.



Q	And th	ose thr	ee contr	acted	positions,	it
looks like	e, come	out of	federal	funds	5?	

A Yes.

Q I'm going to turn to Page 26.

I'm hoping that you can sort of give a brief explanation for how these Tiers I, II and III work functionally in your self-contained centers, and then in school-based classrooms within North Metro GNETS?

A Okay. Well, GNETS is already automatically a special ed program, so all of our kids are Tier III. So within the Tier III model we have a Tier I. So we divide up, so at least all of our programs get at minimum the services that are listed in Tier I, which means they have small group services. And depending on the severity, we also provide a small group by specialized certified clinical folks in the Tier II, and then even more supports at the Tier III level.

So at the bear minimum, our kids get at least three different therapeutic sessions a month; and in addition to that, after looking at their data, they get additional support services from the other experts.

Q And are those three sessions a month -- I



1	know earlier we were talking about the social skills						
2	curriculum and your social workers and psychologists						
3	delivering those, and I think you said those						
4	happened three times a month before. Is that what						
5	you're talking about with respect to all of your						
6	North Metro GNETS students receiving that?						
7	A Yes.						
8	Q And then at Tier 2 and Tier III, there						
9	would be additional perhaps group or individual						
10	A Yes.						
11	Q sessions?						
12	A Yes.						
13	Q Does that model look different at all in						
14	school-based classrooms?						
15	A No.						
16	Q Moving to Page 30, am I correct that this						
17	page identifies the trainings that North Metro GNETS						
18	staff have received?						
19	A Yes.						
20	Q Is what's listed here, particularly in the						
21	top box, Nos. 1 through 5, is this all still						
22	accurate?						
23	A Yes.						
24	Q Why does it say staff will be trained in						
25	Trauma Informed Care?						



A Because as the research has come about
about the importance of Trauma Informed Care, it's
really important for us to really know even on a
deeper level the root cause of what our kids'
behaviors where it's manifesting from because,
you know, overt behaviors look the same but the
reason why the kid is exhibiting that behavior is
because of a trauma in their life.

So we need to make sure we understand those traumas so we don't re-traumatize a child and we can actively provide preventions and interventions that support the child.

Q So I guess just to clarify, like this says, you know, all North Metro GNETS schools social workers are trained in Mindset, LSCI, and WhyTry, and then it says "and will be trained in Trauma Informed Care." So I'm just wondering were they --

A They are trained now.

Q They were not trained at the time you submitted this?

A They were being trained. So we felt like we needed to go back through the trauma informed training. We did -- we now have an effective curriculum.

So when the Trauma Informed Care



1	initiative initially started, there was a contracted
2	person from the DOE that was going around the State
3	training everyone, and we did not feel that it was
4	effective enough, so I dug deeper and got a new
5	curriculum and training materials for them.
6	So we were trained but not to proficiency,
7	basically.
8	Q And when Trauma Informed Care initiative
9	was initially launched, who was the contracted
10	person for the DOE who started that training?
11	A I don't remember her name but I want to
12	say she was a professor at Georgia State University.
13	Q And how long ago was the Trauma Informed
14	Care initiative launched?
15	A It's probably been three or more years ago
16	now. I mean COVID kind of makes you foggy of I
17	want to say it was right before COVID.
18	Q Okay. And what is the new Trauma Informed
19	Care curriculum that you've
20	A I'm using 321 Insights, a Trauma Informed
21	Care program curriculum.
22	Q And when did your staff receive training
23	on that?
24	A We got initial training last year, and we
25	tweaked it based on feedback. So we're in the



1	second year of implementation this year.
2	Q And that was delivered to everyone on your
3	staff?
4	A Yes. We have monthly meetings and
5	trainings on that as part of our ongoing
6	professional development.
7	Q Moving to Page 32, this page discusses
8	observations and evaluations, correct?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And you started to talk a little bit about
11	this earlier, and you briefly explained what TKES
12	is, and TKES is used to evaluate North Metro GNETS
13	teachers; is that right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And that's for all North Metro GNETS
16	teachers?
17	A Yes. It's also statewide.
18	Q Does do you or your leadership staff
19	only evaluate the North Metro GNETS teachers who are
20	on your payroll?
21	A No.
22	Q You evaluate
23	A All of them.
24	Q And this here discusses that your site
25	coordinators complete all TKES walk-throughs,



1	formatives and summative evaluations. Right?
2	A Correct.
3	Q I believe you said earlier that you do
4	conduct TKES evaluations for teachers who are
5	struggling?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Do you do walk-throughs of those teachers
8	as well?
9	A Yes.
10	MS. GARDNER: I want to ask the court
11	reporter to please mark this document as
12	Plaintiff's Exhibit 632.
13	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-632 was
14	marked for identification.)
15	BY MS. GARDNER:
16	Q Dr. Holifield, you've been handed what has
17	been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 632?
18	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
19	Q This is an email from you to Vickie
20	Cleveland with a cc: to Deanie Fincher?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Dated March 29, 2019, with a subject "Re:
23	GNETS Setup."
24	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
25	Q This document is Bates-stamped GA00343281.



## CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 01, 2022 124

1	Do you recognize this?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Who is Deanie Fincher?
4	A She is the DOE person that was assigned to
5	us that was over the TKES and LKES system at the DOE
6	level.
7	Q So she works specifically with TKES and
8	LKES?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And am I correct that in this email thread
11	Vickie Cleveland sends you an email on March 29,
12	2019, saying: "Do your coordinators evaluate
13	teachers?"
14	A Yes.
15	Q And then you respond and say: "Yes, I
16	only evaluate teachers who are struggling."
17	Correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q When you say you only evaluate teachers
20	who are struggling, how do you determine which
21	teachers are struggling?
22	A By performance. I mean, you know,
23	teachers that are having a hard time making progress
24	with students academically and behaviorally, and
25	after we go in and provide them with ongoing



1	professional development and they're still
2	struggling, you know, we go in and we do more
3	ongoing side-by-side coaching and support for them.
4	Q So when you're determining which teachers
5	are struggling, do you look at data from the
6	students in that teacher's classroom?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Whether those students are making progress
9	academically and behaviorally?
10	A Yes. That's not the only thing but that
11	is inclusive of, yes.
12	Q Is there any sort of rubric or guidelines
13	that you use to determine when the lack of progress
14	is problematic and indicates a teacher is
15	struggling?
16	A Yes. We do a classroom observation
17	walk-through.
18	Q And is there a classroom observation tool
19	that you use when you do that?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Where does that classroom observation tool
22	come from?
23	A It's a modified version of a classroom
24	walk-through observation the DOE and school
25	improvement use.



1	Q When you say modified, you took the DOE
2	observation tool and made your own
3	A Yes.
4	Q changes to it?
5	What things did you change about it?
6	A It's been so long now, I don't really
7	recall, but basically it's divided up into each of
8	the standards, and so we go in and we look at no
9	less than two different areas off the evaluation
10	tool at a time, but it basically is just kind of
11	more of a snapshot. So over the course of the year
12	we look at all eight standards for teachers but we
13	are able to provide them with immediate feedback on
14	that.
15	Q For teachers who are struggling, is there
16	anything different about their TKES evaluation
17	process other than you being the one who conducts
18	the evaluation?
19	A We go in more frequently. So I don't
20	always have to go in to provide that specific
21	support to a teacher that's struggling, but I also
22	try to go in to do that.
23	So we basically will pump up more
24	observation and feedback, and then we do coaching

and modeling and ongoing support. So our curriculum



	specialists	help	with	that	as	well.
--	-------------	------	------	------	----	-------

Q So for a teacher that's struggling, how often would you go in? Like on a -- in a given semester?

A Again, It depends on the severity of what the issue is. I mean sometimes it's a matter of a teacher not understanding how to do specially designed instruction. So at a minimum four times a year is what a traditional teacher gets that's not a veteran. They get two in the first semester, two in the second semester, but we add more observations and sessions with them. And we also model lessons.

Q When you say specially designed instruction, what do you mean by that?

A Basically differentiated instruction that's more individualized for the student.

Q Am I correct that if you look at the earlier parts of this email thread, so the emails that started the conversation, that this document relates to GNETS directors' ability to access the TKES and LKES tab from SLDS?

A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)

Q Was there some sort of change being made for GNETS directors here? Was this part of getting GNETS directors up and running on SLDS?



1	A It's up and running. I mean sometimes it
2	would it worked fine and sometimes we would have
3	to go back the next year and tweak the system.
4	Q So if you look on Page 2 of this document
5	and this is in the email that you sent to Deanie
6	Fincher on March 28, 2019
7	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
8	Q you say, and this is kind of midway
9	down on the first paragraph: "I too am concerned."
10	Do you see that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q "I too am concerned that we/North Metro
13	GNETS may continue to have some access problems
14	since Gwinnett has their own evaluation system and
15	APS is moving in that direction as well."
16	What access problems were you concerned
17	about here?
18	A Well, I think, if I recall from this
19	particular incident, our folks would have access
20	from one year to the next, and then at the end of
21	the year it was almost like some of the information
22	would fall out of the system and I would have to go
23	back through multiple times contacting the DOE with
24	assistance to get them added back in.

I have access to add them back in, but

sometimes,	, based on	me add:	ing them	in I	could	see
them, but	when my e	mployees	s would :	log or	n to be	able
to access	the syste	m, they	couldn'	t actı	ally s	ee it

So it was like some kind of internal glitch that took some time to get worked out.

Q And when you say "like adding them in I could see them," are you talking about the teachers that you were evaluating? What are you talking about?

A I can add in everybody. So if I get a new employee or if somebody leaves, I am the -- I guess they call it the super user. So I enter in all of my staff's information, but in order for them to be evaluated within the system, they have to see it on their end, too.

entered and everything looks good, but on their end they still couldn't -- they were getting an error message. So it's kind of a back and forth conversation with Deanie Fincher and Hubert Bennett working with the school information system people at the LEA level. They would to the background work to get all that -- the systems talking to each other.

Q Okay. But in terms of the information that you were entering, this was being entered in



_	
1	SLDS?
	COULCE

A	Infinite	Campus	 yes,	SLDS	and	then
Infinite	Campus, ye	es.				

Q So you note here that you were concerned about access problems since Gwinnett has their own evaluation system and APS is moving in that direction as well. What did it mean for Gwinnett to have its own evaluation system?

A Well, you know, at one point I could not actually see the people in Gwinnett's access in SLDS because they use -- their system is called like a GT -- GTES or GLES. And, again, because they used their own system, it was very difficult to see them. But over time they got the two systems to talk. So I can see them now.

Q And just to be clear, even though Gwinnett had its own evaluation system, you were still evaluating North Metro GNETS teachers serving Gwinnett County students using TKES?

A Yes. And I only typically evaluate my coordinators, and my coordinators evaluate the teachers.

Q But your team was --

A Yes.

Q -- still evaluating those teachers using



1	TKES?
2	A Yes. Well, let me correct that.
3	They were not using TKES. They were using
4	the Gwinnett system, which looks almost exactly like
5	TKES and LKES, but they call it something different.
6	So, in other words, the SLDS system and
7	the TKES and LKES kind of start talking to the
8	Gwinnett system. So they were using the Gwinnett
9	platform. I guess it's like a single sign-on
10	portal, but they were using the Gwinnett evaluation
11	system that was being accessed through SLDS.
12	Q Okay. And they were entering the data
13	there?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And in terms of what they were entering, I
16	guess the guidelines or standards, was that being
17	drawn from TKES?
18	A Yes.
19	Q The last school year, in the 2021-2022
20	school year, how many North Metro GNETS teachers did
21	you evaluate because they were struggling?
22	A None.
23	Q And what about this year?
24	A I have one that I'm about to evaluate



that's struggling.

1	Q I want to turn for a moment back to
2	Plaintiff's Exhibit 631, which is the North Metro
3	GNETS FY 22 Grant Application, and I have just a
4	couple of other questions for you.
5	On Page 33 of that document, and this is
6	connected to evaluations and walk-throughs.
7	At the top, the first dark bullet point
8	says: "Observers will utilize the appropriate GNETS
9	Observation Checklist as a portion of all TKES
10	observations."
11	Do you see that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q What is the GNETS Observation Checklist?
14	A Basically, like I said before, we go
15	through and there are the eight standards, and we
16	make sure that we evaluate and look at at least two
17	areas at a time in there, and we provide feedback on
18	that.
19	Q So is this the observation tool that you
20	were saying
21	A Yes.
22	Q you tweaked?
23	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
24	Q Okay. Then I'd like to have you turn to
25	Page 40. This page discusses iReady.



1	Do you see that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q What is iReady?
4	A IReady is a diagnostic tool that we
5	progress monitor reading and math skills for our
6	students who aren't on grade level.
7	Q Is that is iReady used at all of North
8	Metro's GNETS sites?
9	A Yes, except for high school. It wasn't
LO	normed for high school.
L1	Q And when you say it wasn't normed for high
L2	school, who made that decision?
L3	A The, the developer. It's only a K-8
L4	program.
L5	Q On this page this discusses Usage, Past
L6	Rate, and Growth. Do you see that?
L7	A Yes.
L8	Q And under Usage, it says, looking for
L9	students to accomplish greater than or equal to 45
20	minutes per week per subject.
21	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
22	Q Do you see that?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Where does that guideline for usage come
25	from?



1	A That came from iReady, and the goals that
2	were set across GNETS from the State when we began
3	using iReady.
4	Q When did you begin using iReady?
5	A When the GNETS Strategic Plan was put
6	together, which goes back to Nakeba. So maybe 2015,
7	2016.
8	That's a guess.
9	Q And you said that these were goals that
10	were set across all GNETS by the State
11	A Yes.
12	Q at that time?
13	So all GNETS programs use iReady?
14	A Yes.
15	Q This goal that appears also in usage of 80
16	percent of students with greater than or equal to 45
17	minutes per week per subject, was that also a goal
18	set by the State when iReady was rolled out?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And then under Past Rate it says, "Look
21	for 70% and above."
22	A Yes.
23	Q Is that also a goal that was set by the
24	State when iReady was rolled out?
25	A Yes.



					_	
O	And	then	turn	to	Page	45.

At the bottom of this page there's a discussion of the types of services GNETS staff are providing to general education teachers and students within the GNETS catchment area.

Do you see that?

A Yes.

Q And it says: "North Metro GNETS is offering ongoing training and support to general education teachers in behavioral data collection, reporting, and analysis, Function Based Interventions and Supports, PBIS, and FBA/BIP interventions and supports."

A Yes.

Q How often is North Metro GNETS providing that sort of training and support to general education teachers?

A The most common time is when we have a child that's being referred for GNETS services. So we actually go in and we ask for all of the data that the general ed teacher has taken on the students. We look at that IEP, we look at their data, we look at the interventions that they put in the IEP that they're supposed to be implementing, and we go in and do an observation and provide



feedback.	So it's	s kind of	that	BST	model	again,
behavioral	skills	training	•			

And we talk to them about whether or not they are effectively implementing the goals and objectives and the Behavior Intervention Plan.

Q So most commonly this type of training and support is in the feedback that you give when a student is referred for consideration for GNETS services?

A Yes. Sometimes building principals will ask us to come do trainings with their staff as well, but most frequently it's when there's a child that's being referred for services. Before we go any further, we want to make sure that they're actually doing what they're supposed to be doing.

Q And so last school year, the 2021-2022 school year, how many times, if at all, did North Metro provide training to like an entire building staff? As you just said, sometimes principals ask for it.

A I don't know the answer to that. I would have to ask each of my coordinators since they're in the schools every day.

Q So when that training is done, that's not you personally, it's your site coordinators?



1	A Typically, it's the site coordinators. I
2	do trainings to like the district behavior
3	coordinators at you know, for the whole district.
4	Q And how often do you personally do those
5	kind of whole district trainings?
6	A I do it typically at least once a year for
7	each of my school districts, and sometimes more
8	frequently.
9	Q And last year how many times did you do
10	them?
11	A Approximately three to four. Approximate.
12	Q At the very bottom of this section, the
13	very last sentence says: "There appears to be a
14	great training need statewide for more GNETS related
15	PL."
16	Do you see that?
17	A Are you at the bottom paragraph? In the
18	box or
19	Q The very last sentence at the very bottom
20	of Page 45.
21	A Okay.
22	Q Do you see that sentence?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And PL, meaning professional learning?
25	A Yes.



1	Q What, what does this mean? What was the
2	basis for this sentence?
3	A Again, basically, you know, we go in and
4	we provide that BST training, behavior skills
5	training. A lot of teachers think they're
6	implementing with fidelity, and sometimes they are
7	and sometimes they are not. So when we go in and
8	provide that ongoing coaching and support and
9	training, they're very appreciative of that.
10	Q And including this sentence, was the
11	thrust of this that there is a great need in terms
12	of people actually understanding what implementing
13	with fidelity looks like? Or what were you trying
14	to get at there?
15	A Just that there's an ongoing training
16	need, period.
17	Q And you're saying that that training need
18	is statewide?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And what was that based on?
21	A Just my recollection recollection of
22	what I'm being asked to do to help support school
23	systems and the other GNETS directors.
24	Q Just one other question on this document.
25	I just want to make sure I'm correct in



1	understanding.
2	The final pages of this application I
3	believe contain charts with each of the GNETS staff
4	members and their position, their certification,
5	type and level.
6	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
7	Q Areas of their certification and their
8	years of experience.
9	A Yes.
L <sub>0</sub>	Q Is that right?
L1	MS. GARDNER: I'm happy to go off the
L2	record if you want to switch whatever it is you
L3	need to switch.
L4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:08 p.m.,
L5	and we are off the record.
L6	(Discussion ensued off the record.)
L7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:09 p.m.
L8	and we are on the record.
L9	MS. GARDNER: I'm going to ask the court
20	reporter to please mark this document as
21	Plaintiff's Exhibit 633.
22	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-633 was
23	marked for identification.)
24	BY MS. GARDNER:
25	Q You have been handed what's been marked as



1	Plaintiff's Exhibit 633. This is a document
2	produced to the United States by North Metro GNETS
3	program in response to a document subpoena. The
4	file name as produced was "FY 22 GNETS Employment
5	Status."
6	Do you recognize this document?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And is this a document that you prepared
9	and collected for response to the United States
10	subpoena?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Is this a list of North Metro GNETS staff?
13	A It was at the time, yes.
14	Q Okay. And this is the FY 22 list?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Correct that this shows each staff
17	person's name and their position?
18	A Yes.
19	Q It also shows their certification and
20	total years of experience?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And then their years of experience in
23	GNETS in particular?
24	A Years of experience, period, in education.
25	Q Right. Is there also a GNETS years of



Τ	experience over here?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. And then it contains each staff
4	person's gender and ethnicity as well?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And this says "Term Date." Does that mean
7	termination date?
8	A Yes.
9	Q If there's a termination date listed here,
10	does that termination mean involuntary termination,
11	or does that could that be voluntary?
12	A It could be voluntary. Usually it's
13	voluntary.
14	Q On this first page, I believe the last
15	name is Goff?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And there's a termination date there. Was
18	that a voluntary or involuntary termination?
19	A So termination in the terms of this means
20	they quit. So they terminated employment with us.
21	Not terminated as in being fired.
22	Q Okay. I'm curious, there are
23	psychologists listed in your staffing list, some who
24	have an entry for certification and others for whom
25	the certification field is blank.



1	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
2	Q Is there a reason the certification field
3	would be blank?
4	A No. All my school psychologists have
5	certifications.
6	Q So just looking on this first page, the
7	last name is Flamming? Crothers-Flamming, I guess.
8	A Yes.
9	Q Part-time psychologist.
10	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
11	Q And there's nothing listed for
12	certification. Is there a reason for that?
13	A We have a new CFO and I don't know how she
14	pulled this report, but Judith is my retired one
15	that has over 35 years of experience as a social
16	as a school psychologist. I'm not sure why that's
17	blank.
18	Q Okay.
19	A The same thing with Arlene Barrow, she has
20	about 20 years of certification with her Ph.D. I'm
21	not sure why it's blank but she is definitely
22	certified as a school psychologist.
23	Q Yeah. So Barrow has under
24	certification it says SRS-7.
25	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)



## CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 01, 2022

1	Q	There's something there?
2	А	Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
3	Q	I'm just curious about the other
4	psycholog:	ists.
5	А	Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
6	Q	The same thing on the second page, you
7	have a so	cial worker last name McNeely?
8	А	She's no longer with us, yes.
9	Q	That certification was blank. Was that
10	person ce	rtified?
11	А	Yes. I think she had a provisional
12	certificat	tion.
13	Q	What does that mean to have a provisional
14	certificat	tion?
15	А	Meaning when she started with us, she had
16	graduated	from a certified social work program and
17	she was at	ttempting to take the school social worker
18	exam with	the State of Georgia.
19	Q	Okay. And when you you say she
20	graduated	from a certified school social work
21	program.	Is that master's level program, a bachelor
22		
23	А	Yeah.
24	Q	Master's level program?
25	А	Yes.



1	Q On the last page you have another social
2	worker whose last name is Spivey, and it does not
3	have a certification. Is that person also
4	provisionally certified?
5	A She started with us with a provisional.
6	She had just graduated I think from UGA and started
7	and she took the exam after she started.
8	Q Is she certified now?
9	A Yes. But she's no longer with us. She's
10	a master's level but she's certified in master's
11	level but she's no longer with us.
12	Q Okay. What is the process at North Metro
13	GNETS for hiring new personnel when there's a
14	vacancy?
15	A We post the position on our teacher
16	Georgia website and also on our Metro RESA website.
17	The job notice and announcement and the
18	expectations, the duties and responsibilities are
19	posted as well.
20	Q And what happens after that?
21	A They start submitting applications for
22	interest and an interview process is set up.
23	Q And how does the interview process work?
24	A Typically, I do what is called a fishbowl

interview, where I have -- like if I have three



1	applicants that are applying for a social worker's
2	position I have a panel of experts and we
3	round-robin questions. I've already reviewed their
4	application and their certifications and all of
5	that. And we score each of the applicant's
6	applications and I call references. And if they
7	pass the background check and the references are
8	good, if the position is still available, they're
9	offered a position.
LO	Q And to be clear, when you do the fishbowl
L1	interview process, you're not interviewing all the
L2	applicants at the same time, right?
L3	A Yes.
L4	Q Oh, you are?
L5	A It's a school improvement thing that's
L6	been going on for the last 10 years and it is
L7	amazing. They're like I tell them up front,
L8	you're fighting for the same position. Because a
L9	resume can be perfect, but when you actually meet
20	somebody, they may not be what the resume says.
21	It's wonderful.
22	Q Do is it your practice to interview
2.3	everyone who applies for a position?



No.

So there's screening?

Α

24

1	A There's screening before and after the
2	interview. But, yes.
3	Q And do you do the screening before
4	interviews yourself, or are there others on your
5	team who participate?
6	A I do it myself. And then my HR digs
7	deeper after you know, when we get ready to
8	recommend someone for hire. HR also.
9	Q Do the other people who participate on
10	your interview panels, is it the sort of same group
11	of people or do you vary that?
12	A I vary it.
13	Q And what is that based on?
14	A The position and the location.
15	Q What happens after the interview is
16	conducted?
17	A Again, as a team, the interview of the
18	experts, we score each of the questions the
19	applicants answered and we talk about whether or not
20	they actually answered the question with the
21	specificity and the depth that we needed.
22	We talk about their background. We score
23	all of that, and then we rank order the top first,
24	second and third applicant, and then I start calling

the references because it may turn out your first



1	person you ranked as the highest order turns out
2	that their background is not good or the references
3	aren't good.
4	So I automatically lead with the three
5	recommendations that I would consider.
6	Q And who makes the final decision about
7	hiring?
8	A I do, unless the background check comes
9	back not clear, and then HR and I both agree it's
10	not a good fit.
11	Q So you make a final decision, and once you
12	make a final decision, what happens after that?
13	A We I submit the package to HR and
14	budget, and then they determine the salary
15	calculations and all of that and the start date.
16	And when I say I do, it's really the whole
17	team has scored the applicants and rank ordered
18	them.
19	Q I'm going to talk a little bit more by
20	some of the therapeutic services North Metro GNETS
21	provides.
22	MS. GARDNER: I'm going to ask the court
23	reporter to please mark this as Plaintiff's
24	Exhibit 634.

(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-634 was



1	marked for identification.)
2	BY MS. GARDNER:
3	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
4	Plaintiff's Exhibit 634. This is an email thread
5	between you and Vickie Cleveland. The most recent
6	email is from you to Vickie Cleveland on May 9,
7	2018.
8	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
9	Q With the subject "Re: Format for
10	interventions."
11	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
12	Q And there's one attachment that is a Word
13	document with the file name "FY 18 GA0 Open Records
14	Request_NM GNETS Template."
15	The Bates-stamp on this document is
16	GA00321183.
17	Do you recognize this?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Am I correct that you are providing the
20	attachment to this email to Ms. Cleveland in
21	response to a request from her for information she
22	needed to respond to an open records request?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And what does the attachment to this email
25	show?



1	A The interventions that we provide, the
2	services and interventions, how it's funded, and who
3	provides those services.
4	Q And there are five interventions on this
5	chart in-kind by LEAs; is that right?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And that's Read 180/Edmark Reading, Touch
8	Math, Reading A To Z, Fountas & Pinnell Intervention
9	Program, and Success Maker Reading & Math?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Are all of these academic interventions?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Are all of these used in all North Metro
14	GNETS sites, or are there some used in some sites
15	and some used in others?
16	A They're district specific. And so some of
17	these have been updated even since this report.
18	Q Okay. We talked earlier about iReady,
19	which appears on this list as well, correct?
20	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
21	Q And that's also an academic intervention?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Funded by the GNETS state grant?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Is Edgenuity an academic intervention?



А	It's	an	actual	platform	that	has	the
milestone	curri	.cu]	Lum.				

Q And is that used in all North Metro GNETS sites?

A Only in classrooms where the teachers are not professionally qualified all the way.

Q Okay. So Edgenuity is an academic --

A Standard space curriculum.

Q And you use that when teachers don't have the professional qualifications to deliver in-person instruction?

A Well, partially. So it's actually aligned with the State standards. So the teachers have this as a resource. They also align it with the district curriculum as well.

Q When you have Edgenuity used in those classrooms where the teachers are not professionally qualified all the way, is Edgenuity the thing that provides the grade to the student?

A It depends on how it's set up. So it's really - we use the blended learning model. So it's 33, 33, 33.

So basically 33 percent of the instruction is provided by using the resources on Edgenuity, 33 percent of the instruction is provided by direct --



teaching	from	the	teacher,	and	then	33	percent
project-k	pased	lear	cning.				

Q And so where you have a teacher that's not professionally qualified all the way, is there something magical about the number 33 that allows that teacher to deliver instruction in a way that's broken down in that manner?

A Well, we just want to make sure that all the students are getting the individualized instruction that they need from the various resources that are available, that are already aligned with the milestones.

So there's this thought that GNETS kids are sitting on a computer all day, which is not true. So we do provide direct instruction using Edgenuity as a resource for academics.

O What is KTEA-3?

A That is the Kaufman test of educational achievement, and it basically is an academic evaluation that is -- we administer to all of our kids once a year, reading, math, writing, and the subcategories.

Q That's funded by the GNETS state grant?

A Yes. Our school psychologists administer that.



1	Q And what about, moving down, the
2	Multi-tiered Systems of Support, both Academic and
3	Behavioral?
4	A Yes.
5	Q What is that?
6	A Basically PBIS. There's an academic and a
7	behavioral tier support services. So MTSS. And the
8	tiers are interventional, are very similar.
9	Q That's funded by the GNETS state grant?
10	A Yes.
11	Q What is the BASC-3?
12	A The basic skills it's a, it's a
13	behavioral intervention. I'm trying to remember the
14	initials for it. But it's a behavior normed test
15	that we administer to our students that will tease
16	out, based on the responses from the teachers, from
17	the parents, from the service providers, the areas
18	where our kids are either clinically significant, at
19	risk, or, you know, on par with where they should be
20	for their same age and grade level.
21	Q And do you use that to assess where
22	students are behaviorally?
23	A Yes.
24	Q That's funded by the GNETS state grant?
25	A Yes.



## CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 01, 2022 153

1	Q	This also lists the Strength &
2	Difficult	ies Questionnaire, or SDQ?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	What is the SDQ?
5	А	That's a screening tool we use as part of
6	the GNETS	Strategic Plan, that all GNETS statewide
7	were aske	d to use as a part of the new strategic
8	plan. So	we can get a quick screener on how the
9	kids are	performing.
10	Q	And who asked all the GNETS programs to
11	use SDQ?	
12	А	The DOE.
13	Q	The SDQ is funded by the GNETS state
14	grant?	
15	А	Yes, it is.
16	Q	This also says Group & Individual
17	Therapeut	ic Support Services and Counseling?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	That's funded by the GNETS state grant?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	And is that because all of the positions
22	for the p	roviders who deliver that intervention are
23	funded th	rough the State grant?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	There's several other interventions on



1	this list, and we don't necessarily need to go
2	through every single one of them, but I want to make
3	sure I understand which of the interventions on this
4	list are therapeutic interventions.
5	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
6	Q So if you could just sort of look down the
7	list and tell me the ones that are therapeutic, that
8	would be helpful.
9	A Okay. So I'll start with the Multi-tiered
10	Systems of Supports. Because it has academic and
11	behavioral interventions, that includes therapeutic
12	interventions.
13	The BASC-3, the results that come from
14	that, that shows us where the areas are clinically
15	and at risk of significance. Then we take that
16	information and we provide therapeutic interventions
17	based on the results of that.
18	The Group & Individual Therapeutic
19	Supports, again we get that tiered information.
20	That's part of the therapeutic supports.
21	The WhyTry, is a part of the therapeutic
22	curriculum.
23	The ABA is a therapeutic piece that we
24	provide.

The Mindset verbal de-escalation piece is



1	therapeutic, where we talk our kids through
2	understanding what, what is going on with their
3	behaviors.

The LIPT is an outside service provider that we talked about earlier. They come in and they provide therapeutic support services inside and outside of the school with us.

Morehouse School of Medicine, our child psychiatrists provides those psychological and therapeutic support services.

And so same thing with LSCI.

On the next page, the last three on there, Trauma Informed Care, FBA and BIP, and Check-In and Check-Out. And I already mentioned PBIS, which is really a part of the Token Economy. All of those have a therapeutic component.

Q What is Token Economy?

A Basically, it's part of the PBIS system where our kids, after they exhibit appropriate behaviors, they can earn extra points to have special privileges.

Q You talked a little bit earlier about your social workers and their involvement in group individual therapy, and I believe you said that in this sort of Tier I, Tier 2, Tier III structure that



1	all North Metro GNETS students receive some sort of
2	group therapy at least three times a month?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Those three times a month sessions, how
5	long do those sessions typically last?
6	A It depends, because we of course,
7	academics is what they're in school for. So it
8	depends on how their scheduled.
9	So the length of time for a high school
10	student is going to be much different than for an
11	elementary student because they are required by law
12	to go have their academics piece. So it just kind
13	of depends on how long they are.
14	They may be 15 minutes, they may be 30
15	minute sessions. But it does vary.
16	Q Is that the range, they would be somewhere
17	between 15 and 30 minutes?
18	A Typically. Sometimes longer depending on
19	the needs of the student.
20	But the Tier III students get a whole lot
21	more, but we do have to schedule it so they get
22	academics first.
23	Q So for students who are on Tier 2 or Tier
24	III and are getting other sorts of therapy, is there

any sort of guideline for how long those sessions on



any given occasio	n	would	d las	st?	Lil	ce w	what's	the
shortest amount o	f	time	and	what	c's	the	long	est
amount of time?								

A No. Again, because we have to schedule it based on what is required by the State for academics first. So sometimes those sessions are lunch bunches, where we will take the time during lunch. We'll have lunch and we'll have a session during lunch. So lunches could be anywhere between 30 minutes to 40 minutes.

Sometimes they are on their -- their homeroom day. So it just depends on how the student's schedule is.

Q And then what about individual therapy sessions, is there any sort of rule of thumb for -- what the range of time those would last on any given occasion?

A No. Again, it depends on the child's schedule or the crisis that a child might be experiencing at the time.

Q For the current school year, 2022-2023, do you have a sense of how many of the students at North Metro GNETS are in that Tier 2 level that would be more than just the three times per month?

A The majority.



1	Q And what about how many are in Tier III?
2	A Again, the majority.
3	Q So does that mean the majority of students
4	at North Metro GNETS are getting sort of individual
5	therapy above and beyond that three times per month
6	
7	A Yes.
8	Q sort of baseline?
9	A Yes.
10	MS. GARDNER: I'm going to ask the court
11	reporter to please mark this as Plaintiff's
12	Exhibit 635.
13	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-635 was
14	marked for identification.)
15	BY MS. GARDNER:
16	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
17	Plaintiff's Exhibit 635. This is an email thread
18	between you and Nakeba Rahming from October 2016.
19	The first page of this document is
20	Bates-stamped GA00065489?
21	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
22	Q Do you recognize this?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Beginning with the earliest in time email,
25	which appears at the bottom, am I correct that you



1	emailed Ms. Rahming on October 6, 2016, and you
2	said: My Fulton County Social Workers are attending
3	a 'WhyTry' social emotional learning workshop and
4	they are raving about this curriculum. Are you
5	still considering purchasing a SEL curriculum for
6	us? If so, have you looked into this one? Please
7	let me know your thoughts. Thanks."
8	Do you see that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q First of all, what is, what is an SEL
11	curriculum?
12	A Social/emotional learning.
13	Q And Ms. Rahming responds to you the next
14	day, and she says: "I am familiar with Why Try. I
15	will not be purchasing a curriculum. I provided the
16	directors with an approved list of curriculums to
17	purchase. It is on our approved list."
18	Correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q You then reply to Ms. Rahming and ask:
21	"Is the approved list in the GNETS Directors online
22	notebook?"
23	A Yes.
24	Q What was the GNETS directors online
25	notebook?



1	A At one point they had created like a share
2	drive to share GNETS resources in one location with
3	all GNETS directors.
4	Q Okay. When you say "they"
5	A The DOE.
6	Q Okay.
7	A Sorry.
8	Q Just make sure the record is clear.
9	Did you receive a response to this
10	inquiry?
11	A She said it was on the approved list, so I
12	purchased that curriculum.
13	Q And was that curriculum purchased for
14	students in all North Metro GNETS sites?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And you are still using WhyTry today at
17	North Metro, correct?
18	A Yes.
19	MS. GARDNER: I'll ask the court reporter
20	to please mark this document as Plaintiff's
21	Exhibit 636.
22	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-636 was
23	marked for identification.)
24	BY MS. GARDNER:
25	Q You've been handed what's been marked as



1	Plaintiff's Exhibit 636. This is an email from you
2	to Nakeba Rahming dated August 2nd, 2017, with the
3	subject "GNETS RBT MOU."
4	A Uh-hum.
5	Q And one attachment that is a Word document
6	with the file name "GNETS RBT MOU."
7	The document is Bates-stamped GA00791440.
8	Do you recognize this?
9	A Yes.
10	Q In this email to Ms. Rahming, am I correct
11	that you write attached is a copy of the GNETS RBT
12	MOU I drafted. Please let me know what you think."
13	A Yes.
14	Q What prompted you to send this draft MOU
15	to Ms. Rahming?
16	A Because they were talking about getting us
17	some more specialized training to be able to meet
18	the needs of our students through TeachTown. And so
19	in order to get our teachers or our interested
20	parties and folks that are providing direct services
21	to our students RBT trained, I wanted to see if this
22	was permissible to share this information in this
23	format.
24	Q Okay. And when you said "they were
25	talking about getting us some more specialized



1	training to be able to meet the needs of students,"				
2	is the "they" who were getting new training with				
3	Georgia Department of Education?				
4	A Yes.				
5	Q When you say "getting us some more				
6	specialized training," was that all GNETS directors				
7	or just North Metro?				
8	A It was the whole state of GNETS, yes.				
9	Q Okay. Got it.				
10	Am I correct that the MOU that's attached				
11	basically requires the GNETS RBT applicant to				
12	complete RBT course work from an approved agency?				
13	A Yes.				
14	Q It also requires the RBT applicant to				
15	complete all duties and responsibilities of the				
16	GNETS Strategic Plan?				
17	A Yes.				
18	Q And the RBT applicant does that in				
19	exchange for the Georgia DOE paying for the RBT				
20	coursework, Competency Assessment and Exam?				
21	A Yes.				
22	Q And this MOU also says the Georgia DOE				
23	would pay for the competency assessment renewal and				
24	RBT supervision if the GNETS RBT agreed to reside as				

a GNETS certified RBT with their fiscal agent for a



## CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 01, 2022

1	minimum of three years after they earn their initial			
2	RBT certification?			
3	A Yes.			
4	Q And that was pending funding?			
5	A Yes.			
6	Q What is a GNETS certified RBT?			
7	A Basically, all of my folks that are			
8	providing direct services to students not all of			
9	them because I didn't have the money to do that			
10	if they were interested in getting the extra			
11	credential to be behavioral experts under the BACB			
12	Board, I paid for them to get trained to be able to			
13	better meet the needs of our students.			
14	So it's not something separate; it's an			
15	RBT.			
16	Q Okay.			
17	A Yeah.			
18	Q So you did have some staff who became RBTs			
19	under this			
20	A Yes.			
21	Q sort of process?			
22	A Yes.			
23	Q And how many did you have?			
24	A At that time I trained all of my lead			
25	teachers because they were itinerant.			



1	I did have one or two coordinators who
2	also got the RBT training. So less than 10, maybe
3	about six at the time.
4	Q And did the Georgia Department of
5	Education pay for some or all of those to get RBT
6	status?
7	A Um, no. They paid for the TeachTown
8	curriculum for them to train us. They were the
9	certified agency, but I reimbursed my staff for
10	taking the exam. I don't think we ever got the
11	\$45.00 for the exam. So I don't recall that we got
12	that information. They did pay for the actual
13	coursework for the RBT training.
14	Q Okay. And that coursework came through
15	TeachTown?
16	A Yes.
17	MS. GARDNER: I would like to please have
18	the court reporter mark this document as
19	Plaintiff's Exhibit 637.
20	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-637 was
21	marked for identification.)
22	BY MS. GARDNER:
23	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
24	Plaintiff's Exhibit 637. This is an email from

Nakeba Rahming to you, dated September 18, 2017,



1	with the subject line: "RBT Notice."
2	And one attachment that is a Word document
3	with a file name "Introduction to RBT for GNETS."
4	The Bates-stamp on this document is
5	GA00793645.
6	Do you recognize this?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And I take it from the last communication
9	that we looked at that you and Ms. Rahming had been
10	having conversations about RBT for GNETS?
11	A Yes.
12	Q I want to take a look well, first of
13	all, Ms. Rahming writes to you in this email:
14	"Please look and this let me know was think."
15	I think that's a typo?
16	A Yes.
17	Q But she was asking you to take a look at
18	the attachment?
19	A Uh-hum.
20	Q So just turning to the attachment, this is
21	a communication directed to the GNETS directors,
22	correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And at the beginning it says: "This
25	notice is to provide you with expectations for GNETS



## CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 01, 2022

1	Registered Behavior Technicians (RBT) trainings and
2	certification. You should have selected two staff
3	members to complete the upcoming RBT trainings."
4	Do you see that?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And I take it from our conversation before
7	that the trainings that are referenced here did
8	actually occur?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And it sound like you selected the two
11	staff members and more to participate?
12	A Yes.
13	Q The attached notice goes on to say, in the
14	same paragraph: "It is expected that staff
15	participation in these trainings will create
16	consistency in job titles, expertise and credentials
17	for personnel who are assigned as behavior experts
18	in GNETS. After your staff have completed the RBT
19	training sessions and earn the certification, they
20	will be identified as GNETS Registered Behavior
21	Technicians rather than behavior coaches, behavior
22	specialists, behavior technicians, etc."
23	Do you see that?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Had you had any discussions with Ms.



Rahming prior to receiving this draft about the need
for consistency and job titles, expertise and
credentials for personnel assigned as behavior
experts in GNETS?

A I don't recall but we talked a lot about behavior and the program needs.

Q Okay. Did you have any behavior coaches, specialists, or technicians at North Metro GNETS at the time this was shared with you?

A I for sure had one behavior specialist, but I knew I needed more. So that's what I recall from the date.

Q Moving down, and this is the beginning of the third paragraph, it says: "Although the certification process is not required to complete the RBT training, it is required for GNETS staff. This will ensure that GNETS staff have a higher level of credentialing and should be certified as behavior experts when working with children presenting severe and intense behavioral challenges. They should also be credentialed beyond educators in the general school setting to ensure that students are receiving behavioral services beyond what could be provided in the home schools ."

Do you see that?



Τ	A Yes.
2	Q Did you agree with this last statement,
3	that GNETS staff should be credentialed beyond
4	educators in the general school setting?
5	A I know it is written in the State Board
6	Rule for GNETS that we will have extra
7	credentialing.
8	Q And are the GNETS programs bound to adhere
9	to the GNETS state rule?
10	A Yes. But it doesn't say it's required.
11	It's recommended.
12	Q Do you agree with that recommendation?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Going farther down, in the third paragraph
15	from the bottom, if you include the last sentence as
16	a paragraph, it says do you see where it says
17	"Upon receiving this credential"?
18	A Um
19	Q It's a lot of words on this page.
20	A It's inside a paragraph?
21	Q Yeah. It's the third sentence in that
22	third paragraph up from the bottom. It starts
23	A Yes, I see it now.
24	Q Okay. It says: "Upon receiving this
25	credential, it is expected that participants sign



1	the attached Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) and
2	commit to providing RBT services with GNETS for one
3	year. Participants have the option to pay for their
4	certification if they decide not to sign the MOU
5	with their GNETS and fiscal agent."
6	Do you see that?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Was the it MOU referenced here in anyway
9	related to the draft MOU that you had previously
10	sent to Ms. Rahming?
11	A Yes.
12	Q What was the relationship?
13	A This MOU that she submitted to us was for
14	one year without a stipend, and in my MOU I paid a
15	\$1,500 stipend annually for the student for the
16	staff who wanted to get the extra RBT certification
17	if they wanted to remain with the program.
18	Q Okay. And am I remembering correctly that
19	your MOU had a commitment of three years? Is that
20	right?

A Yes. So they got a three-year extra stipend on top of their salary, \$1,500 each year.

Q Okay. In the second-to-last paragraph, and this is the -- begins with the language that's in bold, it says: "The RBT is primarily responsible



21

22

23

24

1	for the direct implementation of skill-acquisition
2	and behavior-reduction plans developed by the
3	BCBA-D, BCBA or BCaBA."
4	A Yes.
5	Q "This supervision support will be
6	available from TeachTown throughout the training.
7	GaDOE will contract with TeachTown or collaborate
8	with independent BCBAs or BCaBAs as well as GNETS
9	and community agencies that employ BCBA-Ds, BCBAs or
LO	BCaBAs to ensure ongoing supervision is available
L1	for GNETS RBTs."
L2	A Yes.
L3	Q A lot of acronyms here.
L4	You said earlier that North Metro did
L5	ultimately participate in this RBT training?
L6	A Yes.
L7	Q And did North Metro receive the ongoing
L8	supervision as described here?
L9	A I think the first year TeachTown they
20	provided the coursework for at least two years, but
21	I think in the second year they no longer provided
22	the BCBA supervision. But I had my own BCBAs that
23	were qualified and certified to do the supervision



Okay, understood.

on my RBTs.

24

1		MS. GARDNER: I'd like to have this
2	docum	ent marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 638.
3		(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-638 was
4	mark	ed for identification.)
5	BY MS. GAR	DNER:
6	Q	You have been handed what's been marked as
7	Plaintiff'	s Exhibit 638. This is an email from you
8	to Ms. Rah	ming, dated September 19, 2017, with the
9	subject:	"RE: RBT Notice."
10		This is Bates-stamped GA00793696.
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Do you recognize this?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And is this your response to Ms. Rahming's
15	draft RBT	notice that we just looked at?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	What was your response?
18	A	That I wanted more than two RBTs to be
19	able to be	trained.
20	Q	Was your proposed addition made to the
21	notice?	
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. And you did in fact opt to pay for
24	more than	two RBTs to be trained, correct?
25	A	Yes.



1	MS. GARDNER: I think we're at a logical
2	stopping point, if it makes sense to take a
3	break for lunch.
4	MS. MORRIS: Okay.
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:53 p.m.
6	and we are off the record.
7	(A recess was taken.)
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:50 p.m.,
9	and we are on the record.
LO	MS. GARDNER: I just want to make sure
L1	before we get started that counsel for the
L2	State is back as well.
L3	MS. JOHNSON: I'm back. Thank you. I
L4	appreciate you checking.
L5	BY MS. GARDNER:
L6	Q Welcome back.
L7	A Thank you.
L8	Q So we were talking earlier, and I believe
L9	on several occasions the State Board of Education
20	GNETS rule has come up, right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q What is the State Board of Education GNETS
23	rule?
24	A What is it?
25	Q Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)



A Basically it gives you a definition of
what GNETS is, the services that were 24 programs
across the State of Georgia, and it talks about the
services and supports we provide and that the
students are referred for therapeutic services
through the IEP process.

- Q And I take it that that rule impacts your work as a GNETS director?
  - A Yes, it does.
- Q How do you use the rule in your work as a GNETS director?
  - A I basically use it as framework and a guide for, you know, what are we to do and the services we provide and how the students are referred in and out for services.
  - Q Am I correct that the rule was modified in 2017, and that a new State Board of Education GNETS rule went into effect that year?
    - A Yes.
  - Q Did the North Metro GNETS program provide feedback on the draft rule when the rule was in the process of being revised?
- A Yes.
- Q What kind of feedback did North Metro provide?



1	A One particular thing I think I asked for
2	clarification on is the exit criteria, and I didn't
3	see that it was listed in the new revised State
4	Board Rule. So how are we to, you know, deal with
5	that.
6	Q And when you say the exit criteria wasn't
7	listed in the new revised State Board Rule, was that
8	something that had been in the previous State Board
9	Rule?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And so that's something that you raised in
12	the process of the rule being revised?
13	A Yes. I just wanted to know how we were
14	going if it was going to be a new name. If there
15	was a rule change, how would, you know, we deal with
16	that.
17	Q And who did you sort of present that
18	concern to?
19	A It was probably Nakeba Rahming. I think
20	she was our program manager at the time.
21	Q And did you what, if anything, did you
22	learn when you presented that?
23	A I don't recall, but I think she just said

A I don't recall, but I think she just said we're not using that terminology anymore.

Q Did Ms. Rahming provide an explanation for



24

1	why	that	was	happening?

A I think the exit criteria sounded too much like a hospital kind of terminology.

Q Okay. One more question and then I'm going to show you a document.

In terms of the feedback that North Metro provided on the draft rule when it was in the process of being revised, what was sort of the process that North Metro undertook in order to arrive at that feedback? Like how did you come up with feedback on the rule?

A Again, that's a long time ago, but I think there was public comment, a time frame for public comment to be made. And so when I was made aware of the State Board Rule, just reading through it and seeing if I had clarity or understood or wanted more specificity or whatever based on my input.

Q Okay.

MS. GARDNER: I'm going to ask the court reporter to please mark this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 639.

(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-639 was marked for identification.)

BY MS. GARDNER:

O You've been handed what's been marked as



1	Plaintiff's Exhibit 639, and this is an email thread
2	between you and Nakeba Rahming containing two emails
3	from November and December of 2016.
4	The Bates-stamp on this document is
5	GA00042647.
6	Do you recognize this?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And am I correct that this thread includes
9	an email that you sent to Ms. Rahming on November 30
LO	of 2016, thanking her for all of her hard work on
L1	developing the new proposed GNETS State Board Rule
L2	and providing a combination of questions and
L3	comments by way of feedback?
L4	A Yes.
L5	Q The first thing that you write to Ms.
L6	Rahming is a question and it says: "What are GNETS
L7	supplemental aids and services? Are you referring
L8	to therapeutic supports we offer or are you
L9	referring to the same supplemental supports that
20	other special education programs offer?"
21	Do you see that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q What were you trying to get at here?
24	A I just wanted to clarify. So, you know,

if we're moving to The State Board Rule, that the

services that we're supposed to be offering I had a
clear understanding whether they were above and
beyond or the same supplemental services that are
currently referenced in IDEA.

- Q And what did you learn in response to that question, if anything?
  - A I don't recall that I got a response.
- Q The second question says: "Is it possible to provide a brief description of how IEP placement decisions are made so schools understand that it's not a vote or a unilateral decision of a building administrator?"

Do you see that?

- A Yes.
- Q What prompted this question?
- A Sometimes when students are being referred for services, sometimes certain school districts would stack the deck, per se, and have a lot of people show up. Like in -- basically you think it was taking a vote on how you would decide whether or not a kid was eligible for a GNETS services or not.
- Q And when you say "stack the deck," do you mean bring multiple people in that school, a classroom teacher, a special education teacher, an administrator, all of whom would presumably have



1	some sort of
2	A Yes.
3	Q critical vote in the final outcome?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Had you had experiences where there were
6	differences of opinion about students who were being
7	referred for GNETS services as between the GNETS
8	program and then the building staff?
9	A The LEA? Yes.
10	Q Had you had experience where there were
11	differences of opinions and, you know, where the
12	deck was also stacked?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And how had you handled those situations
15	previously?
16	A Just explained that, you know, determining
17	IEP services and placement is not a vote; it's based
18	on the data and the IEP team agreeing to what those
19	services are and the best need of the student.
20	Q The third item here is feedback, and I
21	believe this is what you were just speaking about,
22	but you say: "The previous 'Exit Criteria'
23	guidelines are missing from the proposed GNETS State
24	Board Rule and we recommended adding them back in."



Do you see that?

1	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
2	Q Why was North Metro GNETS recommending the
3	previous exit criteria guidelines be added back in?
4	A Sometimes it's hard for kids, once the
5	team has decided that the child is really ready to
6	go back to the LRE, that sometimes there's pushback.
7	Q Pushback from whom?
8	A Some of the school districts.
9	Q And so what, what would the exit criteria
10	guidelines provide in a circumstance where there was
11	pushback?
12	A Basically, it gave you a little bit of an
13	explanation of the parameters of when kids are
14	considered to, you know, be ready to move back into
15	the LRE. And so instead the reintegration plan was
16	the terminology that was substituted for the exit
17	criteria.
18	Q Okay. Just so I'm clear, when there was
19	exit criteria guidelines, are you saying that that's
20	something that you could point school systems to if
21	they were resistant to basically receiving GNETS
22	students back into the home school district?
23	A Yes.
24	Q You said the reintegration plan was what

ultimately replaced the exit criteria guidelines?



1	A Yes.
2	Q And what is the reintegration plan?
3	A It basically is a plan that talks about,
4	you know, once the child has met their behavioral
5	criteria, what it looks like so we can train the
6	school districts that's receiving the child back
7	into the LRE, we train them on what we did for the
8	child to be successful.
9	So it's like reintegrating them back into
10	the LRE training, the receivers of those kids, to
11	implement the strategies that were effective for us.
12	Q And you note in this third item, it says:
13	"Also the new proposed GNETS State Board Rule does
14	not reference the reintegration plan. We
15	recommended adding that to the new GNETS rule."
16	Correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Was a reference to the reintegration plan
19	added to the rule?
20	A I think so, yes.
21	Q Just moving up to the later email you sent
22	to Ms. Rahming, the one dated December 1st, 2016,
23	you say: "I'd like to request that as you build
24	your budget for next year that you consider adding

additional funding to GNETS budget so we can hire a



1	GNETS Reintegration/Transition Coach. These people
2	will help us provide therapeutic supports to our
3	students who have exited our program and our
4	teachers so our students will be successful in their
5	LRE."
6	Do you see that?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Did Ms. Rahming agree to fulfill this
9	request?
10	A No.
11	Q Have you secured funding for reintegration
12	or a transition coach since that time?
13	A No.
14	Q Is that something that you are still
15	interested in having?
16	A I think it would be helpful.
17	Q And why do you think it will be helpful?
18	A Well, the kids that are currently
19	receiving full GNETS services, all of our attention
20	is meeting their needs. So at this point we do
21	provide some coaching and support to the teachers
22	when students are going back to the LRE, but it
23	lessens the support for the GNETS students who are
24	like our Tier III of Tier III students. So it kind
25	of lessens the specific training and support for the



Τ	kids that are most in need as well.
2	Q So is it fair to say that you don't have
3	the bandwidth to both provide the supports to
4	students who are transitioning back into their home
5	systems and also meet the needs of sort of the
6	students who are, you know, in the Tier III level
7	A Yes.
8	Q of North Metro currently?
9	A Yes.
10	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to ask the court
11	reporter to please mark this document as
12	Plaintiff's Exhibit 640.
13	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-640 was
14	marked for identification.)
15	BY MS. GARDNER:
16	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
17	Plaintiff's Exhibit 640. This is an email from you
18	to Nakeba Rahming, dated December 8, 2016, with the
19	subject: "North Metro GNETS Reintegration Plan -
20	Draft."
21	It includes one attachment that is a Word
22	document with the file name "NM GNETS Reintegration
23	Plan_Draft_R."
24	And this document is Bates-stamped
25	GA00042977.



1	Do you recognize this document?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Is this North Metro's draft reintegration
4	plan that you are forwarding to Ms. Rahming?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And you tell Ms. Rahming that the
7	reintegration plan is not done but you want her to
8	see what you have so she can consider your request
9	for a GNETS reintegration coach or coordinator to
10	assist with implementation?
11	A Yes.
12	Q As a GNETS director, were you required to
13	develop a reintegration plan?
14	A No.
15	Q So I want to talk about this reintegration
16	plan.
17	In general, what is the purpose of this
18	plan?
19	A Just to understand how we could make sure
20	our students are getting what they need, and if they
21	go back into the LRE, which is the last placement
22	that referred them on for services, that once
23	they've met their behavioral and academic goals,
24	that they could go back and be successful there,
25	because otherwise you have a high recidivism rate,



1	if the teachers in the LRE don't know how to meet
2	their needs.
3	Q When you say you have a high recidivism
4	rate, you mean if the teachers in the LRE don't know
5	how to meet their needs, those students just end
6	back up at North Metro GNETS?
7	A They could, yes.
8	Q On the first page this includes a GNETS
9	Continuum of Service Delivery & Environments.
10	Do you see that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And at the very top it says: "GNETS
13	services Provided in the 'General Education' Setting
14	in Zoned or Public School."
15	Do you see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Does North Metro provide any GNETS
18	services in the general education setting currently?
19	A It depends on if the child is in a
20	co-taught classroom. Sometimes we will go in and do
21	observations and provide the teacher with, you know,
22	training and support that way. So it's not direct
23	services to the student; it's to the staff.

So in the general education setting North

Metro may provide services to staff, but not direct



Q

24

1	services to students?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And then the next sort of step on this
4	Continuum of Service Delivery & Environments says:
5	"GNETS Services Provided in 'Pull-Out' from the
6	General Education Setting in Zoned or Public
7	School."
8	Do you see that?
9	A Yes.
LO	Q Does North Metro provide any GNETS
L1	services in a pull-out setting in general education?
L2	A It's typically the same as, as a
L3	consultative model to the teachers in that
L4	environment.
L5	Q So for this particular step on the
L6	Continuum of Service Delivery & Environments the
L7	North Metro GNETS program would be providing support
L8	to the teacher but not necessarily direct support to
L9	the student?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Where on this continuum does direct
22	support to students begin in terms of the services
23	provided by North Metro GNETS?
24	A When a GNETS student is actually in a
2.5	GNETS classroom that is funded with GNETS staff.



But in this continuum, it's a consultative
the recommendation was for consultative services
to be provided so as the child transitions from most
restrictive to least restrictive that everybody has
the proper training they need to meet the student's
needs.

Q Is it fair to say from this reintegration plan that the idea behind the plan is that students returning to the general education environment will receive supports from the GNETS program while making that transition and that the support the GNETS program provides will gradually decrease as the student accrues more weeks in that LRE environment?

A Yes. If the student was successful.

Q So on this reintegration plan a student would begin with three days of face-to-face or virtual support from GNETS during their first and second weeks of being back in their LRE?

A Yes.

Q And then over time that would decrease to eventually one day per month during their 10th through 18th weeks?

A Yes.

Q Turn to the second-to-last page. This contains a North Metro GNETS PBIS Level System.



Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Can you explain the PBIS Level System
addressed here?
A Basically PBIS is one overall system where
we have classroom and schoolwide expectations of
what the expected behaviors are, but as the student
progresses over time they earn more privileges, and
the reinforcements and the rewards are greater.
Q And is this the level system that applies
in any North Metro GNETS classroom or center?
A It varies a little bit because some of our
schools that are our programs are located, if
they have their own PBIS system in that school, we
follow that program, so our kids are following the
same thing the other kids are following in the
program in the school. Excuse me.
Q Would this be the PBIS Level System that
applies in any North Metro GNETS center?
A Yes. And some of our traditional schools
as well, because not all of the schools in our
districts are PBIS schools.
Q Okay. So am I correct in understanding
from this level system that to participate in all

school events and activities, a student has to keep



1	70 percent or more of their points for five
2	consecutive days?
3	A Where are you seeing that?
4	Q On the very last page of this document.
5	The definition for Level 1.
6	A Yes.
7	Q What happens if a student has 69 percent
8	of their points or less?
9	A They still get earned reinforcements but
10	they don't get the added privileges added back on.
11	Q Okay. So would a student who is at Level
12	D would not be able to participate in all school
13	events and activities?
14	A No. Anything that all students get, they
15	get as well. But sometimes our students will get
16	extra privileges on top of the schoolwide
17	privileges. Because they are they had different
18	interests and things that they wanted to work for.
19	Q Okay. And then according to this scale,
20	above 70 percentage points for every additional 10
21	percentage points there is some new level with
22	privileges?
23	A Yes.
24	Q This chart references Automatic Level
25	Drops. Do you see that on the first part of the



1	first page of the level system?
2	A Level 3?
3	Q Well, where it says Automatic Level Drops.
4	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
5	Q What is an automatic level drop?
6	A Meaning that if you get into a fight
7	because most of our kids, their behaviors really are
8	for mostly physical aggression, destruction,
9	elopement from building. And so when we see those
10	types of behaviors, that shares that says to us
11	that this child isn't really ready to have the level
12	of independence to be able to go out into the
13	general population because they've had habitual
14	problems with these issues.
15	So the levels and the basically the
16	reinforcements and the rewards are lessened at that
17	point, because those are significant behaviors that
18	really get our kids into trouble, and so we focus a
19	lot on those behaviors. Those are a lot of our
20	referring behaviors for most of our kids.
21	Q So does that mean if you have a level
22	drop, does that mean that if were you at Level 3 and
23	you engage in one of these behaviors that's listed
24	here, you drop to Level 2 automatically?

Yeah. We have a team meeting and we



Α

discuss it	, but	it me	ans that	it is	definitely	on
the table :	for a	level	drop.			

Q And what are the points that are referenced throughout this level system?

A It depends. Most of our schools use PBIS bucks, and so the bucks look differently. So whatever the school mascot is, that's what the level system really looks like.

So in exchange for, you know, a certain behavior for a certain period of time, you can earn -- it's like dollars that you could buy items, reinforcers, you know, off a PBIS card or extra time on a computer or, you know extra time doing some kind of sensory activity.

So they get reinforced for things they identify that they want to work for when they have appropriate behaviors, until it becomes automatic for them.

Q And is there some point sheet or some system by which you keep track of those points for students?

A Yes. We use the Oregon PBIS Reinforcement Sheets and SWIS data.

Q In terms of those sheets and the SWIS data, that's common across all of North Metro GNETS



1	sites?
2	A It was until Gwinnett moved to Synergy.
3	So their reinforcement system is built within their
4	Synergy program, their platform.
5	Q So if, if North Metro GNETS doesn't have
6	access to Synergy, how do you see the points?
7	A I don't, unless it's paper/pencil.
8	My teachers have access that work in those
9	buildings and serve the kids directly, but as a
10	district level person I do not have access to that.
11	Q Do all of your teachers serving GNETS
12	sorry Gwinnett County students have access or
13	only those that are teachers provided by Gwinnett
14	County?
15	A So if they work in Gwinnett County
16	schools, regardless of whether they are GNETS grant
17	funded or LEA funded, they have access. But for my
18	itinerate staff, which includes me, because I go to
19	multiple sites, we don't have access.
20	Q Okay, understood.
21	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to ask the court
22	reporter to mark this document's Plaintiff's
23	Exhibit 641.
24	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-641 was

marked for identification.)



I   BY MS. GARDNER	1	BY	MS.	GARDNER
--------------------	---	----	-----	---------

Q You've been handed what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 641. This is a document produced to the United States by North Metro GNETS program in response to a document subpoena, and this is not Bates-stamped but the temporary ID assigned to this is North Metro Temp 005047.

The title of this document is "North Metro GNETS Students Tiers of Support in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)."

Do you recognize this document?

A Yes.

Q And is this a document you provided to the United States in response to its subpoena to North Metro GNETS program?

A Yes.

O What is this document?

A This document is just an upgraded version of information that we need to consider for reintegration for a student. And so the IEP team and I, we sat down and we talked about what does that really look like in layman's terms, some of the things we need to consider.

It's still an IEP team decision as to when a child is ready to go back into the LRE, but when



1	you think about when they've made some behavioral
2	progress to get them ready to go back to be educated
3	with their typical peers, you know, you might want
4	to consider in the subject area where the child is
5	the strongest first, that we consider moving them
6	back into like their, their ELA class. And then
7	after they make progress with that, if they're
8	keeping up academically and behaviorally over a
9	period of time, we add another out class, is what we
10	sometimes refer them to.
11	But it basically is a class that's not run
12	by a GNETS teacher.
13	Q And the subtitle here is "Protocol for
14	Earning Academics and Electives in Non-GNETS classes
15	in the LRE," right?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And that is basically the protocol for how
18	you earn out classes?
19	A Yes.
20	Q So at the bottom of this document, on the
21	first page, it says, "Student must meet IEP behavior
22	goal criteria for a minimum of 6 weeks to earn their
23	1st non-GNETS academic class."
24	A Yes.



Q

Do you see that?

That's	the	standard	for	earning	the	first
out class?						

A Yes.

Q And then after that first outclass, this says: "The time to earn remaining out classes will be determined by the IEP team."

A Yes.

Q So it varies if you're talking about a second out class or third out class?

A But we don't even consider talking about it until after the first six weeks, because a lot of times our kids, when they go out into out classes, which are general ed classes or less restrictive environment, they self-sabotage because the classes are so large and because of their mental health issues, sometimes they have meltdowns. So we want to make sure when they go out into the out classes they can handle it academically and behaviorally before just putting them in all out classes because they've earned the points or they have the grades. We want them to be successful.

Q On the first page in the second blue box from the bottom, the end of that box it says: "All GNETS segments/academics are to be provided on Tuesdays and Thursdays for FTE purposes."



1	A Yes.
2	Q What does that mean?
3	A That means, just like every other special
4	ed classroom in the State of Georgia, that on FTE
5	count days, they have to be in those special ed
6	classes on the FTE count day. That's statewide.
7	Q And so what's the significance of the FTE
8	count day?
9	A That's how funding is determined for the
10	traditional schools and also a GNETS program since
11	we serve kids as special ed that have IEPs.
12	Q Does this mean the FTE count day is
13	Tuesdays and Thursdays?
14	A Tuesdays and Thursdays. One in October,
15	one in March. That's it. It's twice a year.
16	Q You have mentioned on several occasions
17	today the GNETS Strategic Plan.
18	A Yes.
19	Q What is the GNETS Strategic Plan?
20	A Basically it was a plan that was put
21	together by the DOE and then in collaboration with
22	all the GNETS directors to address the concerns
23	brought about by the DOJ.
24	Q How did you first become aware of the
25	GNETS Strategic Plan?



1	A Nakeba Rahming, you know, explained to us
2	what was going on from the lawsuit at the State
3	level down into the GNETS program.
4	Q And when you say "explained to us," you're
5	talking about the GNETS directors?
6	A Yes.
7	Q When, roughly what was the time period
8	when you first became aware of the GNETS Strategic
9	Plan?
10	A Um, I think it took a while. I think I
11	became aware of the GNETS lawsuit, like I said,
12	around 2012-ish, but the strategic plan, Nakeba
13	Rahming was hired at the DOE to help us because of
14	her background, experiences.
15	So it may have been a year later, six
16	months later, that she came in and started working
17	on developing the plan because she had done similar
18	work in Florida.
19	Q Okay. Did Nakeba Rahming take the lead on
20	developing the GNETS Strategic Plan?
21	A She did.
22	Q Did she draft that plan?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Is North Metro GNETS obligated to comply
25	with the GNETS Strategic Plan?



1	A Yes.
2	Q How does North Metro go about complying
3	with the GNETS Strategic Plan?
4	A Well, we have a rubric that we are
5	expected to monitor the progress of our program
6	throughout the year. So we use the GNETS Strategic
7	Plan and the expectations to monitor for fidelity to
8	make sure we're addressing all the academic and
9	behavioral and therapeutic needs of our students so
10	at the end of it it has a self-assessment, where my
11	leadership team and I come together from all of our
12	different schools and school districts and we rate
13	the program overall at the individual locations, but
14	then we submit one plan as because we're one
15	program.
16	Q Okay. And so you mentioned the
17	self-assessment, the things that you're
18	self-assessing your program for, are the components
19	of the GNETS Strategic Plan?
20	A Yes.
21	Q How often does North Metro conduct that
22	self-assessment?
23	A Three times a year.
24	Q Is there standardized information that

needs to be provided in order to establish North



1	Metro's compliance with the GNETS Strategic Plan?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And who establishes what that information
4	is?
5	A It's included in the rubric. So we will
6	know how to self-assess where we are with the
7	language that explains whether or not we're emerging
8	or operational in that particular area.
9	So we go through everything that we're
10	doing in our program and we utilize that rubric and
11	talk about where we are and where our needs are, and
12	then we rank order everything at the end of the
13	self-assessment and we prioritize where we need the
14	most work on.
15	We submit that to the DOE at the end of
16	each year, and they provide us feedback on where we
17	are and ask us how we're planning to move forward
18	with areas of weakness.
19	Q As part of the GNETS Strategic Plan
20	process, are there onsite visits from the Georgia
21	Department of Education in connection with that
22	assessment process?
23	A There used to be.
24	Q When was the last time that the North
25	Metro GNETS program had an onsite visit from the



Georgia	DOE?
---------	------

- A It was definitely prior to COVID.
- Q Who conducted that onsite visit?
- A Vickie Cleveland -- I'm not really sure if Lakesha was on board at the time. So I know it was at least Vickie Cleveland.
  - O And what did that onsite visit entail?
- A Basically, just our documents that are listed in the rubric. They would come through -- they would do observations of all of our classrooms, walk through to see whether our standards were on the board, whether or not PBIS was being implemented, and then we would sit down and we would have our data notebooks to go through and they would talk about each of the items that were there.
- Now all of this is submitted electronically, in that GNETS tab on the portal.
- Q And when you say "we would sit down and we would have our data notebooks to go through," who is the "we"?
- A Vickie Cleveland, the GNETS director, and any of the GNETS directors' designees, leadership team that she would invite to come to the meeting.
- Q So when that, when that sort of meeting happened at North Metro, were there other folks on



1	your leadership team who would participate in
2	addition to yourself?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Who are those other people?
5	A Depending on which sites we were at,
6	sometimes it was my definitely my site
7	coordinator that ran the building on the day to day,
8	the behavior specialist that was on board in that
9	particular school and school district, and the
10	curriculum specialist.
11	The school psychologist or social worker
12	may come in and out of the meeting as needed, but
13	those were the that was the typical makeup of the
14	team.
15	Q Okay. And you noted before that as part
16	of this strategic plan and assessment process that
17	you would receive feedback from the Georgia DOE?
18	A Yes.
19	Q What kind of feedback do you have you
20	received?
21	A Again, they go through now it's
22	electronic rather than, you know, onsite. But they
23	basically go through, look at our artifact, look at
24	how we scored. They all let us know if we're

missing information to justify or what-have-you, to



1	upload those documents so they could review them.
2	And the specific examples that they give
3	are listed within the rubric and the language.
4	Q And when you say the specific examples
5	that they give are listed within the rubric, the
6	specific examples of what?
7	A For example, one is behavioral and
8	therapeutic support services. So it may ask things
9	like how many FBAs and BIPs that I review program
10	wide. How many of them met operational status. How
11	many team members did I have trained in, you know,
12	therapeutic services or SEL curriculum, like WhyTry
13	or LIPT, those sorts of things.
14	So it gives you specific examples under
15	each of the standards that we can submit.
16	Q Okay. And you're submitting them to sort
17	of support a rating of either not evident, emerging,
18	or operational?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Got it.
21	If there is like a deficiency or concern
22	in an area of the strategic plan that's being
23	assessed, what happens?
24	A Typically, we just develop an action plan



to address the issue.

Τ	Q Is that an action plan that you submit to
2	the GaDOE?
3	A Yes. It's actually listed as a part of
4	the self-assessment rubric.
5	MS. GARDNER: Let's have this document
6	marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 642.
7	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-642 was
8	marked for identification.)
9	BY MS. GARDNER:
LO	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
L1	Plaintiff's Exhibit 642. This is an email from you
L2	to Nakeba Rahming, Desiree Woods, Derrick Gilchrist,
L3	Lisa Futch, Jacqie Neal, Kathy Lewis-Hawkins, and
L4	Deborah Gay, dated February 9, 2016, with the
L5	subject line "RE: Draft Strategic Plan."
L6	This document is Bates-stamped GA00040621.
L7	Do you recognize this document?
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q Am I correct you write in this document:
20	"Nakeba and All - Good morning. The strategic plan
21	looks great. Below are my questions in red font"?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And your email was in response to an
24	earlier email sent by Nakeba Rahming, correct?
25	A Yes.



## CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 01, 2022 

Q	And in t	hat email	she says:	"Please see
the first	draft of	the plan	that I bega	an working
on," corre	ect?			

A Yes.

Q And here she's referencing the GNETS Strategic Plan?

A Yes.

Q And that's a plan that you're commenting on in this email that you're sending back to Ms. Rahming and the other members of the group?

A Yes.

Q In Ms. Rahming's initial email, which appears on the second-to-last page of this document, she says: "I am attempting to make it an inclusive document so that you will not need to have multiple pieces of documents outlining the same work. I also incorporated the rating scale so we would not need to develop a second document. Debbie and I spoke on Friday and she supports us with moving away from the GCIMP and incorporating those items into the strategic plan. We also spoke about the core array of services application for funding and she indicated if we can show where the core array of services would be addressed and incorporated into the strategic plan with evidence of implementation



## CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 01, 2022 204

1	to support the distribution of funding, she would be
2	fine with dismissing that document as well."
3	Do you see that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q In this text where it says "Debbie and I
6	spoke on Friday," is that a reference to Deborah
7	Gay?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And who is Deborah Gay?
10	A She at the time was a state director of
11	special education.
12	Q This also references the GCIMP.
13	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
14	Q What is the GCIMP?
15	A Georgia Continuous Improvement Plan.
16	Q And what role, if any, has the GCIMP
17	played prior to the development of the GNETS
18	Strategic Plan?
19	A All special ed directors basically use
20	that instrument to develop their continuous
21	improvement plan, evaluating their roles of
22	responsibilities and all that sort of thing.
23	Q Did the GNETS programs complete a GCIMP?
24	A You know, I don't recall. Maybe we did
25	but I don't recall that we did.



1	Q Okay. What is the core array of services
2	application for funding?
3	A Basically, again, the special ed
4	directors and she may have been referring to, you
5	know, our old funding system with the paper/pencil,
6	you know, grant application. So it asks very
7	similar questions, of the services that we provide
8	with the money that we were provided to meet the
9	needs of the students.
10	Q Okay. At the end of Ms. Rahming's email,
11	she says: "Remember, that the strategic plan will
12	be your guiding document for practice and what you
13	would be responsible for overseeing at your site."
14	Or it says "sties," but do you understand
15	that to be sites?
16	A Yeah.
17	Q "A project management plan is being
18	develop as a guiding document for the state
19	responsibilities and what we will be doing to
20	support you and your work with the GNETS program."
21	Do you see that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Had the group that's receiving this email,
24	which includes you, discussed the project management

plan prior to the time of your receiving this email?



1	A I can't remember if it was before or
2	after, but I do know that at one of our GNETS
3	meetings we broke out into different groups and
4	looked at different sections and provided feedback.
5	Q On the project management plan?
6	A Yes, which is basically the strategic
7	plan.
8	Q Okay. Because here she says a project
9	management plan is being developed as a guiding
10	document for the state responsibilities and what we
11	will be doing to support you and your work with the
12	GNETS program.
13	Is that plan something that was provided
14	to you at some point?
15	A I think it was just her tweaking like how
16	she meant in an earlier email that they were going
17	to combine everything into one document so we didn't
18	have several.
19	So my best understanding of what that
20	email is talking about is the revised GNETS
21	Strategic Plan that had everything in one document
22	instead of several separate ones.
23	Did I answer the question?
24	Q Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
25	A Okay.



1	MS. GARDNER: I'm going to ask the court
2	reporter to please mark this document as
3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 643.
4	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-643 was
5	marked for identification.)
6	BY MS. GARDNER:
7	Q Dr. Holifield, you've been handed what's
8	been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 643.
9	This is an email from you to Nakeba
10	Rahming, dated February 5th, 2016, with the subject
11	line: "GNETS GCIMP Plan - Blank."
12	And it contains one attachment that is a
13	Word document with the file name "FY15 GCIMP Plan
14	Template."
15	The Bates number on this document is
16	GA00062065.
17	Do you recognize this email?
18	A I do now.
19	Q I just want to ask you one quick question.
20	We were talking about the GCIMP.
21	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
22	Q Did you provide this to Ms. Rahming? And
23	this document is titled, "GNETS Program Improvement
24	Plan FY15."
25	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)



1	Q Did this give you any additional
2	information about whether GCIMP was something that
3	was used with the GNETS program?
4	A Yes. After looking at this, we did use
5	it, but it's been so long ago, yeah.
6	Q Do you know, I'm just wondering, the sort
7	of structuring format of this identifies
8	Actions/Strategies and Interventions, and then the
9	person responsibility and the means of evaluation.
10	Were those kinds of elements taken from
11	here and incorporated into the GNETS Strategic Plan?
12	A Um, I'm sure they were, but this is so
13	different than what we've been doing so long now. I
14	remember seeing this. So as I look at that actions
15	and strategies, when I think about the GNETS
16	Strategic Plan, there are actions and strategies for
17	each of the different sections. So I would say that
18	part was.
19	The professional learning, the resources
20	yes, I guess. It's just a totally different
21	format of looking at it, yes.
22	Q Okay.
23	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to have this
24	document marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 644.
25	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-644 was



## CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 01, 2022 209

1	mar	ked for identification.)
2	BY MS. GA	RDNER:
3	Q	You've been handed what's been marked as
4	Plaintiff	's Exhibit 644.
5		This is the North Metro GNETS BOY
6	Strategic	Plan Rating Rubric, dated 8/17/21, and our
7	internal	temporary reference number is North Metro_
8	Temp_0056	56.
9		Do you recognize this document?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And what is this document?
12	A	The GNETS Strategic Plan.
13	Q	And is this does this strategic plan
14	contain N	orth Metro's self-assessment ratings on
15	each comp	onent of the GNETS Strategic Plan?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And was this provided to the United States
18	in respon	se to a document subpoena?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Am I correct, I think we've already been
21	discussing	g for any given strategic plan objective
22	North Met	ro can be rated either not evident,
23	emerging,	or operational, correct?
24	A	Correct.
25	Q	And not evident is the weakest rating; is



1	that right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And operational is the strongest?
4	A Yes.
5	Q I want to talk about a few of the areas
6	where North Metro rated itself emerging.
7	A Okay.
8	Q On Page 6 of this document, do you see
9	where it says "Implement Positive Behavior
10	Interventions Supports"?
11	A Yes.
12	Q North Metro rated itself as emerging on
13	this, correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And why was this rated emerging?
16	A This was the year of COVID. So we were at
17	home. You can't implement behavioral strategies
18	while kids are at home. So
19	Q What, in your in North Metro's
20	self-assessment this current school year, how is it
21	rated on this particular objective?
22	A We're operational.
23	Q Under B, on the same page, "Trauma
24	Informed Care Practices and Environment," that was
25	also rated emerging?



Ž	A I	t was	the	same	reas	on,	the	kids	were	at
home,	going	thro	ıgh (	COVID,	we	coul	.dn't	<b></b>		

- Q Okay. Turning to Page 9, am I correct that it says that North Metro rated itself emerging on B, "Ensure teachers maintain a positive and academically challenging learning environment in accordance with TAPS standards"?
  - A Yes.
  - O What is TAPS?
- 10 A Teacher -- teacher assessment practices.
  - So it's part of the TKES system. It's just broken down into standards and also elements.
    - Q And why was this rated emerging?
    - A Again, the kids were at home. The parents were teaching them. So we were -- we had online classes that were taking place virtually, just like the school district, but, you know, maintaining an academically rigorous environment when some of the kids were attending virtually and some of them were not, some parents were there, some were not. So those were all things outside of our control to make sure that they were getting -- they got everything that they were supposed to get as much as we could in a virtual environment.
      - Q C. here was also rated emerging: "Ensure



that students who demonstrate academic difficulties
are matched to tiered interventions, supplemental
instructional programs/materials that meet their
needs."

A The same reason. I mean we provided them but we could not support the fidelity of implementation when parents were the ones at home, you know, really trying to help teach their kids in a virtual environment.

Q D. on this page was also rated emerging, which says: "GNETS certified and classified staff will attend instructional related trainings provided by GaDOE, RESA, LEAs, and conferences to ensure GNETS staff are aware of changes in the field and that instructional practices align with the state's expectations and standard."

A Our teachers participated in the trainings that were offered, most of which were trying to provide instruction on how to educate students with disabilities in a virtual environment, but whether or not, you know, it was the best training, that's why we rated ourself as emerging because it was very difficult just to utilize -- learn how to use the technology in such a quick period of time and how to break it out and do small groups and all of that



1	using instructional			techr	nolo	gies.			
2	Ç	)	Moving	to	Page	12,	North	Metro	rated

itself emerging here on the "transition from GNETS Services: Ensure LEA and GNETS staff collaboratively establish attainable IEP goals to include a process for reviewing progress monitoring data for transition to the least restrictive environment."

What was the reason for that emerging rating?

A The same reason, our kids were on virtual and even though we provided those services and collaborated with the LEAs, there were just so many different moving pieces that just trying to make sure we could even talk about transition when everybody was home was very difficult.

Q And then on the next page, Page 13, the last area, North Metro rated itself emerging was D., Parent Engagement.

What was the reason for that emerging rating?

A The same reason. Our parents at that time didn't even know how to use technology, and at that point, you know, we had a population of parents that did -- they were very savvy and had access to



1	technology, but I think as a country, and even here
2	in Georgia in our GNETS program, we really learned
3	that many of our parents didn't even have access to
4	internet. So engaging them and having them involved
5	in the IEP process was challenging and new for them
6	to be able to utilize their phones, many of which,
7	like I said, didn't even have a laptop or a computer
8	at all.
9	Q Turning to Page 15, this page is titled,
10	"Self-Assessment Outcomes and Improvement Summary
11	Plan."
12	Do you see that?
13	A Yes. So this is just a visual of what we
14	just talked about, yes.
15	Q This summarizes the overall ratings given
16	for each section of the strategic plan?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. And then am I correct that North
19	Metro is instructed to identify the top three
20	priorities for an action plan?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And presumably the areas that you identify
23	as priorities are those where you self-rated the
24	lowest?



Yes.

Α

1	Q And then this says that North Metro is to
2	indicate why its team rated the item low and what
3	it's going to do to improve its ratings
4	A Yes.
5	Q correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And then this chart is basically North
8	Metro complying with those instructions?
9	A Yes.
10	Q So on Page 18, there seems to be another
11	version of this chart. I'm just wondering what the
12	differences are.
13	A It's basically the same. They eliminated
14	when they updated the they updated it since we
15	did this. So they only have one of these.
16	Q Okay.
17	A Yes.
18	Q On Page 18 am I correct that North Metro
19	identified instructional and academic support as its
20	No. 1 priority?
21	A Yes.
22	Q In terms of why this was selected as a
23	priority, this says "some items in this section were
24	not rated as operational due to parapros covering
25	some of our classes and low TKES scores."



Do you see the	at?
----------------	-----

A Yes.

Q When this says "para pros covering some of our classes," is that parapros covering classes without a certified teacher?

A Well, we had teachers in classrooms, but what we had to do, in order to meet the individualized needs, when we had to put kids in small groups the teacher could only be in one virtual classroom at one time, where the parapro, which is an instructional para, would be under the supervision of the teacher carrying out the academic interventions and the behavioral interventions as well.

So -- does that make sense what I'm saying? They have breakout rooms. So you have one classroom with different breakouts that the parents were helping to implement as the teacher rotated in a virtual classroom. So the teacher always had access but she could not be in multiple small groups at the same time.

Q Okay. And then this also says that this was rated a priority due to low TKES scores. TKES scores apply to the teachers, not the parapros?

A Yes.



1	Q	Is	that	right:
1	0	Is	that	riaht
	~			

A Yes. Again, they had not really had this level of experience with teaching virtually.

Q Then the second area that North Metro identified as a priority was integration of services and capacity building --

A Yes.

Q -- is that right?

And in the box where it asks why this was selected as a priority, it says: "None of our students met IEP goals/criteria to transition from the program as planned."

A Right. Because, again, in a virtual environment there was no transitioning that was occurring. Everybody was at home. So just having conversations about moving to the LRE did not occur because there was no LRE to go into. The kids were all struggling academically and behaviorally, and we couldn't, you know, take behavioral data when they were at home because the parents were the ones that were implementing.

Q In the actions necessary to improve this area, it says: "We will monitor our students' IEP goals using available data more frequently to identify student who at-risk for not transitioning



1	from the program as expected and begin working with
2	students on an individual level."
3	Do you see that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Has North Metro taken any steps
6	A Yes.
7	Q And when this says that "North Metro will
8	monitor students' IEP goals using available data
9	more frequently," what available data does that
LO	refer to?
L1	A Well, it goes back to the academic and the
L2	behavioral data that's written in each of their IEP
L3	goals and also their reintegration plans that we
L4	talked about. And during COVID there was no real
L5	reintegration that could take place. So we knew at
L6	that point there was nothing it was out of our
L7	control, really, to be able to talk about
L8	reintegration and transition when there was no
L9	opportunity at that point.
20	Q So given that you've implemented this, how
21	much more frequently does North Metro now monitor
22	student's IEP goals?
23	A All the time. I mean we have IEP
24	meetings are at least once a year, but we have

progress meetings with the parents. We send home



report cards along with the other school districts
requirements, but we also have our teacher send home
progress reports as well on IEP goals and
objectives. So, yes.

Q How many students has North Metro identified as being at risk for not transitioning from the program as expected under this protocol that is outlined here?

A I don't understand the question. Could you repeat?

Q Sure. This says that "we will monitor our students' IEP goals... to identify students who are at risk for not transitioning from the program as expected." So I'm just wondering how many students have been identified being at risk for not transitioning from the program as expected?

A Well, it's based on each individual student and, again, you know, it's on a case by case. It's not program wide. It's based on each individual student's behavioral or academic goals whether or not they transition or not.

But I don't have a number or percentage because it's for each individual student.

Q Did you know if you've identified any students who are at risk for not transitioning?



1	A	Ι	don't	know	how	to	answer	that	question.
2	I'm sorry								

Q I'm just wondering if there's any students who have been identified as being at risk for not transitioning?

A Not to my knowledge, because the expectation is that all students will transition when they're ready. So when we have all the students in our program, I think our common goal is for them to transition back to the LRE, but I can't say that you're going to transition tomorrow, you're going to transition next week. So that's why I'm confused about how to answer that question.

Q Okay. I'm just reading what it says here, and it says that you're going to identify students who are at risk for not transitioning from the program as expected.

A And I think that's part of like when we do the BASC-3 and we do those interventions in the tiered system of -- at minimum they get three times a month of the small group services, and as they have more significant behavioral issues, we provide more interventions based on that.

Q Okay.

A Okay.



Q You've mentioned COVID and the impact of
COVID with respect to a number of these. Is there a
reason why North Metro doesn't explicitly discuss
COVID in this document?

A Not really. I mean I think it was just common practice. We had to do COVID plans. I don't even remember what the name is but the State of Georgia had everyone in the State of Georgia do like these -- I don't remember the name of the plan. So we had to do individual plans for our students as well. So...

Q Okay. We talked -- or we have sort of just generally talked about the students that North Metro GNETS serves but I want to talk a little bit about sort of how students find themselves at North Metro GNETS.

So can you just walk me through an overview of what the referral process is for a student being considered for services at North Metro?

A Yes. Typically, a school system will have a situation with a student who is being very aggressive and they're eloping and there's -- like they will leave the building. Sometimes we have kids that are very aggressive or producing



self-interest	hohomiora
serr-inceresc	benaviors.

So the school districts, the teachers and the district level behavioral specialists, they have a school behavior specialist, they provide interventions for the student. And if they are still finding the interventions they are implementing aren't working, then they will call on support from GNETS to say, hey, this might be a kid we may need your help with.

So we sit down and look at all the data and the referral processes, determine whether they need to be referred or the school district needs to implement their behavior intervention plan with more fidelity.

Q And is there any sort of formal process or paperwork or other things that a district will fill out to get the process --

A Yes.

Q -- sort of formally started?

A Yes. We have a request for services, referral packet, basically.

So the school district will say, you know, we've done everything we can, we really need your experts to come in and help us support the student.

And so they will complete a packet. We will go over



-	
-1	· - +-

Then we will go out and, like I said, do behavioral observation. We'll go through -- look at the IEP, the FBA and the BIP to see if they are implemented with fidelity and give feedback of things that they need to try more or change before referring a student on to GNETS services.

And if it doesn't appear to be in order.

Q And if everything, you know, does appear to be, quote-unquote, in order, what's the next step after you receive the packet?

A The next step is, is that the district -well, the referring school will contact the parents
for an IEP meeting and say, hey, we really need to
have, you know, someone from GNETS sit at the table
along with other agencies or placement options that
the school district is considering for that student
to have a conversation about how we can best meet
his or her needs.

Q And does somebody from North Metro GNETS participate in the IEP meeting when there is a consideration of GNETS services?

A Yes.

Q And is that sort of an absolute, that there always would be somebody from the North Metro



1	GNETS participating?
2	A Yes, they're supposed to be.
3	Q Who from your staff typically participates
4	in those IEP meetings?
5	A If it is my site coordinators that work
6	in each of the districts, they're always invited to
7	attend those meetings. The behavioral specialist,
8	school psychologist is also invited.
9	If it's a really contentious case, I'm
LO	invited to attend as well.
L1	Q Do you ever have communications with the
L2	Georgia Department of Education about students that
L3	school districts want to refer to North Metro GNETS
L4	program?
L5	A I'm sure I have. I can't think of one
L6	offhand but I'm sure I have.
L7	MS. GARDNER: Let me ask the court
L8	reporter to please mark this document as
L9	Plaintiff's Exhibit 645.
20	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-645 was
21	marked for identification.)
22	BY MS. GARDNER:
23	Q You've been handed what's been marked
24	Plaintiff's Exhibit 645.

This is an email from you to Vickie



1	Cleveland, dated April 26, 2018, with the subject
2	"RE: State Charter Schools."
3	A Uh-hum.
4	Q The Bates-stamp on this document is
5	GA00319999.
6	Do you recognize this?
7	A I do.
8	Q And your email to Ms. Cleveland on August
9	26th, saying "Definitely," is the most recent email
10	in a longer thread related to GNETS and state
11	charter schools, correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And am I correct that if you begin from
14	the bottom of the email thread, which is the first
15	email in time, that Steve Rains reached out to
16	Vickie Cleveland on April 26, 2018 and asked: "Can
17	state charter schools refer students directly to
18	GNETS without going through the LEA?"
19	A Yes.
20	Q Who is Steve Rains?
21	A He is a GNETS director that has now
22	retired.
23	Q And what program was he director of?
24	A It was mid Georgia I think it was a
25	Burwell program.



1	Q Ms. Cleveland then forwards Steve Rains'
2	email to you and says: "See email from Steve below.
3	Do we have a procedure for this?"
4	Do you see that?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Who did you understand the "we" here to
7	refer to when Ms. Cleveland said "Do we have a
8	procedure for this?"
9	A I assume GNETS.
10	Q Did you have any understanding as to why
11	Ms. Cleveland was reaching out to you to ask about
12	this issue?
13	A No.
14	Q What was your response to Ms. Cleveland?
15	A Um, I think we can refer students but they
16	have to follow the continuum of services, if I
17	recall.
18	Q And then am I correct Ms. Cleveland says
19	to you: "Ok. We've had a few questions come up
20	around this. I need to get something in writing for
21	everyone."
22	A Yes.
23	Q You responded "Definitely"?
24	A Yes.
25	Q When Ms. Cleveland said I need to get



1	something in writing for everyone, who did you
2	understand everyone to refer to?
3	A The GNETS directors.
4	Q So it's fair to say that Ms. Cleveland was
5	indicating she needed to get the GNETS directors
6	written guidance on charter schools referring
7	students to GNETS?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Did you ever receive anything in writing
10	from Ms. Cleveland on this issue?
11	A No.
12	Q Is the state charter school issue and
13	GNETS one that you have confronted with respect to
14	North Metro in particular?
15	A Yes.
16	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to mark that as
17	Plaintiff's Exhibit 646.
18	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-646 was
19	marked for identification.)
20	BY MS. GARDNER:
21	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
22	Plaintiff's Exhibit 646. This is an email from you
23	to Vickie Cleveland and Zelphine Smith-Dixon, dated
24	February 19, 2019, with the subject "RE: Assistance
25	Needed Fwd: GNETS Referral by ICSAtlanta."



1	This document is Bates-stamped GA00094762.
2	Do you recognize this?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What is ICS Atlanta?
5	A It's one of the charter schools.
6	Q And you write in your email to Ms.
7	Cleveland and Ms. Smith-Dixon, quote: "Vickie's
8	timeline below is what I recall as well."
9	Do you see that?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Am I correct that your email and the
12	emails in the thread that preceded your email relate
13	to ICS Atlanta's attempts to determine how one of
14	the students enrolled in its charter school could be
15	considered for GNET services?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And just so we're clear, who is Zelphine
18	Smith-Dixon?
19	A She was a state special ed director.
20	Q Okay. Would you explain generally what
21	the issue was with ICSAtlanta having a student
22	served in GNETS?
23	A I'm trying to go through these notes
24	really quickly, but I think the issue was is that
25	there are state chart schools and there are private



charter schools. And so the charter schools felt
like this student really needed GNETS services, but
just like a traditional school has different
placement options, most of the charter schools do
not. And so the question was if it's I don't
remember if it was a state or a private do they
still have to unenroll the student to enroll them
into the district program, or since they're their
own school district, can they refer a kid to
GNETS for GNET services if they are their own
charter school district.

Q Okay. And am I correct that in this email thread, and this is on the third page, that Michele Neely of ICSAtlanta reached out to Zelphine Smith-Dixon to provide an overview of ICSAtlanta's efforts to determine how to get GNETS services for an ICSAtlanta student?

A Yes.

Q At the bottom of that email from

Ms. Neely, and this is on the very last page, she

writes: "We request that you advise North Metro

GNETS to proceed with this IEP team meeting, even

though the administrative logistics have not yet

been worked out. We also appreciate your assistance

in determining once and for all how our students



Τ	should access GNETS services."
2	Do you see that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What IEP meeting was Ms. Neely referring
5	to?
6	A The student that was in question, that
7	they were trying to refer to GNETS.
8	Q And was North Metro resisting moving
9	forward with that IEP meeting?
10	A I went out and did an observation of the
11	student. I personally went, along with my
12	behavioral specialist.
13	Q Right. And so here ICSAtlanta is
14	requesting to move forward with an IEP team meeting.
15	I'm just wondering if that IEP team meeting had been
16	on hold for some reason?
17	A They never filled out a packet, a referral
18	packet. So they didn't even they didn't know the
19	process and they didn't know how to move forward
20	with the process. So they were trying to inquire
21	from the DOE to get guidance on whether or not,
22	since they are their own charter school district,
23	did they have to unenroll, because they owned the
24	student basically, and they didn't have the full
25	continuum of services. So if the student needed



Τ	GNETS services, now do they proceed, and they were
2	just trying to get clarification on that.
3	Q And they had reached out to the DOE, you
4	said, to get clarification on that?
5	A Yes.
6	Q As far as you know, was there any
7	determination as to how a state charter school like
8	ICSAtlanta should work with GNETS to have its
9	students served?
10	A Well, again, it was it's still cloudy
11	territory because there seems to be a difference
12	between a state charter and a private charter and
13	I don't recall ever getting any written guidance
14	because the referral process from a school district
15	I assume should be very similar to a charter
16	district, but the way that they are organized, there
17	was question about whether the child had to
18	unenroll, or if he stayed with the charter district
19	and the charter district just referred from their
20	program straight to GNETS.
21	I know there's like a lot but it was very
22	confusing.
23	Q Was the particular student at issue in
24	this email exchange ever served at North Metro?
25	A I think their I want to recall, and I



1	can't I did the observation but I don't recall if
2	the child actually was ever referred to GNETS
3	because, if I recall, and I could be wrong, I think
4	the parents refused to have GNETS at the table. And
5	I think they pulled the child out of the services
6	and he enrolled on his own through the parents into
7	one of our district programs, and the referral went
8	through that through that process.
9	They didn't want some kind of way the
10	child I'm pretty sure the child moved into one of
11	my other districts and the referral took place then.
12	But I'm not sure.
13	It did not happen through the charter,
14	though.
15	Q And when you say the parents refused to
16	have GNETS at the table, what table were you talking
17	about?
18	A They didn't want GNETS to move forward
19	with the IEP meeting at the charter school.
20	MS. GARDNER: We've been going for, I
21	don't know, an hour and 15 minutes. Would you
22	like a break?
23	THE WITNESS: I want to go home.
24	MS. GARDNER: Then we'll keep going. I
25	think the videographer is going to stop us in



1	about 15 minutes, to switch something out.
2	Okay.
3	Q I think earlier you referenced a number of
4	assessments, including the SDQ and the BASC-3.
5	And those are am I correct in
6	remembering those are assessments given to determine
7	where students are behaviorally?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And iReady is an assessment used to
10	determine where students are academically?
11	A Yes, in reading and math.
12	Q Okay. Is there a calendar on which you
13	administer iReady diagnostic tests?
14	A Yes. Usually the DOE will give us a
15	window, and they will say, you know, we need to do
16	our beginning of the year diagnostic time frame, and
17	our mid year and the end of the year.
18	Q Okay. And is that a window that's given
19	to all GNETS directors?
20	A Yes. We do have a little flexibility.
21	Like if we say our school district is going to be on
22	fall break or something like that, they may extend
23	the window. But it's typically around the same time
24	frame.
25	Q But the Department of Education prescribes



1	sort of what those windows are for all the GNETS
2	programs?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Is there a fee associated with using the
5	SDQ?
6	A We there is a fee there wasn't a fee
7	initially, but it seems like there was a fee for
8	scoring, not actually for the instrument but for
9	scoring there is a fee if you use their electric
10	scoring platform.
11	Q And who pays for the costs of scoring the
12	SDQ?
13	A GNETS does now out of the state budget.
14	Q Each individual program does out of the
15	state budget?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Has it always been that way?
18	A I don't think it was. I think initially
19	it seemed like the DOE paid the SDQ scoring invoice
20	for all GNETS programs.
21	Q Okay. Is there a fee associated with
22	using the BASC-3?
23	A Yes. There's a fee, but the DOE has paid
24	for the BASC because it's falling under their
25	window. So even though we have individual programs,



1	the scores are reported back directly to the DOE.
2	Q So you
3	A I mean we get them, too.
4	Q Okay. You don't have to manually report
5	them to the DOE, the DOE automatically gets them?
6	A Right, because they have an account.
7	They're like the umbrella account and we fall
8	underneath that.
9	Q And the DOE covers the costs for the
LO	BASC-3 for all the GNETS programs?
L1	A I think so. They used to.
L2	Q They cover the BASC-3 for North Metro
L3	GNETS currently?
L4	A I actual have my own BASC-3 accounts
L5	because they have other assessments that I utilize
L6	as well. So I have to different accounts. I have
L7	one that the DOE, and I'm pretty sure they still
L8	fund that account, but I have extra BASC accounts
L9	that the DOE does not fund.
20	Q And that's for additional assessments?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Does North Metro report its SDQ scores to
23	the GaDOE?
24	A No, because, again, they used to have the
25	electronic scoring. So we use that information for



Τ	our triangulation data to identify at risk and
2	clinically significant behaviors.
3	Q When you said they used to have the
4	electronic scoring and you did not report SDQ
5	results to the Georgia Department of Education, did
6	the Georgia Department of Education have access to
7	those scores automatically or you just never
8	reported that?
9	A I'm trying to remember how because I
10	actually have I don't recall that we had to ever
11	report it. I don't remember. I don't remember.
12	I'm sure we did but I can't swear to it.
13	I don't remember.
14	MS. GARDNER: Could I please have this
15	document marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 647.
16	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-647 was
17	marked for identification.)
18	BY MS. GARDNER:
19	Q You've have been handed what's been marked
20	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 647.
21	This is an email thread between you and
22	Nakeba Rahming from August 9, 2016.
23	This document is Bates-stamped GA00063361.
24	Do you recognize this?
25	A Yes.



1	Q In the earliest email in this thread,
2	which is at the bottom, you write to Nakeba Rahming
3	on August 9th, 2016, and you say: "My curriculum
4	specialist and I have been meeting how we need to
5	collect and report out on all our required
6	assessments"?
7	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
8	Q "Are you going to provide us with
9	spreadsheets? If so, will you provide us guidance
10	and a spreadsheet on how you'd like us to report the
11	following: BASC-3, SDQ, iReady/iMath."
12	Do you see that?
13	A Yes.
14	Q The BASC-3, the SDQ, and the iReady/iMath
15	are the required assessments that you were referring
16	to in your email?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And then correct that Ms. Rahming replies
19	that "you will not be required to submit any data on
20	the BASC and i-Ready. I will already have access to
21	the database for all programs."
22	And then farther down she says: "The SDQ
23	is the only one that will require submission"?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Does this refresh your recollection at all



1	on whether you were required to submit SDQ scores to
2	the Georgia DOE?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you were?
5	A Yes. It's part of the triangulation data.
6	So that's why it's not yes.
7	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to ask the court
8	reporter to please mark this document as
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit 648.
10	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-648 was
11	marked for identification.)
12	BY MS. GARDNER:
13	Q You have been handed what's been marked as
14	Plaintiff's Exhibit 648. This is an email from you
15	to Nakeba Rahming and various GNETS directors, dated
16	July 26, 2016, with the subject line: "RE: GaDOE's
17	Update to DOJ."
18	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
19	Q This document is Bates-stamped GA00063211.
20	Do you recognize this email?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And in this email you're commenting on an
23	update that the Georgia DOE provided to DOJ
24	regarding the work occurring in GNETS programs
25	A Yes.



1	Q is that accurate?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And your email follows an earlier email
4	response from Kathy Lewis-Hawkins?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Who is Ms. Lewis-Hawkins?
7	A She was a GNETS director that is now
8	retired.
9	Q You write in your email: "The past four
10	years have been tough and this letter represent as
11	positive public acknowledgment that we're all
12	working hard and doing the right work, good work for
13	our students. Your dedication and commitment to
14	fighting for GNETS is evident in not only your moral
15	support, but also in the implementation of our new
16	GNETS Strategic Plan, SLDS Platform, the upcoming
17	new GNETS State Board Rule, and our unified
18	research-based assessment tools that we didn't have
19	to have a bake sale to be able to purchase."
20	Do you see that?
21	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
22	Q When you said "our unified research-based
23	assessment tools," what assessment tools were you
24	referring to?
25	A The iReady reading and math, and also the



1	BASC-3,	and	the	SDQ.		

- Q When you say "unified," does that mean that all of the GNETS programs were using those same assessment tools?
  - A Yes.
- Q It was something that Ms. Rahming had implemented?
  - A Yes.

- Q You say "we didn't have to have a bake sale to purchase" the research base assessment tools that you reference. Who is the "we"?
  - A GNETS directors.
    - Q What did you mean by this comment?
- A Well, I mean, part of the feedback that we got from all of the start of the DOJ report is, is that all GNETS were using different assessments, and so in order for us to have a common reporting system, we had to purchase that. Well, our funding is tight as it is, and then to figure out how to do this.
- So when she came up with a plan and was able to utilize funds for us to be on the same page, it was very supportive.
- Q Had North Metro in particular struggled to finance assessment tools in the past?



1	A We utilized different assessment tools
2	based on what so, yes, but we also utilized what
3	our districts used. So when you're not utilizing
4	the same assessments to be able to report on one
5	program in multiple locations and multiple school
6	districts, it's hard because you don't have any
7	common tools to report on.
8	Q Okay. And you can't assess students sort
9	of on some common across some common metric?
10	A Right.
11	Q IEPs have also come up on several
12	occasions. Does the North Metro GNETS program
13	provide any reporting to the Georgia Department of
14	Education about the IEPs of students at North Metro
15	GNETS?
16	A Only when they would come out or have a
17	submit examples of IEPs as a part of our strategic
18	plan.
19	Q So you were sometimes asked by the DOE to
20	submit examples of IEPs in connection with your
21	GNETS Strategic Plan and assessment process?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And how would that process work?
24	A I think they were at the time what I

recall is making sure that our IEPs were based on



academic and behavioral needs and individual
supports and that the data that we were collecting
was really relevant to what the students' needs
were.

They also I think really had a laser focus to see if we were utilizing the data from iReady reading and math as part of our goals and objectives and our progress monitoring so we could have those common reporting pieces.

Also the BASC-3, we -- and the SDQs, we also were expected to list the clinically significant or at-risk behaviors as a part of our present levels of performance and help use that information for writing our behavioral goals as well.

Q So am I correct in understanding then that you would submit sample IEPs to the Georgia

Department of Education and they would review them?

A Yes. They would tell us, you know, I need you to submit one EBD high school students, you know, whatever, one ASD middle school student's IEP, and they would go through and basically evaluate it for, you know, compliance.

Q Did you ever get requests for IEPs that would provide you with actual student names of files



1	that the Georgia DOE wanted to see?
2	A Not that I recall. I think we had to
3	de-identify it.
4	Q When you submitted those student IEP files
5	and the Georgia DOE reviewed them, would they
6	provide feedback to you on those files?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And that was in connection with the GNETS
9	Strategic Plan process?
LO	A Yes.
L1	Q We were being compliance monitored prior
L2	to the strategic plan.
L3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:25 p.m.,
L4	and we are off the record.
L5	(A recess was taken.)
L6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:36 p.m.,
L7	and we are on the record.
L8	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-649 was
L9	marked for identification.)
20	BY MS. GARDNER:
21	Q Dr. Holifield, I am going to hand you what
22	has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 649.
23	This is an email from you to Vickie
24	Cleveland, dated May 17th, 2019.
25	And this document is Bates-stamped



1	GA00345934.			
2	Do recognize this email?			
3	A Yes.			
4	Q And in this you say: "I'm checking I to			
5	see when you're going to email me my three student			
6	file names. My review is on May 22."			
7	Do you see that?			
8	A Yes.			
9	Q This is relating to the kinds of requests			
10	for student IEP files that we just discussed?			
11	A Yes.			
12	MS. GARDNER: I'm going to ask the court			
13	reporter to mark this document as Plaintiff's			
14	Exhibit 650.			
15	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-650 was			
16	marked for identification.)			
17	BY MS. GARDNER:			
18	Q You've been handed what's been marked as			
19	Plaintiff's Exhibit 650. This is an email thread			
20	between you and Nakeba Rahming from March of 2016.			
21	The most recent email is one that you sent to Ms.			
22	Rahming on March 4, 2016, with the subject "Re:			
23	Agenda."			
24	This document is Bates-stamped GA00040927.			
25	Do you recognize this?			



1	A Yes.
2	Q You send in your email to Ms. Rahming just
3	the word "okay." Correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And that is in response to an earlier
6	email from Ms. Rahming to you in which she says: "I
7	was calling because I would like an opportunity to
8	sit on an IEP intake or exit session. If you have
9	any coming up can you please let me know. I would
LO	only like to observe."
L1	Do you see that?
L2	A Yes.
L3	Q Did you get back to Ms. Rahming about any
L4	IEP intake or exit sessions?
L5	A I don't recall that I did. I may have but
L6	I don't remember.
L7	Q Looking further down in this email thread,
L8	am I correct that you and Ms. Rahming also discussed
L9	the contents of an agenda for some sort of meeting?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Was this a GNETS directors meeting agenda
22	you were discussing or something else?
23	A Yes, a GNETS directors.
24	Q And on Page 2 of this document Ms. Rahming
25	says: "You can put me on the agenda for the morning



1	of the 22nd. I will need about two and a half
2	hours. The outline is below."
3	Do you see that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And some of the agenda items Ms. Rahming
6	lists include the GNETS Strategic Plan and the
7	related self-assessment?
8	A Yes.
9	Q She also identifies collaborative support?
LO	A Yes.
L1	Q And there she says: "I will use this time
L2	to solicit work groups to create the resources
L3	needed for some of the strategic plan components"?
L4	A Yes.
L5	Q Did Ms. Rahming ultimately solicit the
L6	work groups she references here?
L7	A Yes.
L8	Q And what was that process like?
L9	A Basically, the areas of the GNETS
20	Strategic Plan, all the GNETS directors were placed
21	on different committees to kind of do the research
22	and to look to see what she had developed, if we had
23	any input things that she needed to add or take away
24	from.
25	Q And did she come up with the idea of



1	creating those work groups?
2	A Yes.
3	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to have this
4	document marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 651.
5	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-651 was
6	marked for identification.)
7	BY MS. GARDNER:
8	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit 651. This is an email from you
10	to Nakeba Rahming dated March 16, 2016, with the
11	subject line: "RE: Strategic plan meeting on March
12	17th."
13	The document is Bates-stamped GA00041055.
14	Do you recognize this?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And in this email you say: "The GNETS
17	Executive Board and I met yesterday and we changed
18	the old region committees to reflect the work of the
19	new GNETS Strategic Plan. We assigned each group to
20	a committee based on the knew strategic plan, but we
21	don't know what your vision is for the committee
22	work. Do you mind updating your vision for the
23	committee work and then emailing it back to me so I
24	can update the agenda."
25	It continues on from there. Do you see



1	that?		
2	A Yes.		
3	Q You mention that the GNETS Executive Board		
4	and you met?		
5	A Yes.		
6	Q What is the GNETS Executive Board?		
7	A Basically, we have rotating presidents or,		
8	you know, chairs of the GNETS, and I think during		
9	this period I was the GNETS chair. And so we would		
10	have a leadership team that consisted of different		
11	representatives that represented the whole entire		
12	state, and we would come together and have meetings		
13	on what we felt like the group needed PL on or		
14	additional training or additional information.		
15	And so during this one we were talking		
16	about, you know, the development of the strategic		
17	plan.		
18	Q Okay. How long did you serve as the, I		
19	guess, president of the GNETS Executive Board?		
20	A I think it was two years.		
21	Q And are you is there still a GNETS		
22	Executive Board currently?		
23	A Sort of. I mean we don't meet as		
24	frequently, but we do have a person who's serving in		
25	that role, yes.		



1	Q Are you still on the GNETS Executive Board			
2	currently?			
3	A No.			
4	Q How long did you serve on the GNETS			
5	Executive Board in total, whether president or not?			
6	A I think it was probably three years maybe.			
7	Q Who else were the members of the GNETS			
8	Executive Board when you served?			
9	A I think Stacey Benson, Greg McElwee,			
10	Kerrie Miller, Desiree Woods. I'm sure there were			
11	some people down South.			
12	Steve I'm trying to remember Steve's			
13	last name. He was at the Coastal GNETS. So I			
14	remember his first name was Steve. He retired too,			
15	so.			
16	Q Was that Steve Rains or someone else?			
17	A It's a different Steve, yeah.			
18	Q On this chart it also looks like it			
19	identifies some Executive Board members. Would			
20	these also have been board members you served with?			
21	A I think let's see. Aubrey yes, it			
22	looks like, yes.			
23	Q Was there rotation among the GNETS			
24	Executive Board?			
25	A Yes.			



1	Q Did Ms. Rahming update her vision for the		
2	committee work, as you requested?		
3	A When we got together she did. We talked		
4	about it and then we broke out into our groups.		
5	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to mark this as		
6	Plaintiff's Exhibit 652.		
7	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-652 was		
8	marked for identification.)		
9	BY MS. GARDNER:		
10	Q You've been handed what's been marked as		
11	Plaintiff's Exhibit 652. This is an email from you		
12	to Nakeba Rahming, dated March 30th, 2016, with the		
13	subject "March 2016 GaDOE GNETS Directors Meeting in		
14	Milledgeville."		
15	This document is Bates-stamped GA00041160.		
16	Do you recognize this?		
17	A Yes.		
18	Q And you write to Ms. Rahming: "I sent out		
19	a Survey Monkey link this meaning to all GNETS		
20	directors asking them to sign up for at least one of		
21	the GNETS Strategic Planning Committees."		
22	Do you see that?		
23	A Yes.		
24	Q And these are the work groups that Ms.		
25	Rahming had initially mentioned she wanted to roll		



1	out?
2	A Yes.
3	Q This then says: "Based on our
4	conversations, here are the options they were
5	provided," and it contains a list of committees,
6	correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Section 5a, it says: "Integration of
9	Services and Capacity Building - Exit Criteria"?
LO	A Yes.
L1	Q What was the aim of that committee?
L2	A I think, again, just having the
L3	conversations about how to reintegrate students back
L4	into the LRE when they were ready to transition back
L5	in.
L6	Q And what was the difference between that
L7	committee and then Section 5b, which says:
L8	"Integration of Services and Capacity Building -
L9	Reintegration Plan"?
20	A I think one focus was on and it may be
21	a typo, too, but I think one focus was on how to
22	actually do it with fidelity, but the other probably
23	was based on training, the teachers that were
24	receiving the students, but I don't recall what the
2.5	difference is right now.



1	I'm trying to see if there's any verbiage
2	that looks like it was just a duplication.
3	Well, it does say exit criteria and
4	reintegration plan. But exit criteria got
5	eliminated.
6	Q This also has a committee that's called
7	GNETS Operation Manual?
8	A Yes.
9	Q What is GNETS Operation Manual?
10	A It was basically a guidance document that
11	came from the DOE on how to fill out some of the
12	reports, like you pulled up today, about the data
13	management tool, The State Board Rule, and like how
14	to code different things in the different meetings.
15	Q And what was the aim of this particular
16	committee working on the GNETS operation manual?
17	A It had not been updated in a really long
18	time, and if they were moving to the strategic plan,
19	just to have a guidance document to know what the
20	expectations are.
21	Q Okay. Was that document ultimately
22	updated coming out of this committee?
23	A I don't think so, no.
24	Q And why was that?
25	A I don't recall, but I think the last



1	operations manual I recall seeing was 2014. We just
2	had the strategic plan in general, and then a lot of
3	the information that used to be in the operations
4	manual is now put on the I think it's the it's
5	on the FTE page of the DOE website.
6	So there's a GNETS FAQ that basically has
7	the information that used to be in the manual.
8	Q And that's an online resource?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And then there's something called the
11	GNETS Research Committee.
12	A Yes.
13	Q What was the aim of that committee?
14	A Just to look for the research-based
15	interventions.
16	Q Moving down into your second paragraph,
17	you say: "We all discussed the goals, activities,
18	possible artifacts, and resources needed under each
19	of the sections of the strategic plan and then
20	reported out. Here's what the group stated that we
21	need from you."
22	Do you see that?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And when you say "here's what the group
25	stated that we need from you," you're saying from



1	Ms. Rahming?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And so correct that under Section 2, which
4	is Behavior Support and Therapeutic Services, the
5	group needed from Ms. Rahming a list of
6	psychometrically sound social-emotional measures for
7	the committee to review and consider?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And in that section the group also needed
LO	a list of evidenced-based social skills curricula to
L1	review and consider?
L2	A Yes.
L3	Q Under Section 5a, it's says the group
L4	needed "guidance on developing GNETS uniform service
L5	criteria document"?
L6	A Yes.
L7	Q And also that the group needed "guidance
L8	on developing a GNETS uniform exit criteria document
L9	and data gathering and reporting tool"?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And then finally, towards the bottom,
22	under GNETS Operation Manual, it says the group
23	needed from Ms. Rahming "guidance on your vision for
24	the new GNETS Operation Manual"?



Yes.

Α

1	Q And under the Research Committee, the
2	group need from Ms. Rahming "guidance on your vision
3	for the GNETS Research Committee"?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did the group receive these things?
6	A Yes.
7	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to have this
8	document marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 653.
9	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-653 was
10	marked for identification.)
11	BY MS. GARDNER:
12	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
13	Plaintiff's Exhibit 653. This is an email from you
14	to Nakeba Rahming and others, dated March 31st,
15	2016. The subject is "RE: GNETS Strategic planning
16	committee Sign Up."
17	This document is Bates-stamped GA00041177.
18	Do you recognize this?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Am I correct that the others on the
21	recipient list for this email, apart from Nakeba
22	Rahming, are GNETS directors?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And here you are providing an update on
25	the number of GNETS programs that have signed up for



1	the GNETS Strategic Planning Committees?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And so this shows which GNETS directors
4	from which programs would have been sitting on the
5	committees that were outlined in the prior email?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Apart from your role on the GNETS
8	Executive Committee, have you served on any other
9	network wide committees relating to GNETS during
LO	your time as a GNETS director?
L1	A That are GNETS specific or just
L2	Q Committees related specifically to GNETS.
L3	A No.
L4	Q Okay.
L5	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to have this
L6	document marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 654.
L7	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-654 was
L8	marked for identification.)
L9	BY MS. GARDNER:
20	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
21	Plaintiff's Exhibit 654. This is an email from you
22	to Lisa Futch, Jacqueline Neal, Samuel Clemons,
23	Nakeba Rahming, and Vickie Cleveland, dated January
24	14, 2018, with the subject line "Re: GNETS
25	Reintegration Plan."



## CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 01, 2022 257

1	The document is Bates-stamped GA00015236.
2	Do you recognize this?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Am I correct that you sent this email in
5	response to an email from Lisa Futch, in which she
6	says: "I have worked on the reintegration draft.
7	You all think it is ready?"
8	A Uh-hum.
9	Q What is the reintegration draft that Ms.
10	Futch is referring to?
11	A She created basically a draft of a
12	resource that we can all consider using when we're
13	ready to address a student going back into the LRE.
14	So different components of training and to-do items
15	to be able to make sure the students are successful.
16	Q And your response is: "I like this plan
17	much better. My only
18	question/concern/recommendation is that I think we
19	need to consider adding guidance to the form as to
20	how this is to be listed in the IEP ex. As a
21	segment or as a supplemental services like OT or PT
22	so we can get funding and our services be coded with
23	the a 4 otherwise we're going to be pulling our
24	current therapeutic staff without accounting for

getting funding for these students in their new LRE



1	if it's somewhere else."
2	Do you see that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What does it mean for to you get funding
5	and for your services to be coded with a four?
6	A Well, just like with traditional, all
7	other special ed students, they have like you
8	know, GNETS students are coded a four. EBD students
9	have a code, an autism kid has a code. A student
10	with a specific learning disability, they have a
11	code.
12	So that when they submit the reports to
13	the DOE, there's certain funding formulas that are
14	tied into that specific eligibility category.
15	Q And when you say when you use the word
16	"we" here, are you talking about "we" GNETS
17	directors?
18	A I'm thinking State of Georgia all special
19	ed. Like special ed programs in general. All the
20	students with an IEP have a code that relates to the
21	funding that's tied into their, their eligibility
22	criteria. So not just GNETS.
23	Q Okay.
24	A Statewide.
25	Q So this was not about funding going to



GNETS, this was just about broader special education funding?

A No. It was specific about GNETS funding because we are Code 4, but all other special ed categories have different codes for their students based -- so it's just like -- like I side, like an EBD student has a code, a student with specific learning disabilities has a code.

So my suggestion here is, is if we are still coded as a four based on the State Board Rule but if we're going to provide services out in the LRE, how are we going to be able to meet the needs of the students that are a hundred percent in GNETS program, but also be able to provide that support to students that are going into the lesser restrictive environment, because you're robbing from Peter to pay Paul. You can't do both.

Q So was your question here how you can make sure that students in the LRE receiving supplemental services could be coded in a way that recognized that they were receiving GNETS services so that you would get funding for that?

A So somebody is going to get funding. If they need the services, it has to be paid for.

Q Right.



1	A So, again, you know, the way that the
2	GNETS funding formula is put together, it's like a
3	combination of all these different pieces of money.
4	And so my question related to if we're going to do
5	this, should there be a code in the IEP that's
6	listing these services as a supplemental services.
7	Like OT, PT, speech, that sort of thing. Like how
8	do we that.
9	Q Okay.
10	A And I was asking for, you know, do we need
11	guidance. Is that a recommendation we need to
12	suggest to the DOE to consider funding wise.
13	Q And what came out of your concerns that
14	you identify here?
15	A Nothing.
16	Q So this has not been addressed in any way?
17	A No. I mean we're still coded a four, but,
18	no, there's no additional finding.
19	Q You also mention in the second paragraph
20	here, you say: "I'd also like to request at our
21	next meeting that Lisa and the other persons leading
22	the charge developing all of our new common forms
23	present them to us at the meeting so they can get

everyone's feedback collaboratively when we're all



together."

24

1	A Yes.
2	Q Do you see that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What were the new common forms that you
5	were referring to?
6	A Well, just like each of the committees
7	were working on like the reintegration plan, or a
8	request for services plan, the goal of the DOE was
9	for us to have common referral packages or requests
10	for services throughout the State of Georgia if that
11	was possible.
12	Q Okay.
13	A And so the different committees were
14	developing those to present to the rest of the
15	network to see if we had any feedback or comments.
16	Q Okay.
17	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to have this marked
18	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 655.
19	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-655 was
20	marked for identification.)
21	BY MS. GARDNER:
22	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
23	Plaintiff's Exhibit 655. This is an email from you
24	to Nakeba Rahming, Vickie Cleveland, and Pat Wolf,
25	dated January 31st, 2018, with the subject line "RE:



1	Consideration of Services Pilot."
2	This contains one attachment that is a pdf
3	with a file name FY18 Winter GNETS LEA collaborative
4	ppt Final 12-8-2017."
5	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
6	Q The Bates-stamp on this document is
7	GA00015983.
8	Do you recognize this?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And you write and you say: "I'm emailing
11	you because I just reviewed the GNETS Consideration
12	of Services Packet with my School Psychologists and
13	district leaders team. We found a few areas that
14	conflict with the new GNETS State Board Rule."
15	Do you see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And is this GNETS Consideration of
18	Services Packet the packet that you discussed
19	earlier that districts fill out when they're
20	considering referring a student for GNETS services?
21	A I think so. I'm trying to see if it's
22	listed in the packet.
23	Yes, it is.
24	Q Was the GNETS consideration of services
25	packet one of the new common forms that you



1	referenced in the email that we looked at most
2	recently?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What prompted you to flag that you found a
5	few areas that conflict with the new GNETS State
6	Board Rule?
7	A Well, I try to be thorough, and so if I
8	see something that is unclear to me, I want to get
9	clarification.
10	So it looks like here it says
11	reevaluation timeline one year versus three years.
12	And so which is it? Was it supposed to be
13	for a GNETS kid, every three years do they get a
14	comprehensive one or one year.
15	So in an area with some of the information
16	they send out there were two conflicting pieces of
17	information that I just wanted to get clarity.
18	Q Did you understand that the Consideration
19	of Services Packet was supposed to align with the
20	GNETS State Board Rule?
21	A Yes.
22	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to have this marked
23	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 656.
24	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-656 was
25	marked for identification.)



1	BY MS. GARDNER:
2	Q You've been handed what has been marked
3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 656. This is an email from you
4	to Nakeba Rahming, dated October 27, 2017?
5	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
6	Q With the subject: "FW: Reintegration
7	committee meeting."
8	And it contains two attachments, the first
9	of which is a document titled "North Metro GNETS
LO	Consideration for Services_Revised_July 2017," and
L1	the second of which is titled "North Metro GNETS
L2	Reintegration Plan_July 2017."
L3	The Bates-stamp on this document is
L4	GA00132302.
L5	Do you recognize this?
L6	A Yes.
L7	Q And you write in this email: "Nakeba,
L8	Good morning. Although I like the new GNETS
L9	consideration of services forms, I like the ones my
20	team and I developed for my program this summer as
21	well. Are we going to be allowed to use our own new
22	forms or do we all need to use the same forms?"
23	Do you see that?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And then you attach your revised forms for



1	Ms. Rahming's review and consideration, correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q So you are aware that consideration of
4	services forms have been developed for common use
5	across GNETS programs?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And here you are asking Ms. Rahming
8	whether North Metro would be allowed to use
9	consideration of services forms that differed from
LO	those common GNETS consideration of services forms?
L1	A Yes.
L2	Q Did you receive a response from Ms.
L3	Rahming?
L4	A Yes.
L5	Q What was her response?
L6	A She said they were fine. They took a lot
L7	of the information off my forms for the statewide
L8	forms.
L9	Q Okay. And what was you also attach
20	your reintegration plan. What was the purpose for
21	your attaching that?
22	A Just to show her what we had worked on. I
23	mean we had been working on the committees, and
24	sometimes it takes a long time to get information



back.

1	So we wanted to make sure that we were
2	able to move forward with the expectations of the
3	strategic plan based on The State Board Rule.
4	Q What is North Metro GNETS' current fiscal
5	year operating budget?
6	A I want to say it's probably around \$6
7	million.
8	Q Okay. And what was the budget for the
9	2021-22 fiscal year, approximately?
LO	A It's about the same. It goes down every
L1	year by a couple hundred thousand, but it's in that
L2	same range. Five to six.
L3	Q And where do the funds that make up the
L4	North Metro GNETS operating budget come from?
L5	A The State.
L6	Q Any
L7	A Federal and state dollars. I'm sorry.
L8	Q I'm sorry?
L9	A Federal and state dollars.
20	Q Okay. Is the majority of the budget state
21	dollars?
22	A No.
23	Q It's not?
24	A No. The majority is federal.
25	Q The majority is federal?



1	A Wait, let me no. It's majority state.
2	Sorry. I'm getting tired. Sorry.
3	Q Okay. You mentioned earlier, I believe,
4	that there is a formula used to determine the level
5	of funding that GNETS programs get?
6	A Yes.
7	Q How does that funding formula work?
8	A To the best of my knowledge, it's very
9	convoluted, but what I've been told is that there is
10	a pot of money that's kind of left over money that
11	the Governor has based on whatever pot, and then
12	based on the number of students that you serve,
13	there's a kind of a proportionate number that varies
14	from year to year that is allotted for each student
15	that you serve, but it's on a three-year rolling
16	average.
17	Q So your funding for North Metro GNETS
18	would be influenced by the enrollment at North Metro
19	GNETS for the last three years?
20	A Yes.
21	Q What is T&E?
22	A Training and experience.
23	Q And how does that factor into the funding
24	that GNETS programs receive?
25	A So based on the years of experience that



an employee has and their degree level, they get -	
so a Ph.D. level employee gets more money than a	
bachelor's level, to pay their salary.	

- Q Does a level experience for an employee that you've employed in the past in any way limit or cap the amount of money you can receive for that position moving forward?
  - A Yes.
  - O And how does that work?
- A Well, we pay everyone based on the State's salary schedule, but then there's a supplement that's added to that amount.
  - Q And when you say that the level of experience for an employee that you've employed in the past limits or caps the amount of money you can receive for that position moving forward, how does -- can you just explain that?
- A There's a salary schedule, and so the DOE puts out a state salary schedule each year. So there's a base salary for every position and title, and then the training and experience is an extra supplement that the fiscal agent pays on top of that based on your degree level and your years of experience.
  - So the higher your education level, the



more years of experience, you get more money	for
that. But it typically caps out at 20 years	of
experience, unless there's some kind of cost	of
living raise.	

Q Okay. But if you employed a teacher one year who had three years of experience and then that position was vacated and the following year you wanted to employ a teacher that had 20 years of experience, would you have any trouble paying the teacher with 20 years of experience the T&E?

A I would be able to pay the teacher but that money would have to come out of some other line item in my budget.

Q Okay. Is that something that you take into consideration when you're filling positions?

A Yes.

Q And what kind of impact does that have on your staffing?

A Well, staffing is most important. I mean more than 80 percent of my budget, it goes towards staffing, but I want the best and the brightest and that have the right temperament to work with our students. So if it means I have to be short somewhere else, like buying new equipment or other supplies, I would prefer having a trained and



1	experienced person over flashy, new items for the
2	teachers.
3	Q Are you familiar with Amber McCullum?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Who is Amber McCullum?
6	A She's a budge person at the DOE.
7	I'm sorry.
8	Q What is her role with respect well, let
9	me reframe that.
LO	Does she play any role with respect to
L1	funding for GNETS programs?
L2	A Yes. I think she's the lead person at the
L3	DOE level. Once the governor approves the budget, I
L4	think she assists with the allocations that go out
L5	to each of the GNETS programs.
L6	Q Are you familiar with Jeronald Bell?
L7	A Geronimo?
L8	Q Jeronald.
L9	A Yes, I'm sorry. Yes.
20	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to mark this as
21	Plaintiff's Exhibit 657.
22	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-657 was
23	marked for identification.)
24	BY MS. GARDNER:
25	Q You've been handed what's been marked as



1	Plaintiff's Exhibit 657.
2	This is an email from you to Zelphine
3	Smith-Dixon and Vickie Cleveland, with copies to
4	Leigh Ann Putman and Blake McGaha.
5	The subject is "FW: FY21 Preliminary
6	GNETS State and Federal Grant Allocations."
7	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
8	Q This document contains three attachments,
9	and the first page is Bates-stamped GA02326483.
10	Do you recognize this?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Am I correct that the first of the
13	attachments to this email is the FY21 Preliminary
14	GNETS State and Federal Grant Allocations memo?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And that's from Zelphine Smith-Dixon to
17	the GNETS fiscal agents?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And then the second attachment is the
20	preliminary FY21 GNETS State and Federal Grant
21	Allocations?
22	A Yes.
23	Q I want to just look at that very quickly.
24	This is on the page Bates-stamped GA02326486.
25	Do you see the line for North Metro?



1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And does this am I correct the FY21
3	GNETS red	commended state allocation was approximately
4	\$5.25 mil	lion?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And 415,000 of that was the FY21 GNETS
7	recommend	ded federal allocation?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And then 5.67 million
LO	А	Correct.
L1	Q	Sorry. No. 5
L2	А	670,868.
L3	Q	I need to start over. I think I messed
L4	this up.	
L5		So the total FY21 GNETS recommended
L6	allocatio	on for North Metro was 5.67 million. Is
L7	that corr	rect?
L8	А	Yes.
L9	Q	415,000 of that was the FY21 GNETS
20	recommend	ded federal allocation?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And then 5.25 million was the FY21 GNETS
23	recommend	led state allocation?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	I just wanted to clarify that the majority



1	of North Metro's budget does come from the GNETS
2	state grant, right?
3	A Correct.
4	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to mark this as
5	Plaintiff's Exhibit 658.
6	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-658 was
7	marked for identification.)
8	BY MS. GARDNER:
9	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
10	Plaintiff's Exhibit 658. This is an email from you
11	to Nakeba Rahming with a copy to Stacey Benson,
12	Steve Rains, and Desiree Woods, and it bears the
13	subject "Re: GNETS Draft Funding Formula."
14	A Uh-hum.
15	Q The document is Bates-stamped GA00784542.
16	Do you recognize this?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And am I correct in this email you're
19	confirming that you are going to attend a meeting to
20	discuss a draft funding formula for GNETS?
21	A Yes.
22	Q In the email from Ms. Rahming discussing
23	this meeting she says that the meeting will be with
24	Denise Peterson, and then she goes on to say:
25	"Denise will share how she and Debbie came up with



1	the formula and listen to alternative options so
2	that we can begin the process for getting it to OPB
3	and approved for the FY10 fiscal year."
4	A Yes.
5	Q What is OPB?
6	A Office of Planning and Budget.
7	Q And how is that relevant in the GNETS
8	funding process?
9	A It comes I think it's the part of the
10	Governor's Office of accountability, where the
11	actual after the Governor approves the budgets,
12	OPB works to distribute it to the different state
13	agencies.
14	Q And when well, first of all, did you
15	actually attend this meeting that's referenced here?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And what was the nature of the discussion?
18	A Looking at some different ways to consider
19	funding GNETS. And so they were starting a
20	committee to just hear what some of the ideas were
21	and some of the thoughts, because I think it's been
22	I know it's been over it seems like it's been
23	over 30 years, if not 40 years, since the funding
24	formula for GNETS has actually been looked at.

And was there some goal in thinking about



Q

1	alternative formulas for GNETS?
2	A Yes.
3	Q What was that?
4	A The goal was to see how we could maximize
5	funding because, again, the more students that we
6	receive have more mental health issues than ever
7	before and so providing behavioral supports in the
8	classroom has become a lot more challenging.
9	So the more clinically and specialized
10	psychiatric supports we need, we can't we're not
11	able to provide that level of support.
12	Q Are you aware of a recent recent news,
13	and I believe this was last spring, about a
14	potential change in the way that GNETS programs
15	might be funded?
16	A Yes.
17	Q What's your understanding of what that
18	proposed change was?
19	A Is that the proposal my understanding
20	is that it may not be grant funded anymore, that it
21	may change over to a QBE funding formula, and that
22	we were going to have some update in November as to
23	what that might look like.
24	Q And what would it mean for funding to move
25	from a grant formula to a QBE formula?



1	A It depends on whether the the current
2	QBE funding formula, the way that it's currently set
3	up is at a lower rate than it is for GNETS right
4	now. So it would mean reduce services rather than
5	appropriate services or more services, right now,
6	unless they really look at revising how that funding
7	formula is looked at.
8	So an even swap would not be an even swap.
9	Q So your expectation is that moving from a
10	state grant formula to a QBE formula would result in
11	GNETS programs losing money?
12	A Yeah, money equal services, yes.
13	And right now we need more services.
14	MS. GARDNER: I would like to have this
15	document marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 659.
16	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-659 was
17	marked for identification.)
18	BY MS. GARDNER:
19	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
20	Plaintiff's Exhibit 659. This is an email thread
21	between you and Vickie Cleveland and Lakesha
22	Stevenson, from June 2019, regarding GNETS Continuum
23	of Services, Student Level Record & Funding.
24	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)

This document is Bates-stamped GA00347596.



Q

## CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 01, 2022

Τ	Do you recognize this?
2	A Yes.
3	Q I want to start at the bottom of this
4	email thread, which is the first in time email. So
5	direct your attention to the second-to-last page.
6	This is your email to Vickie and Lakesha
7	on June 6, 2019?
8	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
9	Q In that email, Ms the third sentence
10	in, you say: "As you know, it's the end of the year
11	and I'm in the process of making sure that all of my
12	NM GNETS students appear on each of my respective
13	district's student level record reports prior to our
14	superintendent signing off so we will receive the
15	correct amount of funding. I'm emailing you now
16	because I inquired about one of my missing students
17	on one of my district's student level record
18	reports. We've been providing consultative services
19	for this student and I was told since we only
20	provide 2 hours of consultative services to the
21	student a month, he will not be coded 4 and we will
22	not receive funding for him. Will you please let me
23	know how many hours a month of GNETS consultative
24	supports earn funding?"
25	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)



1	Q Is this another example of the funding
2	concern that we discussed earlier with GNETS sort of
3	difficulty with providing services in general
4	education environments because of the lack of
5	receiving funding for that?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Moving down, you say, and this is the
8	sentence that begins with "However."
9	You say: "As we continue to provide more
10	intensive therapeutic support services, we have more
11	students returning to their LRE which is amazing and
12	is what we want to do. However, our enrollment
13	drops and the most significantly mentally ill and
14	behaviorally challenged students remain, while our
15	budgets continue to shrink as we help students
16	transition to their new LRE."
17	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
18	Q This was an effect that you had been
19	seeing at the North Metro GNETS program?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And that's about your funding is tied to
22	enrollment?
23	A It's tied to enrollment, but the students,
24	as we do a better job providing therapeutic

supports, our numbers shrink, but the mental health



1	issues of the students that remain are greater. So
2	numbers don't equate to the individual needs that
3	the students have. And that's currently the way I
4	perceive that the budget is set up.
5	Q Ms. Cleveland responded to your email on
6	June 7, 2019, right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And looking towards the bottom of her
9	response, where she says, "The GNETS continuation of
10	services flow chart."
11	A Yes.
12	Q She says: "The GNETS continuation of
13	services flow chart provides guidance on consult
14	services. There is a request for consultation form
15	in the packet. NM should follow this protocol.
16	Several our sites have their current staff provide
17	support for students that are reintegrating or they
18	provide observations that are needed.

"NM has several support staff and the team may need to take a look at how these staff might better provide consult services. We do have programs that are providing these service with less staff. Consult services are mostly support for teachers, not direct supports to students from my observations."



19

20

21

22

23

24

What did you understand Ms. Cleveland to be saying to you?

A To figure it out. I mean basically. I just felt like, you know, she was just saying I needed to look to see how I could use the new GNETS funding State Board Rule to provide those services with the current staff that I have, which is pulling from the staff that I have with the most mental health needs.

So, again, from my perspective, you're robbing Peter to pay Paul. If you have the most significant kids in the program because of their mental health and behavioral challenges, but then we're also wanting to provide those services to the kids in the LRE so we won't have recidivism, them coming back and getting those teachers trained, you can't to both with the same person effectively.

Q And to be clear, here you were talking about providing direct services to students in the LRE?

A Yes. So it was a combination of providing direct services to the students and also the staff because we're not going to be there, we being GNETS, can't be in more than one place. But if the child is going to be successful in the LRE, we need to



1	equip the teachers with the skill set that we have
2	where the child was successful.
3	So it's kind of a balance in training the
4	student but also training the teachers as well to
5	support them.
6	Q And you said the concerns that you raised
7	in prior emails and in this one have not been
8	addressed to your satisfaction?
9	A No.
10	MS. GARDNER: I'm going to ask that this
11	document be marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 660.
12	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-660 was
13	marked for identification.)
14	BY MS. GARDNER:
15	Q You have been handed what's been marked as
16	Plaintiff's Exhibit 660. This is an email from you
17	to Nakeba Rahming and Vickie Cleveland, dated
18	February 14, 2018, with the subject: "FW:
19	Independence High School Location."
20	This is Bates-stamped GA00201024.
21	Do you recognize this?
22	A Yes.
23	Q In this email you say to Ms. Cleveland and
24	Ms. Rahming: "I want to keep in the loop regarding
25	the facilities complaints I've been receiving our



1	new North Metro GNETS Fulton Independence High
2	School location."
3	A Yes.
4	Q What prompted you to share this
5	information with Ms. Rahming and Ms. Cleveland?
6	A Well, as part of the GNETS Strategic Plan,
7	one of the sections on there is Facilities, and so
8	we were starting to get many complaints from some of
9	our parents just saying that the facility, even
10	though it was renovated, the kids did not have
11	access to some the other things that the traditional
12	students had access to.
13	Q And this email that you sent to Ms.
14	Cleveland and Ms. Rahming, you forward to them
15	correspondence between yourself and Robert Abernathy
16	and Samad Knight?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And who is Robert Abernathy?
19	A He's a GNETS LEA liaison coordinator
20	that's a Fulton County employee.
21	Q Okay. And so am I correct that Mr.
22	Abernathy went out to the GNETS location at
23	Independence High School to look at some of the
24	things that complaints have been received about?
25	A Yes.



1	Q And so this correspondence outlines some
2	of those concerns and then some of Mr. Abernathy's
3	thoughts about how to go about addressing some of
4	them?
5	A Yes.
6	Q I want to just look at a couple of the
7	concerns, which show up on the second page.
8	One of those was it says that the
9	buzzer rings at both the Independence High School
10	door and the GNETS door regardless of which door
11	needs to be answered?
12	A Yes.
13	Q GNETS had a door at Independence that was
14	separate from the regular Independence High School
15	door?
16	A Yes. They divided the building because it
17	was an alternative school on one side and the GNETS
18	program on the other side.
19	Q So Independence High School itself is an
20	alternative school?
21	A It was. They have now closed it. So
22	we're no longer in that building.
23	Q Okay. This also says the home living room
24	dishwasher and sink disposal weren't hooked up?
25	A Correct.



1	Q Is it fair to say those couldn't be used
2	as part of home living without being hooked up?
3	A That's fair.
4	Q And this also says that "GNETS lunch is at
5	12:30 after Independence High School students go -
6	often there is only pizza left - parents are upset
7	about GNETS students not having a food choice"?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Further down it says, and this is in the
10	second paragraph from the bottom, that "students
11	have to walk outside of the building to go to
12	lunch/breakfast via side door"?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Why was that?
15	A When they renovated the building and
16	the classrooms for GNETS were not connected. So
17	they then built like an overpass. So when the kids,
18	if there's increment weather, they could actually
19	walk out of the building and go in and get lunch.
20	But it wasn't there initially.
21	Q And there's no interior path for GNETS
22	students to travel to a lunchroom?
23	A No. But, again, they've closed the
24	building now. We're no longer there.
25	Q In the email that you send to Mr.



Abernathy you provide some comments and responses to
the concerns, and then Mr. Abernathy is sort of
thoughts about how to address that; is that right?
A Correct.
Q One of the things you say, and this is
about midway down in your email to Mr. Abernathy, it
says: "When IEP meetings are being held, OT
services, Speech services, and Therapeutic groups
are supposed to be provided. We don't have any
space to sufficiently provide these services. The
GNETS staff has asked to reserve space in the Museum
and they are told no. It would be a great help if
you all could assist us with them unlocking the door
on the GNETS side with the stairs that lead up to
the Museum for us to have easy access to the museum
and you all assist us with getting them to allow us
to reserve rooms on an ongoing basis so we can
provide these students these services."
Do you see that?
A Yes.

Q What is the museum?

A The building where Independence -Independence used to be in a totally separate
building, and then they -- Fulton County Schools,
they turned it into a STEM school, I think. And so



they put the programs that were in the old building
that they were tearing down and rebuilding, they put
us in a renovated building that was connected to an
historic museum in Fulton County. So we were on the
backside of the museum.

- Q So I take it from your email that GNETS had asked to use space in the museum because the GNETS program didn't have adequate space in their part of the Independence High School location to provide therapeutic services?
  - A Correct.
- Q And Independence High School told GNETS they could not use the museum space?
  - A Yes.
- Q Do general education facilities where
  North Metro GNETS houses classrooms have the final
  say in what parts of the building North Metro GNETS
  can use?
- A Well, the buildings are owned by the LEAs, not by GNETS. And so whenever we have a facilities issue, I have to bring it up to the district themselves. So we don't own any of the buildings. So I have to collaborate with them to get it resolved.
  - Q And so you said that there is no longer a



1	North Metro GNETS site at Independence High School?
2	A Correct.
3	Q Is that how this issue was resolved, was
4	just that you moved out of the space?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And how, how soon after this February 2018
7	correspondence did you move out of that space?
8	A It was probably at the end of the school
9	year.
10	MS. GARDNER: I'm going to ask that this
11	be marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 661.
12	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-661 was
13	marked for identification.)
14	BY MS. GARDNER:
15	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
16	Plaintiff's Exhibit 661. This is an email from you
17	to Vickie Cleveland, dated April 12th, 2018, with
18	the subject "Re: Independence High School - Fulton
19	County."
20	This document is Bates-stamped GA00319313.
21	And in your email you say: "Thanks for
22	the update, " correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And that's responding to an email thread
25	that Ms. Cleveland forwarded to you earlier the same



1	day with an FYI?
2	A Yes.
3	Q The forwarded email is from Pat Schofill
4	to Vickie Cleveland and Nakeba Rahming, right?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And in that email Pat Schofill says:
7	"Nakeba, Mike spoke with the Facility Director from
8	Fulton County today. Our concerns were expressed
9	and we asked him to provide us with a response. I
10	expect to receive this in the next few days."
11	Do you see that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And earlier in the thread am I correct
14	that Vickie Cleveland sent an email to Pat Schofill
15	in which she summarizes what she says "our
16	observations at the GNETS facility Independence High
17	School in Fulton County"?
18	A Yes.
19	Q So at some point, I take it, Ms. Cleveland
20	came out to actually tour Independence High School?
21	A She did.
22	Q And was that because of the volume of
23	complaints that were being lodged about the
24	facility?
25	A Yes.



1	Q Did she come alone, or was she accompanied
2	by Ms. Rahming?
3	A I think Ms. Rahming came, too, I think. I
4	can't remember specifically but I think they both
5	were there.
6	Q And this list of issues outlines some that
7	I don't believe appeared before. For example, this
8	says there's no hot running water?
9	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
LO	Q It also says there's no access to water
L1	fountains?
L2	A Correct.
L3	Q And that there's one bathroom for 17
L4	students?
L5	A Correct.
L6	Q This identifies concerns regarding
L7	inequality when comparing alternative schools to
L8	GNETS space. Do you see that?
L9	A Yes.
20	Q What were those concerns?
21	A When we went to tour the building, the
22	side of the building where the alternative school
23	was housed, we asked to be placed in part of that
24	building, but when the final facilities decision was

made, we were not in that part of the building.



1	Q And was that part of the building superior
2	in terms of its the quality of the facilities?
3	A The facility had been renovated but it was
4	access to like appropriate bathrooms, water
5	fountains, sensory rooms. So that sort of thing.
6	Q Okay.
7	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to have this marked
8	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 662.
9	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-662 was
10	marked for identification.)
11	BY MS. GARDNER:
12	Q You have been handed what's been marked as
13	Plaintiff's Exhibit 662. This is an email from you
14	to Vickie Cleveland, dated December 11, 2019.
15	The Bates-stamp on this document is
16	GA00356478.
17	Do you recognize this?
18	A Yes.
19	Q In this email you are forwarding
20	correspondence to Ms. Cleveland regarding teacher
21	vacancies at the Independence High School location
22	of the North Metro GNETS program?
23	A Yes.
24	Q So I take it this is December 2019, that
25	the Independence High School location was still



1	open?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And the prior correspondence that we
4	looked at was from the spring of 2018, correct?
5	A Yes. So it ended, I think, after the end
6	of this year.
7	We were there for a couple of years before
8	they closed the building and moved us over to
9	Centennial.
LO	Q So the end of this year, for Plaintiff's
L1	Exhibit 662, would have been the spring of 2020,
L2	correct?
L3	A I think so, yes.
L4	Q Okay. This correspondence that you
L5	forward to Ms. Cleveland includes a letter that a
L6	parent submitted to a state senator about the
L7	situation at Independence High School?
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q And that parent was concerned that there
20	were three teacher vacancies at Independence High
21	School at that time?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Those vacancies had existed since the
24	summer of 2019?



A

I don't recall.

1	Q Okay. The parent says that the students	
2	at Independence High School and North Metro GNETS	
3	program were all being served by a temporary	
4	substitute. Is that right?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q Were there any paraprofessionals	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q at that time at Independence High	
9	School?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q How many?	
12	A I don't recall, but because there were	
13	some students that had categoricals, which is a	
14	one-on-one. But every classroom did have a para, at	
15	least one.	
16	Q What happened after you received this	
17	email and letter?	
18	A We continued to recruit for teachers.	
19	Fulton County and also North Metro.	
20	Q Was North Metro able to address the	
21	vacancies that the parent was complaining of?	
22	A Eventually.	
23	Q During the 2019-2020 school year?	
24	A I don't recall. I'd have to look at the	
25	list.	



1	Q And what prompted you to send this to Ms.
2	Cleveland?
3	A I just wanted to keep her aware of the
4	issues that were being addressed regarding
5	facilities and also the staffing shortages. It was
6	a critical teacher shortage everywhere and it's been
7	going on for a while.
8	Q Does North Metro GNETS program currently
9	have a site at Long Middle School?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Is that a site that North Metro moved from
12	another facility?
13	A Yes. So all of the transition schools in
14	Atlanta used to be South Metro.
15	Q Uh-hum.
16	A And then we acquired them when Atlanta
17	wanted North Metro to be the only GNETS program.
18	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to mark this as
19	Plaintiff's Exhibit 663.
20	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-663 was
21	marked for identification.)
22	BY MS. GARDNER:
23	Q You've been handed what's been marked as
24	Plaintiff's Exhibit 663. This is an email thread
25	between you and Troy Keller, Vickie Cleveland, and



1	Katika Lovett, among others, from May 2018,
2	regarding the GNETS classroom at Long Middle School.
3	This document is Bates-stamped GA00322900.
4	Do you recognize this?
5	A Yes.
6	Q I want to start at the bottom of this
7	email thread, which is the first-in-time email.
8	Do you see the May 22nd, 2018 email from
9	Michael Rowland to Vickie Cleveland with copies to
10	Pat Schofill?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Doug Suits and Anthony Cook?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And in that email Mr. Rowland says: "As a
15	follow up to my previous email regarding the request
16	by APS to relocate a GNETS class at Long Middle
17	School Facility, this facility is currently included
18	in the local facility plan for APS and is eligible
19	to receive state capital outlay funds for
20	renovations and modifications. Due to the fact that
21	two buildings at this facility are listed as first
22	occupied in 1959, our field consultant who serves
23	APS conducted a site visit at this location to view
24	the proposed classroom to be used for GNETS
25	students."



1	Do you see that?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And then he goes on to say: "Absent any	
4	specific program concerns identified by your office,	
5	the Facility Services Unit considers this space	
6	appropriate for its intended use."	
7	Do you see that?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q So correct that before North Metro GNETS	
10	was able to move a classroom to Long Middle School	
11	that that needed to be the facility needed to be	
12	assessed by the Georgia Department of Education	
13	Facilities Unit?	
14	A Correct.	
15	Q Did Ms. Cleveland also come to view the	
16	facility prior to the classroom being relocated to	
17	Long Middle School?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q And so once both the Facilities Unit and	
20	Ms. Cleveland had signed off, then North Metro GNETS	
21	program was able to move that classroom to Long	
22	Middle School?	
23	A Yes.	
24	MS. GARDNER: What's the time on the	
25	record?	



1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're at 6:16. So you	
2	have about 44 minutes left.	
3	MS. GARDNER: Okay. I think I'd like to	
4	take about a ten-minute break and then we'll	
5	come back and finish.	
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:16	
7	excuse me.	
8	The time is 4:52 p.m. and we are off the	
9	record.	
LO	(A recess was taken.)	
L1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:04 p.m.,	
L2	and we are on the record.	
L3	BY MS. GARDNER:	
L4	Q You have been the director at the North	
L5	Metro GNETS program for 10 years or so, correct?	
L6	A Yes.	
L7	Q What is the shortest length of stay for a	
L8	student at North Metro GNETS that you're familiar	
L9	with based on your experience?	
20	A I have to think. I can't think of a	
21	specific student offhand, but I to know that it's	
22	based on progress and goals, but we have had	
23	students to not stay an entire year.	
24	Q So less than a year?	
25	A Less than a year.	



1	Q And what's the longest length of stay for
2	a North Metro GNETS student that you can recall
3	based on your experience?
4	A I mean it's all based on the IEP team
5	decision, but it varies based on the severity of
6	each student.
7	Q Are there any students who are in North
8	Metro GNETS when you assumed the role of director
9	who are still in North Metro GNETS?
10	A Not that I'm aware of, no.
11	Q Are there any markers or timelines within
12	North Metro GNETS after which a student has been in
13	the program for a particular length of time where
14	there's any more extensive evaluation or assessment
15	that occurs to kind of consider, you know, what's
16	happening with the student, whether the student
17	needs to continue in kind of a more restrictive
18	environment like North Metro GNETS?
19	A Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

What are those markers or timelines?

Typically, when we see that the behavioral Α and therapeutic interventions we are administering aren't successful. There are some kids that have that critical of a need that the IEP team will meet and determine that we need to consider more



1		
ı	restrictive	
ı	200 a + 201 a + 1 110	0070777
ı		SDVVVV
ı	T C D C T T C C T V C	

- Q And so I guess just to make sure that my question is clear, I'm asking about whether there's any sort of trigger point, like, for example, if a student was in North Metro GNETS for six years, is there any sort of --
  - A Oh, a timeline.
- Q -- time period after which you start to say, okay, this needs to be looked at more closely to figure out what's happening and why the length of stay is so long? Is what I'm saying.
- A So, no, there's nothing that I'm aware of that is tied to a timeline. It's more of success, of lessening those really severe behaviors. But not a timeline that is required or -- no.
  - O Okay.
  - MS. GARDNER: So I would like to have this document marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 664.
    - (WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-664 was marked for identification.)
- BY MS. GARDNER:
  - Q You've been handed what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 664. This is the first tab of an Excel file provided by North Metro GNETS to the United States in response to a document subpoena?



1	A Yes.
2	Q Do you recognize this?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did you prepare this chart?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And was the Excel file this chart was
7	included on submitted to the United States in
8	response to a subpoena?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Am I correct that this or these charts
11	show the total enrollment in North Metro GNETS
12	across all of the school districts served as of
13	August 31st, 2021?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And so as of that date you had 242
16	students
17	A Yes.
18	Q served?
19	Just so that I'm correct in understanding,
20	do you see in the left-hand column it says four
21	students with autism served in class?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And then the total there is 59?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Does the 242 program total include the 59,



1	or the 59 in addition to that?
2	A It includes the 2 59.
3	Q And this chart shows that as of August
4	31st, 2021, North Metro GNETS had served 48
5	elementary school students?
6	A Looking for that.
7	Q It's on the chart on the left, and it
8	breaks it down by
9	A Yes.
10	Q So 48 elementary school students?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And that included three first graders,
13	which appears on the right-hand chart?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Two second graders?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Five third graders?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And of all the students served as of this
20	August 31st, 2021 date, it says that three were
21	served less than 10 days?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Does that the less than 10 days, does
24	that refer to students who have a high rate of
25	absenteeism or students who are withdrawn at some



1	point?
2	A It could be we don't, we don't unenroll
3	them for absenteeism, but it could be the child
4	moved or something like that.
5	Q Okay. I'd like to show you another
6	document that will be marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit
7	665, but this is an electronic document.
8	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-665 was
9	marked for identification.)
10	BY MS. GARDNER:
11	Q I'm going to move this a little bit closer
12	so you can see. I'll share.
13	Can you see that?
14	A Yes, I can.
15	Q This is a spreadsheet that was produced to
16	the United States by the North Metro GNETS program
17	in response to the United States subpoena for
18	documents?
19	A Yes.
20	Q The internal temporary reference that we
21	have as assigned to this is North Metro_Temp_002628.
22	I do want to represent to you that we have
23	redacted the fields showing each student's first and
24	last name, their student ID number, and their date
25	of birth to protect privacy.



1	A Okay.
2	Q But would you look at the spreadsheet and
3	let me know if you recognize it?
4	A I do.
5	Q And am I correct that this shows all of
6	the students enrolled in the North Metro GNETS
7	programs whose home school system is Gwinnett
8	County?
9	A Yes. That is the BRIDGE and Oakland
LO	Meadows, yes.
L1	Q And then it contains tabs that show that
L2	information for the 2019-20, the 2020-21, the
L3	2021-22 school years?
L4	A Yes.
L5	Q This contains a number of fields. I just
L6	wanted to ask you in particular about one.
L7	Do you see the field called "Duration"?
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q What does that signify?
20	A The number of days I guess they were in
21	the program or in school.
22	Q So is this the number of days they were in
23	school for a given school year?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And so, for example, on this spreadsheet,



1	which is the 2021-22 school year and this may be
2	a function of the date that this was produced.
3	Do you see the
4	A The date column?
5	Q in 27?
6	A What is the top of the what is it
7	titled?
8	Q This field.
9	A Duration. I have no idea. My secretary
10	fills this out. I'm sorry.
11	Q And correct that for these particular
12	tabs, it shows the date of enrollment for that
13	particular school year?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay.
16	Would you like me to go back up?
17	A I'm looking at duration and I'm just
18	trying to recall what the I think that is the
19	number of weeks in school. It looks like looking
20	at those numbers, it may be the number of weeks.
21	Q Okay.
22	A But I I'm not sure.
23	Q Okay. Does North Metro regularly track
24	which North Metro GNETS students graduate each year?
25	A That is done by the LEA, because our



1	students, when they graduate from GNETS, they
2	actually are graduating from their school, since
3	we're part of the program.
4	Q Does GNETS track whether students who end
5	up graduating pursue post-secondary education?
6	A No. That's tracked by the LEA.
7	Q Does GNETS regularly track the number of
8	North Metro students who drop out?
9	A No, we don't track that. That again is
10	tracked by the LEA, since the students are students
11	of the district, not of GNETS. If that makes sense.
12	Q Does North Metro GNETS record the
13	attendance of each of its students on a daily basis?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And is that done using some sort of an
16	electronic database?
17	A Yes. The Infinite Campus in Atlanta and
18	Fulton, and then Synergy for Gwinnett.
19	Q In order for a student to count as in
20	attendance, what percent or proportion of the
21	instructional day do they have to be physically
22	present?
23	A It's based on each individual school
24	district. I think for most of our school districts,
25	if they come to school at the beginning of the day



1	and they stay until 12 o'clock, I think they count
2	that as a full day.
3	Q So it's
4	A But it depends on the school district's
5	rules for that.
6	Q Are students ever asked to stay home or
7	sent home due to problem behavior?
8	A No.
9	Q Are students
10	A Not unless they're suspended.
11	Q Are students ever asked to stay home or
12	sent home due to lack of staff onsite?
13	A No.
14	Q On average, what percentage of North Metro
15	GNETS students are present on any given day?
16	A It depends on each location. So that's
17	would be a site coordinator question, because
18	they're like my building principals in 21 locations.
19	Q Does North Metro GNETS attendance rates
20	affect any funding that you receive?
21	A No.
22	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to have this
23	document marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 665.
24	I'm sorry, 666. Thank you.
25	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-666 was



1	marked for identification.)
2	BY MS. GARDNER:
3	Q You have been handed what has been marked
4	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 666.
5	This is an email from you to Vickie
6	Cleveland with a copy to Tasha Wiggins, dated
7	September 26, 2018, with a subject "North Metro
8	GNETS Requested Data," and it includes one
9	attachment that is an Excel file with the file name
10	"North Metro GNETS Data_9-20-18.
11	The Bates-stamp on this document is
12	GA00333801.
13	Do you recognize this?
14	A Yes, but my data sheet is blank, so I'm
15	not really sure.
16	Q Okay. So the attachment was provided in
17	Excel, so I'm going to show the attachment to you
18	electronically.
19	A Okay.
20	Q I'll share that.
21	Can you see this document?
22	A Yes.
23	Q The information that you sent to Ms.
24	Cleveland, is that information that Ms. Cleveland
25	requested that North Metro GNETS provide?



1	A Yes.
2	Q And was the spreadsheet provided to North
3	Metro for North Metro to fill in the fields of that
4	spreadsheet?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And am I correct in understanding that all
7	of the other regional GNETS programs were also asked
8	to do that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And in this email you're sending the
11	spreadsheet back with North Metro's data filled in?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And according to what you reported, and
14	this is FY18, nine students graduated from North
15	Metro?
16	A Yes.
17	Q That same fiscal school year, 39 students
18	transitioned back to their home school district?
19	A Yes.
20	Q When it says that that those students
21	transitioned back to their home school district,
22	does that mean that those students were fully in the
23	general education environment?
24	A Yes.
25	O The data you reported also notes that



1	and this says based on FY19, that 162 students were
2	enrolled in the North Metro GNETS center?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And then it says based on FY19, 74
5	students were enrolled in school-based locations
6	within North Metro GNETS?
7	A Yes.
8	Q There's a field in this spreadsheet for
9	the number of students participating in extra
10	curriculum activities
11	A Yes.
12	Q in FY19. Do you see that?
13	A Yes.
14	Q North Metro left this field blank when
15	sending this document back to Ms. Cleveland?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Why was that?
18	A Well, because, again, we don't track this
19	information. So I had to try to hunt it down by
20	asking parents and students and all of that, and I
21	just did not have access to the information. So I
22	could not report accurately on how many of the
23	students were participating in extracurricular
24	activities.

Are you familiar with the Apex program?



Q

1	A Yes.
2	Q What is the Apex program?
3	A It's a program, to my understanding, where
4	they provide mental health services to students and
5	families that are a part of the program.
6	Q And do you understand that those mental
7	health services are provided to students in schools?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Are there any North Metro GNETS students
10	who access Apex services?
11	A I know that we try to get a collaborative
12	in our Gwinnett schools to have those services
13	provided but I don't think they were through Apex.
14	My understanding of Apex is when it came about, it
15	was to provide mental health services for general ed
16	students, not special ed students. That's my
17	understanding of the Apex program.
18	Q Okay. So your understanding of the Apex
19	program is that special ed students are not eligible
20	for that particular program?
21	A That's my understanding. I'm not very
22	familiar with it, but that is what I understand.
23	Q When you say you tried to get a
24	collaborative in Gwinnett schools to have those
25	services, are you referring to mental health



1	services in schools?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And you said that was not through Apex,
4	though?
5	A They have two different agencies that they
6	were looking for, and the reason I got the idea was
7	because some of their other schools were using Apex
8	services and some other vendors to provide mental
9	health, and they were based in the schools.
10	So basically they didn't work for the
11	school, but the County provided them with office
12	space. And so we started having those conversations
13	right before COVID. COVID's gone on for a while, so
14	we are still trying to have those conversations.
15	So at this point those services still are
16	not being provided at a regular basis. On a regular
17	basis.
18	Q And these conversations you were having
19	about this collaboration, who were those
20	conversations with?
21	A The special ed directors in Gwinnett.
22	Q And when you say that there were there
23	was an outside agency that would come in and provide
24	the services but be housed at school facilities?

That was the hope, yes.



Α

1	Q What were those outside agencies that you
2	were potentially thinking of collaborating with?
3	A Of course Gwinnett calls it something
4	different. I don't recall right now, but I will try
5	to recall the names of those agencies and share them
6	with you.
7	Q Were those government agencies?
8	Independent, private agencies?
9	A Some of the similar agencies that like are
10	part of the LIPT, the Local Interaction Planning
11	Team. But even though they have the CSBs that are
12	across the State, some of them serve different
13	districts.
14	So the agencies that Gwinnett was having
15	those conversations with served Gwinnett County
16	family, students and families.
17	Q Were these CSBs, like covering the area of
18	Gwinnett?
19	A I don't recall.
20	Q So just to be clear, you mentioned that
21	it's your understanding that special education
22	students can't access Apex services. So do you know
23	whether any North Metro GNETS students are currently
24	accessing Apex services?

Not to my knowledge.



Α

1	Q Okay.
2	A Again, my very limited knowledge on Apex
3	is I thought that that program was developed for
4	students that were in a general ed program. Now, if
5	the program is housed in a school with special ed
6	students, I would assume they have access, but,
7	again, I don't think it was created for students
8	with IEPs.
9	Q Okay.
10	MS. GARDNER: I'm going to ask the court
11	reporter to mark three documents at once.
12	The first one I'm going to ask be marked
13	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 667.
14	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-667 was
15	marked for identification.)
16	MS. GARDNER: The second one I will ask to
17	be marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 668.
18	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-668 was
19	marked for identification.)
20	MS. GARDNER: And the third one I will ask
21	be marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 669.
22	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-669 was
23	marked for identification.)
24	MS. GARDNER: And, for the record,
25	Plaintiff's Exhibit 667 is Bates-stamped



1	GA05058857.
2	Plaintiff's Exhibit 668 is Bates-stamped
3	GA0508776.
4	Sorry. Plaintiff's Exhibit 668 is
5	Bates-stamped GA05058891.
6	And Plaintiff's Exhibit 669 is
7	Bates-stamped GA05087765.
8	BY MS. GARDNER:
9	Q Starting with Plaintiff's Exhibit 667,
10	correct that this is an email thread between you and
11	Nakeba Rahming from February 2016, with the subject
12	"RE: Attorney-Client Privilege Document"?
13	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
14	Yes.
15	Q Do you recognize this email thread?
16	A I don't recognize it, but I see my name
17	and time-stamped on it, yes.
18	Q And if you look on the second page of this
19	document, the earliest email in the thread, am I
20	correct that that is an email that Nakeba Rahming
21	writes on February 1st, 2016, and begins the email
22	with "Hello GNETS Directors"?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Do you see that?
25	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)



1	Q And the remaining body of the email is
2	blacked out, correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q At the very bottom of the page, it does
5	show there was an attachment to that initial email
6	that was a Word document. Do you see that?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And it says "GNETS Directors privileged
9	attorney-client form"?
LO	A Yes.
L1	Q You respond to Ms. Rahming on February 13,
L2	2016, correct?
L3	A Yes.
L4	Q And the body of your email, apart from
L5	your greeting "Hi Nakeba," is blacked out as well?
L6	A Yes.
L7	Q And am I correct that the bodies of the
L8	three emails exchanged after that, in the thread
L9	between you and Ms. Rahming, are also blacked out?
20	A Correct.
21	Q At the time of this email exchange, did
22	you consider North Metro GNETS to be legally
23	represented by Nakeba Rahming or lawyers at the
24	Georgia Department of Education?
25	A Yes.



1	Q Are the lawyers for the Georgia Department
2	of Education representing you here today?
3	A I assume so. I guess.
4	I mean they're on the Zoom. So I assume.
5	I don't know what their role is.
6	Q Okay.
7	A My main attorney is Beth Morris, but
8	MS. GARDNER: So I would like to put a
9	note on the record, the United States
10	disagreement with the assertion of any
11	privilege over the contents of this email. The
12	email is between an employee of the Georgia
13	Department of Education and a director of a
14	GNETS program. The State of Georgia has taken
15	the position in this litigation that it does
16	not represent the GNETS programs, any of their
17	fiscal agents or directors.
18	So we request that the State of Georgia
19	produce the unredacted version of this email
20	prior to the close of discovery.
21	We also request that the unredacted
22	version of Ms. Rahming's February 1, 2016 email
23	to the GNETS directors attaching the Word
24	document with the file name GNETS Directors
25	Privilege Attorney-Client Form be produced in



1	unredacted form with the included attachment	
2	prior to the close of discovery.	
3	BY MS. GARDNER:	
4	Q Moving on to Plaintiff's Exhibit 668, this	
5	is an email thread between you and Nakeba Rahming	
6	from April 2016 with the subject line "Re: North	
7	Metro GNETS File Audit: Week of March 28, 2016	
8	through April 1, 2016, Attorney-Client Privilege?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q Do you recognize this?	
11	A Yes.	
12	Q There are also attorneys of this email	
13	that are blacked out; is that correct?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q The only thing not blacked out is a	
16	discussion about the beach?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q You said earlier that Nakeba Rahming was	
19	the director of the GNETS program at the Georgia	
20	Department of Education?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q To your knowledge, is Ms. Rahming an	
23	attorney?	
24	A No.	
25	Q You generated the first email in this	



1	thread, correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And so you created the subject line here
4	that includes "attorney-client privilege"?
5	A Uh, I guess so.
6	Q What prompted you to include
7	"attorney-client privilege" language in this subject
8	line?
9	A I guess because she sent me a document
10	that said attorney was I not replying back to
11	that? I'm trying to when I look at this email
12	from February 1st on document 667, it has a document
13	that it looks like it came from Nakeba Rahming,
14	correct?
15	Q Yes. So is it your understanding that
16	this April 2016 email is related to the February
17	2016 email?
18	A Yes. That's what it looks like to me.
19	Q Okay. Was there a file audit of the North
20	Metro GNETS program in 2016?
21	A Probably, yes.
22	Q Who performed that file audit?
23	A I'm sure 2002 Nakeba Rahming and probably
24	Vickie Cleveland if she was there at that time.
25	Q And what was the nature of the file audit?



1	A At that point they were going through
2	looking at all of the documents that were being
3	requested by the DOJ, or I don't recall specifically
4	unless it was a part of the compliance review
5	process.
6	Q Have there been other file audits of the
7	North Metro GNETS program since 2016?
8	A Probably. I can't be sure, but
9	Q Have you been requested to conduct IEP
10	file reviews?
11	A I think so. I think the one of the
12	previous emails show that I was asking about the
13	three students that they wanted the IEP reviews.
14	MS. GARDNER: I'd like to note on the
15	record, similar to Plaintiff's Exhibit 667,
16	that the United States disagrees with the
17	assertion of any privilege over the contents of
18	this email since the email is between an
19	employee of the Georgia Department of Education
20	and a director of a GNETS program, and the
21	State has taken the position in this litigation
22	it does not represent the GNETS programs, any
23	of their fiscal agents or directors.
24	We request that the unredacted version of
25	this email also be produced prior to the close



1	of discovery.
2	And for the record sake, again, the
3	Bates-stamp on this document is GA05058891.
4	BY MS. GARDNER:
5	Q Moving to Plaintiff's Exhibit 669, this is
6	an email from you to Lisa Futch, Nakeba Rahming,
7	Deborah Gay, and Pat Wolf, dated February 3rd, 2016.
8	Do you recognize this?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And this document is Bates stamped
11	GA05087765.
12	In this email you respond to an email from
13	Lisa Futch, in which she note that she will be
14	unable to make a meeting regarding the strategic
15	plan?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And is this reference to the strategic
18	plan in reference to the GNETS Strategic Plan?
19	A Yes.
20	Q In your response there is a specific
21	portion of the response that is blacked out,
22	correct?
23	A Yes.
24	MS. GARDNER: For the same reasons that we
25	previously explained on the record, to the



1	extent that the redaction of this email is for
2	privilege, the United States disagrees with the
3	assertion of privilege in this instance, given
4	the presence of Georgia Department of Education
5	employees and GNETS directors on the same
6	communication, and we request that the State
7	produce the unredacted version of this email
8	prior to the close of discovery.
9	BY MS. GARDNER:
10	Q Ms. Holifield Dr. Holifield, were you
11	present for site visits to the North Metro GNETS
12	program locations in which experts for the United
13	States toured facilities and observed classrooms in
14	May of 2022?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Were you present for a visit to the Buice
17	Center?
18	A Yes.
19	Q For a visit to Centennial High School?
20	A Yes.
21	Q For a visit to Tri Cities High School?
22	A Yes.
23	Q For a visit to Hamilton Homes Elementary
24	School?
25	A Yes.



1	Q	I believe there was also a visit to Haynes
2	Bridge Mi	ddle School. Is that correct?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Who else was present from North Metro for
5	those sit	e visits?
6	A	My RESA director, Dr. Leigh Ann Putman,
7	and my si	te coordinator.
8	Q	And who is your site coordinator?
9	A	It depends on the different locations you
10	just name	d. I mean if you name them again, I can
11	tell you	who's who.
12	Q	That's fine.
13	A	Okay.
14	Q	Was anyone present for those visits from
15	the count	y school systems that North Metro service?
16	A	Yes. Their attorneys.
17	Q	And anybody besides the attorneys?
18	A	I think in a couple of the visits the
19	special e	d director was present as well.
20	Q	How long before those May 2022 site visits
21	occurred	did you learn that they would be happening?
22	A	Probably a couple of weeks to a month,
23	maybe.	
24	Q	And how did you first learn about the
25	visits?	



1	A The information that was sent over from my
2	attorney.
3	Q Did you do anything to prepare for those
4	visits?
5	A Just do my job.
6	Q Did you have any conversations with anyone
7	on your staff about the visits in advance of their
8	occurrence?
9	A Maybe.
LO	Q Who would you have had conversations about
L1	that?
L2	A Probably just another GNETS director
L3	saying what in the world is happening, what's this
L4	going to be like.
L5	Q Did you have any conversations with any of
L6	your teachers about the visits that were
L7	anticipated?
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q And what was the nature of those
20	conversations?
21	A Generally, just to let them know we're
22	going to have visitors in the building and to do
23	their job and keep working.
24	Q Did you put in any special maintenance
25	requests in advance of those visits?



1	A No.
2	Q Were there any modifications to student
3	class schedules made in advance of those visits?
4	A No.
5	Q To students' schedules themselves?
6	A No.
7	Q Did you or anyone on your staff have any
8	conversations with students or their families about
9	the visits in advance
10	A No.
11	Q of their occurrence?
12	A Not other than students to let them know
13	we're going to have visitors in the building and
14	keep doing what they normally do, and that was just
15	sporadic.
16	Q Were any students invited or requested to
17	stay home on the date that the United States site
18	visits occurred?
19	A No.
20	Q Prior to the United States and its expert
21	beginning the site visit at the Buice Center, did
22	you participate in a meeting on that day with your
23	counsel Regan Sauls; the principal of the Buice
24	Center, Kim Mankin; counsel for Gwinnett County,

Victoria Sweeney; the Gwinnett County special

24

1	education director, Nicole White; Shaun Owen of the		
2	Georgia Department of Education; and the State's		
3	counsel Danielle Hernandez?		
4	A We were all present that day for the		
5	visit.		
6	Q And did you-all meet in advance of the		
7	visit?		
8	A We all gathered in the same room prior to		
9	the, the attorneys arriving.		
10	Q And did you all discuss the site visit		
11	during that time?		
12	A No. Not really.		
13	Q So there wasn't a meeting ongoing at the		
14	time that the United States and its expert arrived		
15	for the tour?		
16	A I think we had a visit about the schedule,		
17	how we were going to go and which way which		
18	classrooms to go to based on the subpoena, what you		
19	all asked that you wanted to see. So it was a		
20	meeting about just making sure that the building		
21	principals took we went on the different		
22	locations to everything that you all had mentioned		
23	in the letter.		
24	Q Did the group that you were meeting with		
25	request that the United States and its expert give		



1	the group a few minutes to wrap up its meeting?			
2	A I don't recall.			
3	Q And did you have similar meetings prior to			
4	the beginning of the site visit at Hamilton Homes			
5	Elementary School?			
6	A Same conversations. Just going over the			
7	schedule based on the subpoena which areas you all			
8	wanted to see.			
9	Q And was that meeting ongoing at the time			
10	that the United States and its expert arrived for			
11	the tour?			
12	A Probably.			
13	Q Did you request the United States and its			
14	expert give the group you were meeting with a few			
15	minutes to wrap up?			
16	A I don't recall.			
17	Q That meeting at Hamilton Homes, your			
18	counsel Regan Sauls was present for that?			
19	A Yes.			
20	Q And the principal of Hamilton Homes,			
21	Andrea Grainger?			
22	A Yes.			
23	Q Counsel for Fulton County schools, Jeff			
24	Daniel?			
25	A Yes.			



1	Q Shaun Owen of the Georgia Department of		
2	Education?		
3	A Yes.		
4	Q And Alexa Ross, counsel for the state?		
5	A Yes.		
6	Q Samad Knight was also present for that		
7	meeting?		
8	A Yes, my site coordinator.		
9	Q You talked a lot about GNETS today. I'm		
10	wondering whether you have identified any ways that		
11	you think access to educational and therapeutic		
12	services for students served, if GNETS could be		
13	improved?		
14	A Could you repeat that?		
15	Q Sure. Are there any ways that you think		
16	access to educational and therapeutic services for		
17	GNETS students could be improved?		
18	A I think just having more of the		
19	therapeutic support services available and to make		
20	sure that we follow, you know, IDEA, because we are		
21	part of the full continuum of services.		
22	Q What would it take to have more		
23	therapeutic support services available?		
24	A It just depends on the needs of the		
25	students and being able to provide more school		



Т	psychologists, social workers and behavioral		
2	interventionists to do the same work that we're		
3	doing now.		
4	Q What would North Metro in particular need		
5	in order to be able to do that?		
6	A I think the same. I think the staffing		
7	pattern and the services that we provide are		
8	effective. I just think we need more of them.		
9	Again, like I said before, even though our numbers		
LO	are less, the mental health needs of our students		
L1	are greater.		
L2	Q Anything apart from that that would be on		
L3	a wish list you might have for North Metro GNETS?		
L4	A Not that I could think of.		
L5	MS. GARDNER: One more document and I		
L6	think we're pretty		
L7	I'd like to have this marked as		
L8	Plaintiff's Exhibit 670.		
L9	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-670 was		
20	marked for identification.)		
21	BY MS. GARDNER:		
22	Q You've been handed what's been marked as		
23	Plaintiff's Exhibit 670. This is an email from		
24	Vickie Cleveland to you and others dated November		
25	14, 2018?		



1	A Yes.		
2	Q With the subject line "2017-18 Milestone		
3	data."		
4	This contains two Excel attachments. The		
5	first is an Excel file with file name "Copy of		
6	fy2018_gnets_milestones-eoc."		
7	And the second file name "Copy of		
8	fy2018-gnets_milestones-eog."		
9	And this document is Bates-stamped		
10	GA00336618.		
11	Do you recognize this?		
12	A Yes.		
13	Q In this email Ms. Cleveland says: "See		
14	the attached 2017-18 EOG and EOC milestones data.		
15	We will discuss further. I look forward to seeing		
16	everyone in the morning."		
17	Do you see that?		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q What is EOG milestones data?		
20	A End of grade, and end of course.		
21	Q What's the difference between end of grade		
22	and end of course milestones?		
23	A The assessments are different. So certain		
24	grade levels are required by the State to have an		
25	end of course, and others are end of grade.		



1	Q I want to turn to the attachments, which	
2	are electronic, so I'm going to show the first	
3	attachment.	
4	This is the end of course milestones data	
5	Do you see this document?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Am I correct that this shows all of the	
8	various GNETS programs?	
9	A Yes.	
LO	Q With end of course and subject area.	
L1	It shows the total number of students that	
L2	were tested and those test results, depending on	
L3	whether the student was proficient or better or not	
L4	quite proficient?	
L5	A Yes.	
L6	Q I also want to show you the second	
L7	attachment and get you to confirm that one as well.	
L8	Do you see this attachment?	
L9	A Yes.	
20	Q And am I correct that this is the a	
21	similar spreadsheet but showing end of grade	
22	milestones data?	
23	A Correct.	
24	Q Do you see the assessment type here?	
25	A Yes.	



1	Q And then it would show for each GNETS			
2	program the total number of students tested, what			
3	the subject code is, what the grade level, and then			
4	how many students tested proficient or less than			
5	proficient or more than proficient?			
6	A Yes.			
7	Q Correct that North Metro's data is			
8	included in here as well?			
9	A Yes.			
10	Q Did the discussion of this data that Ms.			
11	Cleveland said would happen in her email occur?			
12	A Yes.			
13	Q Was that done at a GNETS directors			
14	meeting?			
15	A Yes.			
16	MS. GARDNER: That means my time is up.			
17	BY MS. GARDNER:			
18	Q Ms. Cleveland was present for that			
19	conversation as well?			
20	A Yes.			
21	Q Was anyone else from the Georgia			
22	Department of Education present?			
23	A Typically not unless she had someone from			
24	the DOE come in and train us on something.			
25	Sometimes she would invite guest speakers in to			



1	train us on something that the State was doing.		
2	Q And do you remember just briefly what the		
3	nature of that discussion was about the milestones		
4	data with the GNETS directors?		
5	A We were just to look at the data and		
6	analyze it to see where our students were and to try		
7	to make sure that we implemented more academic		
8	interventions so we could move kids into the		
9	proficient and above area.		
10	Q Okay. I'll stop sharing that.		
11	MS. GARDNER: Well, thank you very much		
12	for your time today.		
13	A Thank you.		
14	MS. GARDNER: You are done and free to go.		
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes this		
17	video deposition.		
18	The time is 5:49 p.m., and we are off the		
19	record.		
20	MR. JOHNSON: The State of Georgia had a		
21	few questions that we would like to have an		
22	opportunity to ask.		
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:49 p.m.,		
24	and we are on the record.		

MS. JOHNSON: We are on the record?



25

Τ	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. Yes.		
2	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you.		
3	EXAMINATION		
4	BY MS. JOHNSON:		
5	Q Ms. Holifield, my name is Melanie Johnson.		
6	I represent the State of Georgia. I promise I will		
7	keep you here for less than five minutes. I just		
8	have a few follow-up questions.		
9	And I apologize, I think I just called you		
10	Ms. Holifield. I meant to say doctor.		
11	A That's fine.		
12	Q I just have a few questions. The first		
13	one is, does the State participate in your program's		
14	personnel decisions?		
15	A No.		
16	Q Okay. And you mentioned earlier that you		
17	report to Leigh Ann Putman, the executive director		
18	of Metro RESA. Is that right?		
19	A That's correct.		
20	Q Is she also the individual who hired you?		
21	A No. She was working at in a different		
22	position at Metro RESA. At that time there was		
23	another RESA executive director. But she was a part		
24	of the hiring process.		
25	Q Okay. So it was the executive director of		



1	the Metro RESA who hired you, just a different one		
2	than Leigh Ann Putman?		
3	A Yes.		
4	Q And does the executive director also		
5	conduct your evaluations?		
6	A Yes.		
7	Q Okay. Does the State of Georgia conduct		
8	any evaluations of you or your staff?		
9	A Just the strategic plan.		
LO	Q Okay. What about through the TKES and		
L1	LKES process?		
L2	A No.		
L3	Q And are you aware of who makes up the		
L4	members of an IEP team?		
L5	A Yes.		
L6	Q Is the State of Georgia ever a member of		
L7	an IEP team?		
L8	A No.		
L9	Q To your knowledge?		
20	A No.		
21	MS. JOHNSON: All right, those are all my		
22	questions.		
23	Thank you very much. I appreciate these		
24	extra few minutes of your time.		
25	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		



```
1
          MS. JOHNSON: Have a good evening.
 2
          THE WITNESS: You too.
          THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes this
 3
     video deposition.
 4
          The time is 5:52 p.m., and we are off the
 5
 6
     record.
 7
           (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at
     5:52 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	STATE OF GEORGIA:		
4	FULTON COUNTY:		
5			
6	I hereby certify that the foregoing		
7	transcript of CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, Ph.D. was taken		
8	down, as stated in the caption, and the questions		
9	and answers thereto were reduced by stenographic		
10	means under my direction;		
11	That the foregoing Pages 1 through		
12	334 represent a true and correct transcript of		
13	the evidence given upon said hearing;		
14	And I further certify that I am not of kin		
15	or counsel to the parties in this case; am not in		
16	the regular employ of counsel for any of said		
17	parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the		
18	result of said case.		
19			
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto		
21	subscribed my name this 8th day of December, 2022.		
22	Warle L. Robins		
23			
24	Wanda L. Robinson, CRR, CCR No. B-1973		
25	My Commission Expires 10/11/2023		



following disclosure:

December 01, 2022 

2	STATE OF GEORGIA	) 12/01/22 VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF
	FULTON COUNTY	CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, Ph.D.

DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to Article 10.B of the Rules and

Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting of the Judicial Council of Georgia, I make the

I am a Georgia certified court reporter.

I am here as a representative of Esquire Deposition Solutions, LLC, and Esquire Deposition Solutions,

LLC was contacted by the offices of U.S. Attorney's Office to provide court reporter services for this deposition. Esquire Deposition Solutions, LLC will not be taking this deposition under any contract that is prohibited by O.C.G.A. 9-11-28 (c).

Esquire Deposition Solutions, LLC has no contract/agreement to provide court reporter services with any party to the case, or any counsel in the case, or any reporter or reporting agency from whom a referral might have been made to cover this deposition.

Esquire Deposition Solutions, LLC will charge the usual and customary rates to all parties in the case, and a financial discount will not be given to any party to this litigation.

2.0



1	ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPT OF:						
2	Deponent Name: CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, Ph.D.						
3	Case Caption: United States of America vs. State of Georgia						
5	Case No. : 1:16-cv-03088-ELR						
6 7 8	I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 1st day of December, 2022, taken before Wanda L. Robinson, and that:						
9	1) There are no changes noted.						
LO	2) The following changes are noted:						
L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6	Pursuant to state rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reason given for making them.  Accordingly, to assist you in effecting corrections, please use the form below:  CORRECTIONS:						
L8 L9 20 21	Page Line Change Reason For Change						
23							
25							



1	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT
2	
3	I hereby certify that I have read and examined
4	the foregoing transcript, and the same is a true and
5	accurate record of the testimony given by me. Any
6	additions or corrections that I feel are necessary,
7	I will attach on a separate sheet of paper to the
8	original transcript.
9	
10	
11	Signature of Deponent
12	
13	I hereby certify that the individual
14	representing himself/herself to be the above-named
15	individual, appeared before me this day of
16	, 2022, and executed the above
17	certificate in my presence.
18	
19	
20	
21	NOTARY PUBLIC
22	
23	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:
24	
25	



Exhibits	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas sandra.	8828136 Cas sandra.
EXIIIDICS	Holifield,	Holifield,	Holifield,	Holifield,
	Ph.D.	Ph.D.	Ph.D.	Ph.D.
8828136 Cas	PLAINTIFF.	PLAINTIFF.	PLAINTIFF.	PLAINTIFF.
sandra.	EXHIBIT631	EXHIBIT636		EXHIBIT646
Holifield,	4:19	5:9	5:20	6:11
Ph.D.	104:6,7,	160:21,22	191:23,24	227:17,
PLAINTIFF.	11 132:2	161:1	192:3	18,22
EXHIBIT626				
4:10	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas		
15:1,5	sandra.	sandra.	sandra.	sandra.
8828136 Cas	Holifield,	Holifield,	Holifield,	Holifield,
sandra.	Ph.D.	Ph.D.	Ph.D.	Ph.D.
Holifield,	PLAINTIFF.			
Ph.D.	EXHIBIT632	EXHIBIT637	EXHIBIT642	EXHIBIT647
PLAINTIFF.	4:20	5:11	5:23	6:14
EXHIBIT627	123:12,	164:19,	202:6,7,	236:15,
4:11	13,17	20,24	11	16,20
23:2,3,7	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas
8828136 Cas	sandra.	sandra.	sandra.	sandra.
sandra.	Holifield,	Holifield,	Holifield,	Holifield,
Holifield,	Ph.D.	Ph.D.	Ph.D.	Ph.D.
Ph.D.	PLAINTIFF.	PLAINTIFF.	PLAINTIFF.	PLAINTIFF.
PLAINTIFF.	EXHIBIT633	EXHIBIT638	EXHIBIT643	EXHIBIT648
EXHIBIT628	4:23	5:14	6:4	6:16
4:13	139:21,22	171:2,3,7	207:3,4,8	238:9,10,
62:6,10	140:1	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas	14
	8828136 Cas	sandra.	sandra.	8828136 Cas
8828136 Cas	sandra.	Holifield,	Holifield,	sandra.
sandra.	Holifield,	Ph.D.	Ph.D.	Holifield,
Holifield,	Ph.D.	PLAINTIFF.	PLAINTIFF.	Ph.D.
Ph.D.	PLAINTIFF.	EXHIBIT639	EXHIBIT644	PLAINTIFF.
PLAINTIFF.	EXHIBIT634	5:16	6:6	EXHIBIT649
EXHIBIT629	5:4	175:21,22	208:24,25	6:18
4:14	147:24,25	176:1	209:4	243:18,22
82:10,11, 15	148:4	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas
	8828136 Cas	sandra.	sandra.	
8828136 Cas	sandra.	Holifield,	Holifield,	Holifield,
sandra.	Holifield,	Ph.D.	Ph.D.	Ph.D.
Holifield,	Ph.D.	PLAINTIFF.		PLAINTIFF.
Ph.D.		EXHIBIT640		
PLAINTIFF.	EXHIBIT635	5:18	6:9	6:20
EXHIBIT630	5:7	182:12,	224:19,	244:14,
4:16	158:12,	13,17	20,24	15,19
93:7,8,12	13,17	•	,	,



December 01, 2022

Index: ..8828136 Cassandra.Ho

	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (	SEORGIA		ecember 01, 202 lex: \$1,50010:
8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas
sandra.	sandra.	sandra.	sandra.	sandra.
Holifield,	Holifield,	Holifield,	Holifield,	Holifield,
Ph.D.	Ph.D.	Ph.D.	Ph.D.	Ph.D.
PLAINTIFF.	PLAINTIFF.	PLAINTIFF.	PLAINTIFF.	PLAINTIFF.
EXHIBIT651	EXHIBIT656	EXHIBIT661	EXHIBIT666	EXHIBIT670
6:23	7:13	8:4	8:15	8:23
247:4,5,9	263:23,24	287:11,	305:25	327:18,
8828136 Cas	264:3	12,16	306:4	19,23
	8828136 Cad	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cag	
		sandra.		
Ph.D.		Holifield,		\$
		Ph.D.		
		PLAINTIFF.		\$1,500
		EXHIBIT662		169:15,22
250:6,7,		8:7	8:17	\$45.00
250:6,7,		290:8,9,		164:11
11		13 291:11		
8828136 Cas			313:9	\$5.25
	8828136 Cas		318:15	272:4
Holifield,	sandra.	sandra.	310.13	\$6
		Holifield,		266:6
PLAINTIFF.		Ph.D.		
EXHIBIT653	PLAINTIFF.	PLAINTIFF.	Holifield,	
7:6	EXHIBIT658	EXHIBIT663	Ph.D.	1
255:8,9,	7:19	8:9	PLAINTIFF.	
13	273:5,6,	293:19,	EXHIBIT668	1
8828136 Cas	10	20,24	8:19	119:21
	8828136 Cas	8828136 Cas	312:17,18	188:5
Holifield,	sandra.		313:2,4	215:20
Ph.D.	Holifield,		316:4	315:22
	Ph.D.	Ph.D.	8828136 Cas	316:8
		PLAINTIFF.		10
7:8		EXHIBIT664		
256:16,	7:21	8:12	Ph.D.	17:7
17,21	276:15,	298:18,	PLAINTIFF.	23:19
	16,20	19,23	EXHIBIT669	72:24
8828136 Cas			8:21	145:16
sandra.		8828136 Cas	312:21,22	164:2
Holifield,			313:6	188:20 296:15
Ph.D.	Holifield,	Holifield,	319:5	
PLAINTIFF.	Ph.D.	Ph.D.		300:21,23
	PLAINTIFF.			100
7:10	EXHIBIT660			57 <b>:</b> 5
261:18,	7:23	8:13		10:32
19,23	281:11,	301:6,7,8		75:23
	12,16	305:23		15:∠3



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (	SEORGIA		ecember 01, 20 Index: 10:4520
10:45	55:11	313:21	37:20	264:4,10,
76:1	1500	317:12	48:22	12
.0th	9:7		49:4	2017-18
186:21		2	2012-ish	328:2,14
	16		196:12	
1	93:18			2018
48:14	247:10	2	2014	148:7
290:14	162	29:13,17	253:1	225:1,16
2	308:1	32:1	2015	256:24
213:2	1.60	62:25	46:1,6,18	261:25
305:1	168	65:4	48:3 99:7	281:18
0 0 0015	111:6	90:16	134:6	287:6,17
2-8-2017	17	98:4,6	0016	291:4
262:4	93:14	110:13	2016	294:1,8
2:08	289:13	119:8	82:16	306:7
139:14	1705	128:4	87:1	327:25
2:09	9:6	155:25	93:14	2019
	9:0	156:23	99:7	47:22
139:17	17th	157:23	134:7	123:22
2:30	243:24	189:24	158:18	124:12
284:5	247:12	245:24	159:1	128:6
2:53	18	254:3	176:3,10	227:24
172:5	148:13	277:20	180:22	243:24
172.5	164:25	300:2	182:18	276:22
2th	215:10,18	20	202:14	277:7
287:17		31:18	207:10	279:6
.3	180/edmark	114:7,10,	236:22	290:14,24
213:17	149:7	14 142:20	237:3	291:24
314:11	18th	269:2,8,	238:16	
	186:22	10	244:20,22	2019-20
33			247:10	302:12
113:21	19	20%	250:12,13	2019-2020
4	171:8	29:18	255:15	292:23
256:24	227:24	2002	313:11,21	2020
281:18	1959	317:23	314:12	2020
327:25	294:22	2002	315:22	291:11
		2003	316:6,7,8	2020-2021
46	1:16	34:3	317:16,	108:2
111:3	296:6	2011	17,20	2020-21
5	1:50	34:3	318:7	112:4
14:9	172:8	37:20	319:7	302:12
156:14,17	1st	2012	2017	
214:9	9:2 15:15	16:15	161:2	2021
232:21		18:24	164:25	105:19
233:1	107:16	19:2,3	171:8	106:20,23
5.0	180:22	23:21	173:17	107:7,19,
.50	193:23	43:41		20 108:9



OINITED STATES	5 VS STATE OF C	JEURGIA	mae	X. 2021-2022032
111:14,18	294:8	157:9	91:2	331:18,23
299:13	24	176:9	244:22	5:52
300:4,20	20:6,12,	274:23	257:23	334:5,8
2021-2022		30th	259:4	334:5,0
108:5	113:6	105:19	277:21	5a
131:19	173:6	108:19	4.0	251:8
136:16		250:12	132:25	254:13
	242	250:12	157:10	5b
2021-22	299:15,25		274:23	251:17
54:19	25%	255:14		
60:12	32:2	261:25	415,000	5th
72:21		299:13	272:6,19	207:10
111:12	26	300:4,20	44	
266:9	118:4	32	296:2	6
302:13	225:1,16	122:7		
303:1	238:16		45	6
2022	306:7	320	133:19	6
9:2	26th	60:13	134:16	92:1
107:17,20	225:9	321	135:1	159:1
111:14		121:20	137:20	193:22
320:14	27	202	48	210:8
321:20	264:4	323	300:4,10	277:7
	303:5	110:25	4:52	626
2022-2023	275	33	<b>4:52</b> 296:8	15:5
157:21	60:17	132:5	296:8	627
2022-23	28	150:22,		23:2,7
55:2	128:6	23,24	5	
60:16	316:7	151:1,5		628
21		35	5	62:5,10
48:2,8	29	142:15	119:21	629
116:9	123:22		272:11	82:10,15
305:18	124:11	39		620
	2nd	307:17	5.25	630
212.5	161:2	3:25	272:22	93:7,12
113:13,15		243:13	5.67	631
22		3:36	272:9,16	104:6,11
104:14,18	3	243:16	50	132:2
105:16			20:7	632
106:23	3	3rd		123:12,17
110:19	189:2,22	319:7	59	
132:3	30		299:23,25	633
140:4,14	106:20	4	300:1,2	139:21
244:6	107:18		5:04	140:1
22nd	108:9		296:11	634
93:14	119:16	4		147:24
246:1	156:14,17	83:17	5:49	148:4
240.1	,			



December 01, 2022

Index: 2021-2022..634

UNITED STATES	5 VS STATE OF C	DECINGIA	IIIue.	x: 635academics
635	250:6,11	668	8th	Absent
158:12,17	653	312:17	87:1	295:3
636	255:8,13	313:2,4		absenteeism
160:21	-	316:4	9	300:25
161:1	654	669		301:3
	256:16,21	312:21	•	
637	655	313:6	9	absolute
164:19,24	261:18,23	319:5	82:16	223:24
638	656	670	148:6 202:14	academic
171:2,7	263:23	327:18,23	211:3	19:14
639	264:3	327.10,23	236:22	22:19
175:21		670,868	230:22	28:3,7
176:1	657	272:12	90	29:5,6
	270:21	69	55:5	34:17
640	271:1	188:7	9:14	38:14
182:12,17	658		9:2	44:15
641	273:5,10	6:16		88:9,12
191:23	659	296:1	9th	149:11,
192:3			237:3	21,25
642	276:15,20	7		150:7
202:6,11	660		A	151:19
202:0,11	281:11,16	7		152:2,6
643	661	, 279:6	a.m.	154:10
207:3,8	287:11,16		9:2 75:23	183:23
644		70	76:1	193:23
208:24	662	188:1,20		197:8
209:4	290:8,13	70%	ABA	212:1 215:19
645	291:11	134:21	28:19,24	215:19
645	663	7.4	154:23	218:12
224:19,24	293:19,24	74	Abernathy	219:20
646	664	308:4	282:15,	242:1
227:17,22	298:18,23	79.5	18,22	331:7
647		113:19	285:1,2,6	
236:15,20	665		Abernathy's	academicall
•	301:7	8	283:2	У
648	305:23		203:2	29:10
238:9,14	666		ability	61:13
649	305:24	8	77:19	124:24
243:22	306:4	182:18	127:20	125:9
		8/17/21	abreast	193:8
244.14 19	212.12.25	209:6	52:8	194:18
244:14,19	312:13,25	9.0		211:6,18
651	313:9 317:12	124.15	absences	217:18
247:4,9	317:12	134:15 269:20	84:7	233:10
652	210:13	209 <b>:</b> 20	87:22	academics



December 01, 2022

Index: 635..academics

ONTEDOTATE	3 VS STATE OF C	JEONOIA		iccepiedadvance
151:16	311:22	acknowledgm	242:25	42:8
156:7,12,	312:6	ent	274:11	43:11,17
22 157:5	326:11,16	239:11	add	81:20
193:14	accessed	acquainted	13:8	195:22
222224		18:22	13:8	201:25
accepted 64:22	131:11	18:22	127:11	257:13
04:22	accesses	acquired	128:25	285:3
access	90:12	293:16	193:10	292:20
17:25	accessing	acronyms	246:23	addressed
18:1	311:24	20:23	240:23	187:4
50:17		170:13	added	203:24
76:10,24	accompanied		30:18	
77:2,6,7,	289:1	acting	128:24	260:16
12,18	accomplish	36:23	179:3	281:8
78:8,19,	133:19	37:9	180:19	293:4
24 80:1,		action	188:10	addresses
16 83:13,	accordance	15:7	268:12	36:19
15,17	211:7	201:24		
84:15,18	account	201.24	adding	addressing
85:4	235:6,7,	214:20	129:1,6	197:8
86:4,6,15	18	214:20	178:24	283:3
87:6,10		actions	180:15,24	adequate
88:23	accountabil	208:14,16	257:19	286:8
89:3,18,	ity	217:22	addition	adhere
24 90:17,	274:10	Actions/	48:14	
23 91:8,	accounting	strategies	118:22	168:8
14,23	109:7	208:8	171:20	adjustments
92:18,19,	257:24	200.0	200:2	27:22,24
21 127:20		actively	300:1	administer
128:13,	accounts	120:11		151:20,24
16,19,25	235:14,	activities	additional	151:20,24
129:3	16,18	187:25	13:6	233:13
130:5,10	accrues	188:13	26:14	233:13
191:6,8,	186:13	253:17	55:6	administeri
10,12,17,		308:10,24	71:2,4	ng
19 213:25	accurate		118:23	297:22
214:3	63:17	activity	119:9	administrat
216:20	79:14	190:14	180:25	ive
230:1	119:22	actual	188:20	87:6
236:6	239:1	28:8 34:5	208:1	229:23
237:20	accurately	51:8	235:20	229.23
282:11,12	308:22	95:19	248:14	administrat
285:15		96:23	260:18	or
289:10	achieve	101:19	address	177:12,25
290:4	31:18	150:1	38:7,23	advance
308:21	achievement	164:12	39:4	322:7,25
309:10	151:19	235:14	40:21	323:3,9
305.10		200.14		545.5,5



December 01, 2022

Index: accepted..advance

201 -	0 = = = =			22
324:6	277:8,25	aggressive	allocations	325:21
dvise	278:17	221:23,25	110:6	Ann
229:21	289:9	agree	111:24	25:20
	313:13,25	12:8	270:14	51:22
dvisory	age	147:9	271:6,14,	52:11
52:16,23,	87:21	168:2,12	21	271:4
25 53:7	152:20	181:8	allotted	321:6
54:12,15			267:14	332:17
dvocacy	agencies	agreeable		333:2
11:4	72:9	13:25	allowed	333.2
	73:8,13,	agreed	264:21	announcemer
ffect	17,18	13:21	265:8	t
305:20	74:4,17	162:24	alongside	144:17
ffirmative	170:9	102.24	22:9	annual
49:5	223:16	agreeing	22.9	29:18
65:14	274:13	178:18	alternative	93:19
66:3,13	310:5	agreement	88:17	93:19
73:23	311:1,5,	45:17	274:1	annually
101:24	7,8,9,14	43.17	275:1	32:8,9
101:24		aids	283:17,20	75:17
	agency	176:17	289:17,22	169:15
115:24	21:7,11	aim		
123:18,24	103:10	251:11	amazing	answering
127:22	162:12	252:15	145:17	12:13,24
128:7	164:9	252:15	278:11	answers
132:23	310:23	253:13	Amber	12:7
133:21	agenda	aiming	270:3,5	3
139:6	244:23	31:16		Anthony
142:1,10,	245:19,	Alexa	America	294:12
25 143:2,	21,25		9:5	anticipated
5 148:8,	246:5	326:4	amount	322:17
11 149:20	247:24	align	109:8,9,	
154:5	247,24	150:14	21 110:5	anticipatir
158:21	agent	212:15	157:2,3	g
172:25	49:14,16,	263:19	268:6,12,	111:12,20
179:1	19 90:6	. 1	15 277:15	anymore
189:4	105:17	aligned		174:24
204:13	162:25	31:6	analysis	275:20
206:24	169:5	150:12	28:20	_
207:21,25	268:22	151:12	135:11	Apex
237:7	agonta	Allen	analyze	308:25
238:18	agents	10:9	331:6	309:2,10
239:21	83:2			13,14,17,
262:5	271:17	allocation	and/or	18 310:3,
264:5	315:17	109:11,14	34:17	7 311:22
271:7	318:23	110:1	109:11	24 312:2
276:24	aggression	272:3,7,	Andrea	apologize
- · · · ·	189:8	16,20,23	AHAL CA	aporograe



				<u> </u>
332:9	application	Approximate	25:14	24 67:2,
appeared	S	137:11	47:13	7,10
289:7	144:21	approximate	50:4	assertion
	145:6	ly	53:20	315:10
ppears	applied	55:3,6	126:9	318:17
71:24	28:19	56:21	132:17	320:3
86:23		60:10,13,	139:7	
88:12	applies	14 137:11	152:17	assess
134:15	107:19,21	266:9	154:14	32:15
137:13	145:23	272:3	198:18	35:7,14
149:19	187:10,19		210:5	42:6
158:25	apply	April	214:22	152:21
203:13	97:23	82:16	246:19	241:8
300:13	108:5	87:1	262:13	assessed
pplicant	216:24	225:1,16	263:5	201:23
146:24		287:17	325:7	295:12
162:11,	applying	316:6,8	3-1-0	273.12
14,18	145:1	317:16	Arlene	assessing
14,10	appreciativ	APS	142:19	32:11
pplicant's	e	42:20	array	38:11
145:5	138:9	46:8	203:21,23	assessment
pplicants	130.9		205:1	34:19
145:1,12	approval	76:21	arrive	36:11
146:19	105:20,24	77:15		37:1
147:17	109:6,9	128:15	175:10	38:4,10
147:17	approve	130:6	arrived	88:17
application	103:14	294:16,	324:14	
104:15,19	106:6	18,23	325:10	162:20,23
105:1,3,	100:0	APS'		198:22
5,7,16,	approved	76:24	arriving	200:16
18,21	50:21,25		324:9	211:10
106:16,24	109:5	area	artifact	233:9
107:10,	110:5	37:25	200:23	239:18,23
23,25	159:16,	38:15		240:4,10
108:7,10,	17,21	39:5	artifacts	25 241:1
25 109:23	160:11	40:16	253:18	21 297:1
110:1,11,	162:12	135:5	ASD	329:24
14,16	274:3	193:4	242:21	assessments
112:11		198:8	l	88:15
113:12	approves	201:22	asks	233:4,6
114:23	51:11	213:18	100:5	235:15,20
115:15	109:18	217:4,23	205:6	237:6,15
132:3	270:13	263:15	217:9	240:16
139:2	274:11	311:17	aspect	241:4
145:4	approving	329:10	70:9	328:23
	109:8	331:9		340:43
203:22	_02.0	2000	Aspire	assigned
205:2,6		areas	66:20,23,	37:24



ASSANDRA HO NITED STATES	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (	GEORGIA		December 01, 20 Index: assistba
124:4	24 48:10,	105:17	313:12	236:7
166:17	11,17	264:8	314:9	A
167:3	49:11	271:8,13	315:25	Auxiliary 98:17
192:6	53:20	328:4	316:8	98:17
247:19	66:7	329:1	317:4,7	average
301:21	71:15	attainable	attorneys	267:16
ssist	76:15	213:5	316:12	305:14
34:20	83:7	213.5	321:16,17	avoid
41:2	85:18	attempting	324:9	12:11
72:11	116:23	143:17	324:9	
183:10	228:4	203:14	attribute	award
285:13,16	293:14,16	attempts	60:23	109:21
205:15,10	304:17	228:13	Aubrey	aware
ssistance	Atlanta's		249:21	16:6 74:
34:17	228:13	attend		175:14
41:4 42:5	220:13	53:22,25	audit	195:24
128:24	attach	212:12	316:7	196:8,11
227:24	264:25	224:7,10	317:19,	212:14
229:24	265:19	273:19	22,25	265:3
ssists	attached	274:15	audits	275:12
270:14	161:11	attendance	318:6	293:3
270.14	162:10	87:24		297:10
ssume	166:13	88:7	August	298:12
81:9	169:1	304:13,20	161:2	333:13
226:9	328:14	305:19	225:8	333,12
231:15	520.14		236:22	
312:6	attaching	attended	237:3	В
315:3,4	265:21	54:2	299:13	
ssumed	315:23	74:21	300:3,20	BACB
297:8	attachment	97:17	autism	25:1
	93:17	attending	24:2	163:11
sterisk	94:8,15	159:2	28:18	
116:12	148:12,	211:19	42:15	bachelor
-risk	20,24		60:8	143:21
217:25	161:5	attention	258:9	bachelor's
242:12	165:2,18,	29:12	299:21	24:4,9
7	20 182:21	181:19		268:3
tlanta	207:12	277:5	automatic	baak
9:7 30:23	262:2	attorney	188:24	back
31:3,4	271:19	12:23	189:3,5	33:20
37:25	306:9,16,	315:7	190:17	35:15,16
42:16	17 314:5	316:23	automatical	40:3,15
43:7,14	316:1	317:10	ly	44:8
45:22	329:3,17,	322:2	118:11	60:24
46:19,21,	18	a b b a	147:4	64:5,25
24 47:3,		attorney-	189:24	101:5,14
4,10,20,	attachments	client	235:5	102:3,17



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (		Do Index: backgroun	ecember 01, 20 dBates-stamp
120:22	backwards	51:3	84:5	138:2
128:3,23,	102:4	68:17	94:19	285:17
24,25	bake	69:17,19,	96:22	304:13
129:19		24 102:13	98:21	310:16,17
132:1	239:19	103:12	101:13	Dahas
134:6	240:9	121:25	102:11	Bates
147:9	balance	129:1	109:19	207:15
172:12,	281:3	135:11	116:16	319:10
13,16	hallmamle	138:20	121:7	Bates-stamp
178:24	ballpark	146:13	126:7,10,	93:23
179:3,6,	54:21	152:16	23 127:15	148:15
14,22	bandwidth	154:17	132:14	165:4
180:6,9	182:3	157:5	138:3	176:4
181:22	Dames	175:17	150:23	225:4
182:4	Barrow	178:17	151:19	262:6
183:21,24	142:19,23	219:17,19	152:6	264:13
184:6	BASC	220:23	155:18	290:15
186:18	234:24	241:2,25	162:11	306:11
188:10	235:18	247:20	163:7	319:3
192:25	237:20	251:3,23	173:1,12	
	D144 2		177:19	Bates-
193:2,6	BASC-3	259:6,10		stamped
203:9	152:11	266:3	179:12,21	82:19
218:11	154:13	267:11,	180:3	123:25
220:10	220:19	12,25	187:5	158:20
235:1	233:4	268:10,23	189:15	161:7
245:13	234:22	296:19,22	193:11,17	171:10
247:23	235:10,	297:3,4,5	195:20	182:24
251:13,14	12,14	304:23	199:8	192:6
257:13	237:11,14	308:1,4	200:23	202:16
265:25	240:1	310:9	204:19	228:1
280:16	242:10	324:18	205:3	236:23
296:5	base	325:7	206:6	238:19
303:16	44:16	baseline	215:7,13	243:25
307:11,	98:3	158:8	222:21	244:24
18,21	240:10		230:24	247:13
308:15	268:20	basic	242:22	250:15
317:10		152:12	246:19	255:17
ckground	based	basically	248:7	257:1
87:21	17:24	11:18	252:10	271:9,24
129:22	21:19	29:24	253:6	273:15
145:7	30:1	35:12	257:11	276:25
146:22	32:12	36:13	280:3	281:20
147:2,8	35:17	42:4	310:10	287:20
196:14	36:14,15	44:21	basis	294:3
	37:10	72:5	59:3	312:25
ckside	39:7	76:18,19	102:8	313:2,5,7
286:5	50:21,22		104.0	0 + 0 • 2   0   1



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (			ecember 01, 20 c: bathroombla
328:9	beginning	190:10	197:9	benefit
bathroom	107:17	193:21	201:7	72:20
289:13	114:1	200:8	210:17	Bennett
209:13	158:24	210:9	216:13	129:20
bathrooms	165:24	222:4,13	217:19	129:20
290:4	167:13	254:4	218:12	Benson
BCABA	233:16	305:7	219:20	249:9
170:3	304:25	hahandan	220:22	273:11
170.5	323:21	behavior-	222:3	Beth
BCABAS	325:4	reduction	223:3	9:14
170:8,10		170:2	224:7	
BCBA	begins	behavior-	230:12	13:20
170:3,22	113:7	related	242:1,14	315:7
170:3,22	169:24	42:8	275:7	big
BCBA-D	278:8		280:13	73:10
170:3	313:21	behavioral	297:21	DID
BCBA-DS	behalf	20:10	327:1	BIP
170:9	9:14	21:23		155:13
170:9		22:2,8	behaviorall	223:4
BCBAS	behavior	24:2,25	У	BIPS
56:9	19:14	25:2	61:12	36:8,10
59:19	28:20	28:3,7	124:24	40:15
70:17,19	36:5,6,	29:4	125:9	69:7
71:2,4,6	11,21,22	30:2,9,17	152:22	201:9
117:17	37:1,2,5,	31:2,9	193:8	
170:8,9,	12,13	34:17	194:18	birth
22	43:11,17	36:14	217:18	301:25
	44:15	37:3,7	233:7	bit
beach	55:18	38:14,18	278:14	76:4,5
316:16	56:8	40:16	1 1 1	104:25
bear	59:18	41:2,19	behaviors	122:10
118:20	65:17	42:12	36:16,18,	147:19
	69:3,14,	61:15	20 38:6,8	155:22
bears	22 87:22	63:14	60:3	179:12
273:12	88:2	68:24	120:5,6	187:12
began	102:6	69:8,19	155:3,20	221:14
23:20	115:20	74:14	187:7	301:11
134:2	117:15	117:3	189:7,10,	
203:2	120:7	135:10	17,19,20,	blacked
	136:5	136:2	23 190:17	314:2,15,
begin	137:2	152:3,7,	222:1	19
134:4	138:4	13 154:11	236:2	316:13,15
185:22	152:14	163:11	242:12	319:21
186:16	166:1,17,		298:14	Blake
218:1	20,21,22	180:4	Bell	271:4
225:13	167:3,6,	183:23	270:16	2/1.4
274:2	7,10,19	193:23	270.10	blank
	,, ±0, ±3	± / J • ±		141:25



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (			December 01, 202 ndex: blendedb
142:3,17,	314:17	233:22	136:1	114:17,19
21 143:9	1 3	296:4	138:4	136:10,18
207:11	body	1 1	1	177:11
306:14	314:1,14	breakout	bucks	178:8
308:14	bold	216:16	190:6	189:9
olended	169:25	breakouts	budge	200:7
150:21	booster	216:17	270:6	217:6
150:21	67:8	breaks	budget	221:24
olock	07.0	112:15	52:6	251:9,18
100:3	bottom	300:8	80:22	283:16,22
locked	74:22	300.0	81:7,12,	284:11,
99:22	89:7,10	brevity	19 106:1	15,19,24
	135:2	20:24	107:19,21	285:22,24
olue	137:12,	Bridge	107:15,21	286:1,3,
194:22	17,19	58:9,16,	109:8,9,	17
ooard	158:25	24 302:9	19 110:9	289:21,
25:2	168:15,22	321:2	147:14	22,24,25
52:16,23,	193:20		180:24,25	290:1
25 53:2,	194:23	briefly	234:13,15	291:8
7,8,11	225:14	122:11	266:5,8,	305:18
54:12,15	229:19	331:2	14,20	322:22
74:19	237:2	brightest	269:13,20	323:13
163:12	254:21	269:21	270:13	324:20
168:5	277:3		273:1	huildinga
172:19,22	279:8	bring	274:6	buildings
173:17	284:10	33:17	279:4	77:24
174:4,7,8	294:6	177:23	2/9:4	191:9
175:15	314:4	286:21	budgeting	286:19,22
176:11,25	bound	broader	49:25	294:21
178:24	168:8	259:1	budgets	built
180:13	100.0	D	105:19,24	67:22
199:5,12	box	Broadly	106:15	191:3
200:8	119:21	37:6	108:17	284:17
239:17	137:18	brochure	274:11	bullet
247:17	194:22,23	62:14,17,	278:15	66:10
248:3,6,	217:9	25 72:25		68:23
19,22	BOY	broke	Buford	132:7
249:1,5,	209:5	206:3	45:16,23	132:7
8,19,20,		250:4	46:9	bunches
24 252:13	break	250:4	Buice	157:7
259:10	12:22	broken	320:16	Burwell
262:14	13:1	151:7	323:21,23	225:25
263:6,20	75:21	211:12		
266:3	112:7	brought	build	buy
280:6	172:3	195:23	180:23	50:17
	212:25	173.43	building	190:11
oodies	232:22	BST	45:1 60:9	



buying	304:17	catchment	centralize	chair
269:24	<b></b>	135:5	31:9	43:3
ouzzer	<b>cap</b> 268:6	categorical	certificati	248:9
283:9	200:0	ly	on	chairman
203:9	capacity	<b>-y</b> 70:5,7	25:1,2,13	43:10
	34:8		139:4,7	
С	217:6	categorical	140:19	chairs
	251:9,18	s	141:24,25	248:8
calculation	capital	292:13	142:2,12,	challenge
3	294:19	categories	20,24	60:9
147:15		28:23	143:9,12,	89:23
	caps	70:2	14 144:3	
calendar	268:15	112:7	163:2	challenged
107:12	269:2	259:5	166:2,19	278:14
233:12	captioned		167:15	challenges
call	94:14,15	category	169:4,16	38:14
40:13,25	card	63:14	·	77:19,22
42:2 59:2	190:12	65:16	certificati	79:8 80:6
109:1	190:12	68:19	ons	83:21
129:12	cards	116:25	24:7	167:20
131:5	219:1	258:14	115:10	280:13
145:6	care	CBT	142:5	ahallanaina
222:7	67:22	69:22	145:4	challenging 36:15,19
called	119:25	Centennial	certified	36:15,19
20:2 39:7	120:2,17,	291:9	33:1	38:6 60:7
43:23	25 121:8,	320:19	65:10	211:6
43:23 81:15	14,19,21	320:19	70:14	214:5
85:14	155:13	center	115:8	275:8
130:11	210:24	98:3,14	118:17	2/5:0
		187:11,19	142:22	change
144:24	carries	308:2	143:10,	47:16,21,
252:6 253:10	108:20	320:17	16,20	24 48:25
302:17	carrying	323:21,24	144:4,8,	55:19
332:17	216:12	center-	10 162:25	56:8
332:9		based	163:6	59:3,8
calling	case	98:10,23	164:9	89:1
146:24	15:20	102:1	167:18	117:15
245:7	99:7	116:20	170:23	126:5
calls	219:18,19		212:11	127:23
311:3	224:9	centers	216:5	174:15
	Cassandra	21:13		223:6
Campus	9:4,19	114:15	CFO	275:14,
76:14,22,	10:9 15:8	118:7	49:24	18,21
25 83:14		Central	51:1,24	changed
85:20	Catalyst	83:7	109:6	46:1 47:1
87:8	28:17,24		142:13	61:5,6,7



CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA		December 01, 2022 Index: characteristicsCleveland		
247:17	Check-out	167:19	299:21	118:8
characteris	155:14	choice	323:3	119:14
tics	checkbox	284:7	classes	150:5,17
63:13	101:8		58:23	199:10
		circumstanc	60:7 91:5	216:6
charge	checking	е	102:3	284:16
260:22	172:14	179:10	193:14,18	286:16
chart	244:4	circumstanc	194:5,12,	320:13
110:15,21	Checklist	es	13,14,17,	324:18
112:6	132:9,13	79:18	19 195:6	clear
114:22	•		211:16	10:24
115:14	Chevrier	Cities	215:25	30:15
116:25	9:12	320:21	216:4	90:3
149:5	chief	City		106:7
188:24	49:24	45:16,23	classified	111:9
215:7,11		46:9	212:11	130:16
228:25	child		classroom	145:10
249:18	36:22	civil	44:18	147:9
279:10,13	37:9	15:7	45:9	160:8
299:4,6	55:22	Claire	59:15,21	177:2
300:3,7,	64:3	9:12	70:10	179:18
13	78:18	-1	99:19	228:17
	97:13	clarificati	101:6	280:17
charter	101:3,25	on	102:17	298:3
225:2,11,	120:10,12	174:2	111:7	
17 227:6,	135:19	231:2,4	114:7,10	311:20
12 228:5,	136:12	263:9	125:6,16,	Clemons
14 229:1,	155:8	clarify	18,21,23	256:22
4,11	157:19	12:19	177:24	Cleveland
230:22	179:5	19:25	184:20	106:4,8,
231:7,12,	180:4,6,8	46:2 47:3	185:25	17 123:20
15,18,19	184:19	81:5	187:6,11	124:11
232:13,19	186:3	109:3,12	195:4	148:5,6,
charts	189:11	120:13	216:10,	20 199:4,
139:3	192:25	176:24	17,19	6,21
299:10	193:4	272:25		
	231:17	-1	275:8 292:14	225:1,8, 16 226:1,
Chattanooga	232:2,5,	clarity	294:2,24	7,11,14,
24:10,11	10 280:24	175:16	295:10,	18,25
cheat-sheet	281:2	263:17	· ·	227:4,10,
98:8 99:1	301:3	class	16,21	
	child's	60:8 82:2	classrooms	23 228:7
check	37:11	101:4,5,	44:22,25	243:24
145:7	157:18	16 193:6,	58:14	256:23
147:8		9,11,23	59:23	261:24
Check-in	children	194:2,9	60:2	271:3
155:13	22:7,9	294:16	112:11,16	276:21



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (			ecember 01, 202 linicalcommun
279:5	184:20	170:7	column	255:1,3,
280:1	coach	286:23	96:12	16 256:8
281:17,23	181:1,12	collaborate	102:7	264:7
282:5,14	183:9	d	299:20	274:20
287:17,25	183:9	-	303:4	committees
288:4,14,	coaches	213:13	combative	
19	166:21	collaborati		43:11
290:14,20	167:7	ng	80:13	246:21
291:15	coaching	311:2	combination	247:18
293:2,25	33:19	aollabamati	176:12	250:21
294:9		collaborati	260:3	251:5
295:15,20	34:15	on	280:21	256:1,5,
306:6,24	35:7,16	74:23		9,12
308:15	125:3	195:21	combine	261:6,13
317:24	126:24	310:19	60:2	265:23
327:24	138:8	collaborati	206:17	common
328:13	181:21	ve	combined	28:14
330:11,18	Coastal	45:17	60:9	91:3,10
	249:13	53:4,13,		135:18
linical		18 54:11	comment	190:25
70:9,15	code	246:9	175:13,14	220:9
118:18	98:1,5,9,	262:3	240:13	221:6
linically	10,17	309:11,24	commenting	240:17
152:18	252:14		203:8	241:7,9
154:14	258:9,11,	collaborati	238:22	242:9
	20 259:4,	vely		
236:2	7,8 260:5	213:5	comments	260:22
242:11	330:3	260:24	176:13	261:4,9
275:9	coded	collect	261:15	262:25
lose	257:22	28:3,10,	285:1	265:4,10
315:20	258:5,8	15 36:7,	commit	commonly
316:2	259:10,20	9,15	169:2	16:7
318:25	260:17	63:19		136:6
320:8	277:21	95:15	commitment	communicati
logod		237:5	169:19	
losed	codes	257.5	239:13	on
283:21	259:5	collected	committee	165:8,21
284:23	cognitive	18:19	43:15,21	320:6
291:8	60:3	28:2,6	53:18	communicati
losely	69:22	140:9	247:20,	ons
298:9	07.22	collecting	21,23	224:11
1	COHEN	242:2	250:2	
loser	9:12	444.4	251:11,17	community
301:11	collaborate	collection	252:6,16,	53:4,13
loudy	50:1	28:19,24	232:6,16,	54:11
231:10	50:1	76:6	253:11,13	74:11,19
		135:10		170:9
o-taught	54:13		254:7	



	O VS STATE OF C		IIIUEA. COI	inparrycomacting
company	29:18	289:16,20	confused	221:19
29:17	comply	295:4	220:13	228:15
55:18,20	196:24	concluded	confusing	considers
71:5		334:7	107:8	83:16
comparable	complying		231:22	295:5
91:4,10	197:2	concludes		
	215:8	331:16	connect	consisted
comparing	component	334:3	73:16	248:10
289:17	155:16	conditions	connected	consistency
compensate	209:15	22:8	85:22	166:16
59:16	components	conduct	88:5	167:2
compensated	197:18	32:23	132:6	consistent
58:10	246:13	33:18	284:16	46:18
30.10	257:14	123:4	286:3	61:3
competency		197:21	connection	
162:20,23	comprehensi	318:9	10:19	consolidate
complaining	ve	333:5,7	15:24	đ
292:21	55:18		198:21	105:18,21
	56:8 63:9	conducted	241:20	106:16
complaints	117:15	32:19	243:8	constantly
281:25	263:14	146:16		36:4 75:4
282:8,24	computer	199:3	connects	
288:23	151:14	294:23	81:2	constituted
complete	190:13	conducts	consecutive	52:22
105:5	214:7	32:22	188:2	consult
122:25	computers	126:17	consent	279:13,
162:12,15	51:2	conferences	72:7	21,23
166:3	51:2	212:13	103:2	consultant
167:15	concern			18:25
204:23	174:18	confidentia	considerati	294:22
222:25	201:21	1	on	
completed	278:2	81:21,23,	103:16	consultatio
166:18	concerned	24 103:9	136:8	n
	128:9,12,	confirm	223:22	279:14
completely	16 130:4	329:17	262:1,11,	consultativ
11:20	291:19		17,24	е
13:4,14		confirming	263:18	185:13
completes	concerns	273:19	264:10,19	186:1,2
105:4	17:25	conflict	265:1,3,	277:18,
compliance	40:22 195:22	262:14	9,10	20,23
198:1	260:13	263:5	269:15	contact
242:23	281:6	conflicting	considered	26:22
243:11	283:2,7	263:16	55:13	38:13
318:4	285:2		59:21	223:13
	288:8	confronted	77:13	
complicated		227:13	179:14	contacting



December 01, 2022

Index: company..contacting

UNITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA			index. containedcorrect		
128:23	117:16	convoluted	15:9	203:3	
contained	170:7	267:9	23:15,20	209:20,	
	contracted	Cook	34:1	23,24	
19	55:12,15,	294:12	62:23	210:13	
10	16,25		63:1,8	211:3	
content	56:10	coordinator	77:16,17	214:18	
25:14	117:9,19,	32:24	82:22	215:5,18	
contentious	20 118:1	183:9	83:5	225:11,13	
224:9	121:1,9	200:7	86:5,18,	226:18	
		282:19	25 87:16	228:11	
contents	contracting	305:17	88:25	229:12	
29:14	71:1,5	321:7,8	91:17,22,	233:5	
245:19	117:24	326:8	25 92:22	237:18	
315:11	contractor	coordinator	96:9	242:16	
318:17	77:13	s	97:20	245:3,18	
context	78:23	26:5,7,	98:16	251:6	
36:12	80:14	11,16,20,	99:15	254:3	
continuatio	83:16	23 32:25	107:5,7	255:20	
n		33:17	108:6	257:4	
279:9,12	control	34:16	110:15	265:1	
	211:21	122:25	111:8	271:12	
continue	218:17	124:12	112:10	272:2,10,	
128:13	conversatio	130:21	113:6,10,	17 273:3,	
278:9,15	n	136:22,25	17 114:2,		
297:17	11:18	137:1,3	3 119:16		
continued	12:4	164:1	122:8	283:25	
292:18	127:19	224:5	123:2	284:8	
	129:20	copies	124:10,17		
continues	166:6	271:3	127:17		
247:25	223:18	294:9	131:2	287:2,22	
continuous	330:19		138:25	288:13	
204:15,20	conversatio	copy	140:16 148:19	289:12,15 291:4,12	
continuum	ns	78:5,7,11	149:19	291:4,12	
19:12	79:8,17,	79:11,13,	158:25	296:15	
101:2	22 80:5	19 80:9	159:18	299:10,19	
184:9	165:10	86:16	160:17	302:5	
185:4,16,	217:16	105:13	161:10	303:11	
21 186:1	251:4,13	161:11	162:10	307:6	
226:16	310:12,	273:11	165:22	313:10,20	
230:25	14,18,20	306:6	171:24	314:2,3,	
276:22	311:15	328:5,7	173:16	12,17,20	
326:21	322:6,10,	core	176:8	316:13	
controct	15,20	203:21,23	180:16	317:1,14	
contract	323:8	205:1	187:23	319:22	
55:21	325:6	correct	201:19	321:2	
56:4		11:12	202:19,24	329:7,20,	
			•		



December 01, 2022

Index: contained..correct

NITED STATE:	SSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. ITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA		Index: correctlycurricul	
23 330:7	78:4,25	30:14	84:8,10,	293:6
332:19	80:3	62:4 82:8	13,18	297:24
orrectly	83:12,13,	93:5	160:1	Crothers-
169:18	22 85:10,	104:4	257:11	flamming
169:18	23 86:15	123:10	312:7	_
orresponde	89:25	139:19	317:3	142:7
.ce	90:1	147:22		CSBS
282:15	91:9,24	158:10	creating	311:11,15
283:1	97:3,5,7	160:19	44:21	curious
287:7	130:19	164:18	247:1	141:22
290:20	159:2	175:19	creation	141:22
291:3,14	191:12,	182:10	92:7	143:3
	14,15	191:21	dd-1	current
ost	282:20	207:1	credential	23:12
269:3	285:24	224:17	163:11	55:2
osts	286:4	238:7	168:17,25	92:12
29:19	287:19	244:12	credentiale	117:21
31:19,22	288:8,17	312:10	d	157:21
234:11	292:19	312.10	167:21	210:20
235:9	302:8	cover	168:3	257:24
	310:11	235:12		266:4
ounsel	311:15	covering	credentiali	276:1
9:8 14:6,	321:15	215:24	ng	279:16
8,11,14	323:24,25		167:18	280:7
172:11	325:24,25	311:17	168:7	
323:23,24	323:23	311.17	credentials	curricula
324:3	couple	covers	25:10	66:17
325:18,23	10:17	84:25	115:4	254:10
326:4	29:13	235:9	166:16	curriculum
Counseling	46:19	COVID	167:3	30:11,19,
153:17	74:21	121:16,17		24 31:10
	108:12,13	199:2	crisis	39:23,24
count	113:24	210:16	157:19	65:10,19,
49:9 56:6	132:4	211:2	criteria	24 66:22
71:14	266:11	218:14	174:2,6	67:20
195:5,6,	283:6	221:1,2,	175:2	68:2,6
8,12	291:7		178:22	88:15
304:19	321:18,22	4,6	179:3,9,	116:3
305:1		310:13	17,19,25	117:2,4
ountry	coursework	COVID's	180:5	117:2,4
214:1	162:20	310:13	193:22	120:24
	164:13,14	create	251:9	120:24
ounty	170:20	create	252:3,4	
31:4	court	12:3	252:3,4	21 126:25
45:17,22,	11:23	166:15	254:15,18	150:2,8,
23 46:21,	12:2	246:12		15 154:22
25 47:4,	22:25	created	critical	159:4,5,
5,10 57:4		62:15	178:3	11,15



OMITED STATES	VS STATE OF C	JEURGIA	index: cur	riculumsdecision
160:12,13	63:20	data/	247:10	74:15
164:8	76:5,9,	records	250:12	de-
200:10	13,17,20	89:19	255:14	escalation
201:12	83:17	Data 0 20	256:23	
237:3	84:1,3,6	Data_9-20- 18	261:25	154:25
308:10	88:7,8,9,		264:4	de-identify
curriculums	12,13	306:10	281:17	243:3
	89:4,25	database	287:17	deal
159:16	90:10,11,	76:9,25	290:14	174:4,15
	13 91:4,	83:14	306:6	
D	8,10,12,	86:3	319:7	Deanie
	13,15,19,	92:19	327:24	123:20
daily	21,24	237:21	3-4	124:3
-	92:4,10,	304:16	dates	128:5
60:18	23 93:14,	databases	97:21	129:20
99:15 304:13	21 94:3,	76:18	day	Debbie
304:13	15,18		59:7	203:18
Daniel	95:10,15,	80:17	64:15,19,	204:5
325:24	24 96:3	date	22 97:13,	273:25
Danielle	107:22	9:1 15:16	15 99:18,	273:25
324:3	108:1	97:10,12,	21,24	Deborah
324:3	118:23	17 141:6,	102:15	52:1,2
dark	125:5	7,9,17	110:4	202:14
132:7	131:12	147:15	136:23	204:6,9
dashboard	135:10,	167:12	151:14	319:7
80:18,20,	20,23	299:15	157:12	December
21,23,25	178:18	300:20	159:14	9:2 15:15
81:1,9	190:23,25	301:24	186:21	176:3
82:17,24	199:14,19	303:2,4,	195:6,8,	180:22
83:9,24	213:7	12 323:17	12 200:7	182:18
84:8,11,	217:19,24	dated	288:1	290:14,24
13 87:2,	218:8,9,	82:16	304:21,25	
10 89:8,	12 222:10	93:13	305:2,15	decide
12,18	236:1	123:22	323:22	169:4
90:16	237:19	161:2	324:4	177:20
91:3 92:2	238:5	164:25	3 3	decided
	242:2,6	171:8	day-to-day	179:5
data	252:12	180:22	59:3,9,12	
27:21	254:19		days	decision
28:2,4,6,	306:8,14	182:18	186:16	64:20
10,13,15,	307:11,25	202:14 207:10	188:2	133:12
19,22,24	328:3,14,	207:10	195:5	147:6,11,
29:5,6,7	19 329:4,		288:10	12 177:11
32:7	22 330:7,	225:1	300:21,23	192:24
36:7,9,15	10 331:4,	227:23	302:20,22	289:24
39:8	5	238:15	ממשמ	297:5
40:14,18	-	243:24	DBHDD	



December 01, 2022

Index: curriculums..decision

UNITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA			index. dec	isionsdeveloping
decisions	Delivery	330:22	9:3 10:6,	147:14
177:10	184:9	depend	16,18,24	222:11
332:14	185:4,16	90:18	11:6,14	228:13
deck	demonstrate		14:4,11,	229:16
177:18,22	212:1	depending	14,17,20,	233:6,10
178:12		102:6	23 15:7,	267:4
	Denise	118:16	23 331:17	297:25
decrease	273:24,25	156:18	334:4,7	determined
60:21	deny	200:5	depositions	86:10
186:12,20	103:14	329:12	11:8	194:6
dedicated	A t t-	depends	13:22	195:9
116:21	department	28:8	14:20	
4-41	17:2,5	35:11		determines
dedication	19:7 21:3	37:5	depth	101:3
239:13	33:12	38:12	146:21	determining
deeper	39:13,21 40:6	59:7 60:3	Derrick	125:4
40:21	40:6	68:17	202:12	178:16
120:4		69:8	doggwinkion	229:25
121:4	49:25	75:13	description 29:15	dowolon
146:7	74:7,10, 13 81:3,	78:15	29:15 177:9	develop 34:19
deficiency	10 82:5	88:4	177:9	35:1,14
201:21	85:2 93:1	99:22	designed	37:1,10
	95:5,11,	108:11	38:17	38:7
definition	18,20	127:5	127:8,13	39:22
173:1	99:8	150:20	designees	42:7
188:5	102:21	156:6,8,	199:22	44:4,6
degree	102:21	13		183:13
24:6,9,	104:12	157:12,18	Desiree	201:24
13,15	105:9	184:19	202:12	203:18
25:15	106:8,12	190:5	249:10	204:20
115:7	162:3	276:1	273:12	205:18
268:1,23	164:4	305:4,16	desires	
degrace	198:21	321:9	37:11	developed
degrees 23:23,25	224:12	326:24	destruction	170:2
24:24	233:25	deploy	189:8	206:9
	236:5,6	67:3		246:22
deliver	241:13		determinati	264:20
150:10	242:18	deployed	on	265:4
151:6	295:12	65:25	103:17	312:3
153:22	314:24	66:4	231:7	developer
delivered	315:1,13	deploying	determine	133:13
122:2	316:20	30:9,16	38:5	developing
	318:19	deposed	40:20	176:11
delivering	320:4	10:10,13	111:24	196:17,20
35:6 68:1	324:2		124:20	254:14,18
119:3	326:1	deposition	125:13	260:22
				200.22



December 01, 2022

Index: decisions..developing

I.D. December 01, 2022
DF GEORGIA Index: development..discussion

OMITED STATE	S VS STATE OF G	BEURGIA	index. develo	pmentaiscussion
261:14	dig	51:23	233:19	87:23,25
development	39:8	80:15	238:15	88:7
34:20,22	40:21	106:1	240:12	discovery
35:1,5,6,	dias	114:2	245:21,23	315:20
8,12 36:1	146:6	173:8,11	246:20	316:2
41:13		183:12	250:13,20	319:1
90:22,24	direct	199:21	255:22	320:8
122:6	26:4,8,9,	204:10	256:3	320:0
125:1	22 29:12	225:21,23	258:17	discuss
204:17	33:18	228:19	310:21	52:9
248:16	150:25	239:7	313:22	85:14
240:10	151:15	256:10	314:8	87:1
Development	161:20	288:7	315:17,	190:1
al	163:8	296:14	23,24	221:3
74:14	170:1	297:8	318:23	273:20
diagnostic	184:22,25	315:13	320:5	324:10
133:4	185:18,21	316:19	330:13	328:15
233:13,16	277:5	318:20	331:4	discussed
	279:24	321:6,19	directors'	17:13
differed	280:19,22	322:12	127:20	63:4
265:9	directed	324:1	199:22	205:24
difference	15:8	332:17,	199:22	244:10
231:11	165:21	23,25	disabilitie	245:18
251:16,25		333:4	s	253:17
328:21	direction	directors	22:3,10,	262:18
	128:15	14:20	18 24:3	278:2
differences	130:7	16:24	67:17	
178:6,11	directly	17:1	74:14	discusses
215:12	25:18	34:16	212:20	122:7,24
differentia	26:21	52:17,18,	259:8	132:25
ted	30:7 33:2	19 53:5,	disability	133:15
127:15	79:1	20 54:3,6	19:13	discussing
4:55	95:25	87:1,5	63:13	83:21
differently	191:9	88:23	258:10	89:24
190:6	225:17	127:24,25		209:21
difficult	235:1	138:23	disaggregat	245:22
130:13		159:16,	е	273:22
212:23	director	21,24	87:11	
213:16	23:14,17,	160:3	disagreemen	discussion
difficultie	21 25:11,	162:6	t	73:24
s	18,20,23,	165:21	315:10	135:3
153:2	24,25	195:21		139:16
212:1	27:8	196:5	disagrees	274:17
	29:15	204:19	318:16	316:16
difficulty	33:22,24	204:19	320:2	330:10
278:3	34:2,8	227:3,5	discipline	331:3
	49:3,8	441.3,3	40:18	



# CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. December 01, 2022 UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA Index: discussions..documents

ON THE STATE	3 13 0 17 (12 01 0	220110111	птасж. агосас	en included in the second
discussions	137:2,3,5	177:17	127:19	250:15
166:25	149:16	179:8	128:4	252:10,
dishwasher	150:14	180:6	132:5	19,21
283:24	179:22	187:22	138:24	254:15,18
	191:10	197:12	139:20	255:8,17
Dismissal	200:9	219:1	140:1,3,	256:16
102:8	211:17	222:2	6,8	257:1
dismissing	222:3,12,	224:6,13	148:13,15	262:6
204:2	16,22	232:11	158:19	264:9,13
44 4		241:3,6	160:20	271:8
<b>disorders</b> 24:2 40:5	229:8,9,		161:5,7	273:15
	11 230:22		164:18	276:15,25
41:19 42:12	231:14,		165:2,4	281:11
63:14	16,18,19	311:13	171:2	
03:14	232:7	divide	175:5	290:15
dispensed	233:21	118:13	176:4	294:3
51:3	262:13	21	182:11,	•
disposal		divided	22,24	301:6,7
283:24	304:11,24	46:20,22 126:7	183:1	305:23
	307:18,21	283:16	188:4	306:11,21
distinction	district's	203:10	192:3,5,	
39:2	31:13	division	8,11,13,	
distribute	87:7	47:1	17,18 193:20	314:6 315:24
274:12	116:18	doctor	202:5,16,	
distributio	277:13,17	332:10	17,19	317:9,12
n	305:4		203:13,	327:15
204:1	district-	doctorate	15,18	328:9
	wide	24:1,15	204:2	329:5
district	43:12,15	document	205:12,18	
31:1,11	•	15:11,14,	206:10,	document's
40:25	districts	18,20	17,21	191:22
43:7	30:4,6	17:16	207:2,13,	documentati
46:23	31:7	23:1,8	15,23	on
50:25	40:12,24 41:9,25	62:11,15,	208:24	105:20
57:7,12,	47:2	20 82:9,	209:9,11,	documents
15 74:22	52:19	18 86:24	18 210:8	14:16
75:6,9 84:19,20	54:7,8,25	93:6,23	221:4	18:11,17,
88:5	55:10	94:8,9,	224:18	19 62:22
92:7,19	66:9 75:8	12,16,17,	225:4	76:20
96:15,18,	83:15	20,25	228:1	81:8
21,24	84:21	101:6	236:15,23	199:8
97:2,4,6	87:12	104:5,11,	238:8,19	201:1
103:8	89:20	16,21,23	243:25	203:16
110:23	90:4,5,9,	106:6	244:13,24	301:18
112:7	13 137:7	111:17	245:24	312:11
		123:11,25	247:4,13	



NITED STATES	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (	GEORGIA		ecember 01, 202 k: DOEeducation
318:2	238:17,23	188:25	245:5	ed
OE	240:15	189:3	262:19	38:1
16:20	318:3	278:13	267:3	39:22,24
17:16	dollars	due	278:2	42:1
18:25	190:11	63:12	287:25	43:24
21:2	266:17,	215:24	288:13	44:19
40:24	19,21	216:23	316:18	52:17,18,
80:21	19,21	294:20	332:16	19 53:5,
	door		earliest	19 54:3,5
81:7,18	283:10,	305:7,12		92:9
84:12	13,15	dug	86:22	101:5
95:3	284:12	121:4	158:24	102:17
99:12	285:13	J1	237:1	118:11
106:3,4	Da	duly	313:19	135:21
111:24	Doug	9:20	earn	194:13
121:2,10	294:12	duplication	155:20	195:4,5,
124:4,5	draft	252:2	163:1	11 204:19
125:24	161:14	d	166:19	205:3
126:1	167:1	duration	187:8	228:19
128:23	169:9	302:17	190:10	258:7,19
153:12	171:15	303:9,17	193:18,22	259:4
160:5	173:21	duties	194:5	
162:19,22	175:7	27:8	277:24	309:15,
195:21	182:20	58:21	277,24	16,19
196:13	183:3	144:18	earned	310:21
198:15	196:22	162:15	188:9	312:4,5
199:1	202:15		194:20	321:19
200:17	203:2		earning	Edgenuity
230:21	257:6,9,	E	193:14	149:25
231:3	11		194:1	150:7,16,
233:14	273:13,20	earlier	174.1	18,24
234:19,23	273.13,20	13:8	easier	151:16
235:1,5,	drafted	79:10	12:6	
9,17,19	161:12	105:10	easy	educate
238:2,23	draw	117:13	285:15	212:19
241:19	50:22	119:1	203.13	educated
243:1,5	50.22	122:11	EBD	193:2
252:11	drawn	123:3	22:1,7	. 4
253:5	131:17	127:18	42:15	education
258:13	drive	149:18	60:7,8	16:11
260:12	160:2	155:5,22	63:15	17:2,6
261:8		170:14	242:20	19:7
268:18	drop	172:14	258:8	21:4,7,19
270:6,13	189:5,22,	202:24	259:7	22:5,15,
330:24	24 190:2	202:24	Economy	16,21
	304:8	233:3	155:15,17	24:1
OJ	drops		100:10,17	33:13
195:23	ar opp	239:3		38:1,21,



ONTILE OTATES	3 13 0 17 (12 01 0	DEGITOD T	писх. с	addationalemail
24 39:10,	316:20	Electives	eloping	238:14,
13,15,21	318:19	193:14	221:23	20,22
40:1,4,6	320:4	electric	email	239:3,9
41:18,20	324:1,2	234:9		243:23
42:11	326:2	234:9	81:20,22,	244:2,5,
44:8 45:9	330:22	electronic	25 82:15,	19,21
74:8	. 4	95:16	22 83:23	245:2,6,
81:3,11	educational	200:22	85:8	17 247:9,
82:6 85:2	16:3	235:25	86:18	16 250:11
90:18	19:11	236:4	87:4	255:13,21
93:1	20:3	301:7	88:23	256:5,21
95:5,11,	21:10	304:16	89:6	257:4,5
18,20	23:23	329:2	93:13	261:23
99:9	24:24		94:3	263:1
102:21	63:9 65:9	electronica	123:19	264:3,17
102:21	104:14	lly	124:10,11	271:2,13
	151:18	94:10	127:18	·
105:10	326:11,16	199:17	128:5	273:10,
106:8,12	educators	306:18	148:4,6,	18,22
135:4,10,	167:21	elementary	20,24	276:20
17 140:24		42:21	158:17,24	277:4,6,9
162:3	168:4	43:1	161:1,10	279:5
164:5	effect	112:18	164:24	281:16,23
172:19,22	173:18		165:13	282:13
173:17	278:18	156:11	171:7	284:25
176:20	. 5.5	300:5,10	176:1,9	285:6
177:24	effective	320:23	180:21	286:6
184:13,	120:23	325:5	182:17	287:16,
18,24	121:4	elements	202:17	21,24
185:6,11	180:11	208:10	23,24	288:3,6,
186:9	327:8	211:12	203:1,9,	14
198:21	effectively		12	290:13,19
204:11	79:21	eligibility	205:10,	292:17
224:12	96:2	258:14,21		293:24
233:25	136:4	eligible	23,25	294:7,8,
236:5,6	280:17	177:21	206:16,20	14,15
241:14		294:18	207:9,17	306:5
242:18	effectivene	309:19	224:25	307:10
259:1	ss		225:8,9,	313:10,
268:25	32:2,12,	Eliminate	14,15	15,19,20,
278:4	16	89:18	226:2	21 314:1,
286:15	efforts	eliminated	227:22	5,14,21
295:12	229:16	215:13	228:6,11,	315:11,
304:5		252:5	12	12,19,22
307:23	ELA		229:12,19	316:5,12,
311:21	25:14	elopement	231:24	25
314:24	193:6	189:9	236:21	317:11,
315:2,13			237:1,16	16,17
515.2,15				<b>±</b> Ο, ± /
I				



December 01, 2022 Index: educational..email

UNITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA			index. emailedevaluated		
318:18,25	employed	ended	212:13	185:4,16	
319:6,12	80:13	112:1,3	213:4	278:4	
320:1,7	268:5,14	291:5	entail	EOC	
327:23	269:5	ending	37:22	328:14	
328:13	employee	107:22	199:7	320.11	
330:11	32:2,12,	107.22	199.7	EOG	
emailed	15 129:11	endorsement	enter	328:14,19	
159:1	268:1,2,	24:18	95:15,23	equal	
	4,14	25:15	101:9	133:19	
emailing	282:20	ends	102:21	134:16	
247:23	315:12	108:19	129:12	276:12	
262:10	318:19		entered		
277:15	310.19	engage	129:17,25	equate	
emails	employees	189:23		279:2	
86:19,23	129:2	Engagement	entering	equip	
127:18	320:5	213:19	129:25	67:6	
176:2	employer		131:12,15	281:1	
228:12	34:5	engaging	entire		
281:7		214:4	41:6	equipment	
314:18	employment	English	42:24,25	269:24	
318:12	140:4	39:15	100:21	equity	
	141:20		136:18	17:21,22	
emerging	employs	enroll	248:11		
198:7	30:18	229:7	296:23	error	
201:17		enrolled		129:18	
209:23	end	72:12	entry	establish	
210:6,12,	29:16	92:6	97:10	197:25	
15,25	97:24	97:16	101:21	213:5	
211:4,13,	107:16	228:14	141:24	establishes	
25	108:20	232:6	environment	198:3	
212:10,22	111:18	302:6	70:10	190:3	
213:3,9,	128:20	308:2,5	185:14	ethnicity	
18,20	129:15,17	enrollment	186:9,13	141:4	
emotional	184:5	64:25	192:10	evaluate	
22:2	194:23	267:18	194:14	33:1,14,	
41:19	197:10	278:12,	210:24	15 42:5	
42:12	198:12,15	276:12,	211:6,18,	122:12,	
63:14	205:10	22,23	24 212:9,	19,22	
159:3	233:17	303:12	20 213:8	124:12,	
	277:10	303:12	217:14	16,19	
emotional/	287:8	ensued	259:16	130:20,21	
behavioral	291:5,10	139:16	297:18	131:21,24	
40:5	304:4	ensure	307:23	132:16	
employ	328:20,	167:17,22		242:22	
170:9	21,22,25	170:10	environment		
269:8	329:4,10,	211:5,25	<b>S</b>	evaluated	
	21	211,0,20	184:9	129:14	



December 01, 2022

Index: emailed..evaluated

ONTILE OTATIO	V3 01/(1L 01	OLOI(OI) (	maex. evale	datingExhibit 000
evaluating	239:14	51:23	255:8,13	Exhibit-635
129:8	exact	247:17	256:16,21	158:13
130:18,25	56:16,17	248:3,6,	261:18,23	Exhibit-636
204:21	60:18	19,22	263:23	160:22
evaluation	00.10	249:1,5,	264:3	
33:7,8,9,	exam	8,19,24	270:21	Exhibit-637
10 126:9,	143:18	256:8	271:1	164:20
16,18	144:7	332:17,	273:5,10	Exhibit-638
128:14	162:20	23,25	276:15,20	171:3
130:6,8,	164:10,11	333:4	281:11,16	
17 131:10	EXAMINATION	exhibit	287:11,16	Exhibit-639
151:20	9:23	15:5	290:8,13	175:22
208:9	332:3	23:2,7	291:11	Exhibit-640
297:14		62:5,10	293:19,24	182:13
	examined	82:10,15	298:18,23	Exhibit-641
evaluations	9:20	93:7,12	301:6	
32:6,16,	examples	104:6,11	305:23	191:24
18,22,23	35:25	123:12,17	306:4	Exhibit-642
33:20	64:12	132:2	312:13,	202:7
122:8	201:2,4,	139:21	17,21,25	Exhibit-643
123:1,4	6,14	140:1	313:2,4,	207:4
132:6	241:17,20	147:24	6,9 316:4	
333:5,8	Excel	148:4	318:15	Exhibit-644
evaluators	93:18	155:19	319:5	208:25
32:25	94:9,10	158:12,17	327:18,23	Exhibit-645
	298:24	160:21	Exhibit-626	224:20
evening	299:6	161:1	15:1	
334:1	306:9,17	164:19,24		Exhibit-646
events	328:4,5	171:2,7	Exhibit-627	227:18
187:25		175:21	23:3	Exhibit-647
188:13	exchange	176:1	Exhibit-628	236:16
eventually	162:19	182:12,17	62:6	Exhibit-648
186:21	190:9	191:23	Exhibit-629	238:10
292:22	231:24	192:3	82:11	
	314:21	202:6,11		Exhibit-649
everyone's	exchanged	207:3,8	Exhibit-630	243:18
260:24	314:18	208:24	93:8	Exhibit-650
evidence	excited	209:4	Exhibit-631	244:15
203:25	82:23,24	224:19,24	104:7	Exhibit-651
evidenced-	02.25,24	227:17,22	Exhibit-632	247:5
based	excuse	236:15,20	123:13	247:5
254:10	66:1	238:9,14	123:13	Exhibit-652
	187:17	243:22	Exhibit-633	250:7
evident	296:7	244:14,19	139:22	Exhibit-653
201:17	executive	247:4,9	Exhibit-634	255:9
209:22,25	25:24,25	250:6,11	147:25	· -



December 01, 2022

Index: evaluating..Exhibit-653

	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (	SEORGIA		ecember 01, 20 c: Exhibit-654fa
Exhibit-654	exit	242:11	222:24	168:6
256:17	97:20	experience	320:12	169:16,21
bibit 6FF	174:2,6	_	oumloim	188:16
xhibit-655	175:2	61:24	explain	190:12,13
261:19	178:22	139:8	72:10	235:18
xhibit-656	179:3,9,	140:20,	78:22	268:21
263:24	16,19,25	22,24	101:15	308:9
xhibit-657	245:8,14	141:1	109:2,3	333:24
	251:9	142:15	187:3	
270:22	252:3,4	178:10	228:20	extracurric
xhibit-658	254:18	217:3	268:17	ular
273:6		267:22,25	explained	308:23
	exited	268:4,14,	11:16	eyes
xhibit-659	101:3	21,24	87:15	42:3
276:16	102:12	269:1,3,	122:11	12.0
xhibit-660	181:3	6,9,10	178:16	
281:12	exiting	296:19	196:1,4	F
	101:13	297:3	319:25	
xhibit-661	101:13	experienced	319:25	face-to-
287:12	expect	-	explains	face
xhibit-662	27:17	270:1	112:21	186:16
290:9	288:10	experiences	198:7	180:10
		178:5		facilities
xhibit-663	expectation	196:14	explanation	64:14,19
293:20	35:20		118:6	281:25
xhibit-664	36:17	experiencin	174:25	282:7
298:19	220:7	g	179:13	286:15,20
	276:9	157:20	explicitly	289:24
xhibit-665	expectation	expert	221:3	290:2
301:8	s	323:20		293:5
xhibit-666	30:25	324:14,25	expressed	295:13,19
305:25	31:7 68:7	325:10,14	288:8	310:24
303.23	144:18		extend	320:13
xhibit-667	165:25	expertise	108:18	
312:14	187:6	31:21	233:22	facility
xhibit-668	197:7	38:15		64:1,3,15
312:18	212:16	39:22	extensive	111:4
312:10		40:17	72:6,16	282:9
xhibit-669	252:20	44:19	297:14	288:7,16,
312:22	266:2	166:16	extent	24 290:3
xhibit-670	expected	167:2	63:20	293:12
	166:14		91:20	294:17,
327:19	168:25	experts	320:1	18,21
xhibiting	187:7	118:24	34U:1	295:5,11,
120:7	197:5	145:2	extra	16
	218:1	146:18	38:3	
existed	219:7,14,	163:11	155:20	fact
291:23	16 220:17	166:17	163:10	103:17
	TO 220.1/	167:4,19		171:23



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (	GEORGIA		ecember 01, 202 Index: factorfir
294:20	FAQ	132:17	308:8,14	79:11,14,
actor	253:6	136:1,7	fields	19 242:25
267:23	farther	173:21,24	102:19,20	243:4,6
207.23	168:14	175:6,10,	301:23	244:10
air	237:22	11 176:13	301:23	fill
41:3	231:22	178:20		
182:2	FBA	198:16	307:3	222:16
186:7	36:10	200:17,19	fight	252:11
227:4	155:13	206:4	189:6	262:19
284:1,3	223:4	223:5	61.1.1.	307:3
		240:14	fighting	filled
all	FBA/BIP	243:6	145:18	230:17
26:6	135:12	260:24	239:14	307:11
28:22	FBAS		figure	
53:21	36:10	261:15	111:19	filling
54:6	40:15	feel	240:19	99:2
107:20	69:6	12:18	280:3	269:15
128:22	201:9	121:3	298:10	fills
233:22	201:9		290:10	
235:7	February	felt	figures	98:20
	202:14	120:21	110:12	303:10
alling	207:10	229:1	111:9,11	final
234:24	227:24	248:13		109:11
alls	281:18	280:4	figuring	139:2
68:19	287:6	fewer	85:3	147:6,11,
	313:11,21	61:8	file	12 178:3
amiliar	314:11	01:0	78:5,11	262:4
18:18	315:22	fidelity	93:18	286:16
19:8		27:14,16	140:4	289:24
80:18	317:12,16	138:6,13	148:13	289:24
94:17	319:7	197:7		finally
159:14	federal	212:6	161:6	42:14
270:3,16	49:21	222:14	165:3	254:21
296:18	50:6,25	223:5	182:22	<b>.</b> .
308:25	109:17	251:22	207:13	finance
309:22	118:2	231.22	244:6	240:25
	266:17,	field	262:3	financial
amilies	19,24,25	97:9	298:24	49:24
72:6	271:6,14,	99:17	299:6	
309:5		100:9,14,	306:9	Fincher
311:16	20 272:7,	15,19,23	315:24	123:20
323:8	20	101:15	316:7	124:3
	fee	141:25	317:19,	128:6
amily	234:4,6,	142:2	22,25	129:20
72:9,11	7,9,21,23	212:14	318:6,10	£13
100:20,22		294:22	328:5,7	find
311:16	feedback			77:25
	121:25	302:17	files	117:23
amily's		303:8	78:7	221:15



ONITED STATE	S VS STATE OF C	JEURGIA	inde	x: iinaingiuii-iime
finding	Flamming	101:2	formula	frame
222:6	142:7	103:7	260:2	108:9
260:18	flashy	112:10	267:4,7	175:13
fine	270:1	187:15	273:13,20	233:16,24
128:2	270:1	226:16	274:1,24	framework
204:2	flexibility	279:15	275:21,25	66:24
265:16	233:20	294:15	276:2,7,	173:12
321:12	float	326:20	10	1/3:12
332:11	59:21	follow-up	formulas	free
		332:8	258:13	12:18
finish	Florida	332.0	275:1	331:14
12:14,25	196:18	font		frequency
296:5	flow	202:21	forward	75:11,14
fired	279:10,13	food	198:17	•
141:21	£1 aa	284:7	230:9,14,	frequently
	flows		19 232:18	68:12,15
first-in-	49:20	form	266:2	75:18
time	50:11	13:23	268:7,16	126:19
294:7	fluctuated	28:9,11	282:14	136:12
fiscal	48:3	97:10	291:15	137:8
49:14,16,	fluctuates	257:19	328:15	217:24
19 83:2	60:17	279:14	forwarded	218:9,21
90:6	60:17	314:9	287:25	248:24
92:25	focus	315:25	288:3	Friday
95:8	70:6	316:1		203:19
97:18,24	189:18	formal	forwarding	204:6
105:17	242:5	222:15	183:4	
108:19	251:20,21	5	290:19	front
162:25	focused	formally	forwards	145:17
169:5	31:10	222:19	226:1	FTE
266:4,9		format	£ 4	194:25
268:22	foggy	95:12	found	195:4,6,
271:17	121:16	148:9	61:24	7,12
274:3	folders	161:23	262:13	253:5
307:17	77:25	208:7,21	263:4	fulfill
315:17	follo	formatives	fountains	181:8
318:23	folks	123:1	289:11	
fishbowl	52:4		290:5	full
144:24	118:18	forms	Fountas	10:7
144:24	128:19	28:14	149:8	19:12
	161:20	260:22		107:21
fit	163:7	261:4	fourth	181:19
43:6	199:25	262:25	68:23	230:24
147:10	follow	264:19,	FPAS	305:2
flag	30:24	22,25	36:8	326:21
263:4	35:18	265:4,9,		full-time
		10,17,18		



December 01, 2022

Index: finding..full-time

57:2	36:18	23 275:5,	FY19	82:19
	36:18			82:19
113:1	fund	21,24	308:1,4,	GA00041656
ully	55:1,9	276:2,6, 23	12	93:24
70:20	235:18,19	23 277:15,	fy2018-	GA00042647
101:17	funded	277:15,	gnets_	176:5
307:22	61:17,19	278:1,5,	milestones-	170.5
ulton	114:4,8,	21 280:6	eog	GA00042977
31:4	17,18	305:20	328:8	182:25
45:22	115:2,17,	305:20	fy2018	GA00062065
46:8,21,	25 116:6,	funds	gnets	207:16
22,25	10 149:2,	50:14,17	milestones-	
47:4,5,	23 151:23	51:1	eoc	GA00063211
10,18,19,	152:9,24	118:2	328:6	238:19
21 48:9,	153:13,	240:22		GA00063361
12,13,18	19,23	266:13	FY21	236:23
49:11	185:25	294:19	271:5,13,	G3.000.6E4.00
57:4,17,	191:17	Futch	20 272:2,	GA00065489
20,22,25	275:15,20	202:13	6,15,19,	158:20
58:8		256:22	22	GA00094762
59:11	funding	257:5,10	FYI	228:1
66:6	39:11	319:6,13	288:1	G3.00122202
71:16,17	49:20,22	317.0,13	20012	GA00132302
76:15,21,	50:3,6,11	FW		264:14
24 77:15	52:8	264:6	G	GA00201024
97:3,5,7	61:25	271:5		281:20
159:2	107:24	281:18	GA0	GA00319313
282:1,20	108:19	Fwd	148:13	287:20
285:24	163:4	227:25		
286:4	180:25		GA00015236	GA00319999
287:18	181:11	FY	257:1	225:5
288:8,17	195:9	93:14,18	GA00015983	GA00321183
292:19	203:22	104:14,18	262:7	148:16
304:18	204:1	105:16	G3.000.40.601	
325:23	205:2,5	106:23	GA00040621	GA00322900
325:23	240:18	110:18	202:16	294:3
unction	257:22,25	132:3	GA00040927	GA00333801
36:21	258:4,13,	140:4,14	244:24	306:12
135:11	21,25	148:13	GA00041055	<b>~</b> = 000000000
303:2	259:2,3,	FY10	247:13	GA00336618
unctional	22,23	274:3	247.13	328:10
36:11,25	260:2,12		GA00041160	GA00343281
	267:5,7,	FY15	250:15	123:25
unctionall	17,23	207:13,24	GA00041177	G3.002.4E02.4
	270:11	FY18	255:17	GA00345934
118:7	273:13,20	262:3		244:1
unctions	274:8,19,	307:14	GA00041282	GA00347596



December 01, 2022

ONTIED OTATE	3 VS STATE OF V	SLONGIA	macx. OA0	0330476Georgia
276:25	Gardner	270:20,24	gears	Georgia
GA00356478	9:10,24	273:4,8	76:4	9:6,7,17
290:16	10:4	276:14,18	gender	10:20
	13:20	281:10,14	141:4	11:1,3
GA00784542	14:1,2	287:10,14		13:21
273:15	15:3	290:7,11	general	15:21,25
GA00791440	22:25	293:18,22	21:19	16:3,10,
161:7	23:5	295:24	22:5,14,	24 17:2,5
GA00793645	62:4,8	296:3,13	16,20	19:7,11
165:5	75:19	298:17,21	37:14	20:2,7,9
103.3	76:3	301:10	38:16	21:2,3
GA00793696	82:8,13	305:22	44:8 92:9	22:7
171:10	93:5,10	306:2	101:5 102:17	24:14,16,
GA02326483	104:4,9	312:10,	135:4,9,	21,22
271:9	123:10,15	16,20,24	16,21	33:12,24
	139:11,	313:8	167:22	34:3,7,
GA02326486	19,24	315:8	168:4	10,22
271:24	147:22	316:3	183:17	36:1
GA05058857	148:2	318:14 319:4,24	184:13,	39:13 40:5,11
313:1	158:10,15 160:19,24	320:9	18,24	41:20
GA05058891	160:19,24	327:15,21	185:6,11	63:6
313:5	171:1,5	330:16,17	186:9	70:15
319:3	172:1,10,	331:11,14	189:13	74:7,10,
	15		194:13	13 81:3,
GA0508776	175:19,24	gathered	253:2	10,18
313:3	182:10,15	324:8	258:19	82:5
GA05087765	191:21	gathering	278:3	84:12,18
313:7	192:1	18:17	286:15	85:2 87:7
319:11	202:5,9	254:19	307:23	88:17
G. A.	207:1,6		309:15	93:1
GAA	208:23	gave	312:4	95:5,11,
88:14,16	209:2	17:17 99:6	generally	18,20
Gadoe	224:17,22	179:12	11:9 19:8	99:8
42:12	227:16,20	1/9:12	28:16	102:21
170:7	232:20,24	Gay	78:13	104:12
202:2	236:14,18	202:14	221:13	105:9
212:13	238:7,12	204:7,9	228:20	106:8
235:23	243:20	319:7	322:21	121:12
250:13	244:12,17	GCIMP		143:18
Gadoe's	247:3,7	203:20	generated	144:16
238:16	250:5,9	204:12,	316:25	162:3,19,
	255:7,11	14,16,23	geography	22 164:4
Gail	256:15,19	207:11,	20:19	173:3
117:11	261:17,21	13,20	Coorac	195:4
GAO	263:22	208:2	George	198:20
11:2,3,6	264:1		104:13	199:1



December 01, 2022

Index: GA00356478.. Georgia

December 01, 2022 Index: Geronimo..GNETS

0111122 017112	0 13 0 17 (12 01 0		писх: О	2101111110::011210
200:17	223:5	42:1	90:4,6,8,	144:13
204:15	233:14	43:23	15,17	147:20
214:2	324:25	44:1,8,	91:3,4,16	148:14
221:8	325:14	14,23,25	92:2,14,	149:14,23
224:12	GT 7.5	45:11,21	24 93:14,	150:3
225:24	GLES	46:1,4,5,	18 94:15	
236:5,6	130:12	7,11,15	95:13,18,	152:9,24
238:2,23	glitch	47:7,9,14	23 97:4,	153:6,10,
241:13	129:5	48:19,22	13,16,17,	13,19
242:17	GI DG	49:4,13	24 98:13	156:1
243:1,5	GLRS	50:9,14	99:3,4,19	157:23
258:18	34:6,9	51:15	101:13	158:4
261:10	41:12	52:15,20	102:8,24	
295:12	53:19	53:5,10,	103:1,16,	
314:24	54:4	11,25	18	14 161:3,
315:1,12,	GNET	54:9,19	104:14,	6,11
14,18	64:7	55:4,13	18,22	162:6,8,
316:19	228:15	56:12	106:4,9,	11,16,24,
318:19	229:10	60:11,15	11	25 163:6
320:4		61:3	109:15,21	165:3,10,
324:2	GNETS	62:14,21	110:5,25	21,25
326:1	10:21,22,	63:2,5,8,	111:4,7	166:18,20
330:21	23 11:11,	19,24	112:12	167:4,8,
331:20	12 14:19,	64:4,18,	113:7,12	16,17
332:6	20 16:7,	24 66:15		
	9,25		118:9,10	168:3,6,
333:7,16	17:1,21,	67:24	119:6,17	8,9
Geronimo	25 18:9,	68:10 69:16	120:14 122:12,	169:2,5
270:17	11,23	70:20		170:8,11
get all	19:1,4,8,		15,19	172:20,22
129:23	10,21	71:9	123:23	173:2,8,
	20:13	72:13,22	127:20,	11,17,20
Gilchrist	21:13,14,	75:3	24,25	176:11,16
202:12	17,18	76:10	128:13	177:21
give	23:15,18	78:3	130:18	178:7,23
12:7	25:12,19	80:18,20,	131:20	179:2,21
13:3,9	26:12	25 81:1,	132:3,8,	180:13,
35:24	27:6,9	4,6,15	13 133:8	15,25
54:21	29:15	82:17,23	134:2,5,	181:1,19,
56:17	30:18	83:7,9	10,13	23
60:18	32:6,12,	84:9,11,	135:3,5,	182:19,22
78:24	20 33:23	14,18,24	8,15,19	183:9,12
83:13,15	34:10,23	85:4,10	136:8	184:6,8,
118:5	35:2	87:1,2,	137:14	12,17
136:7	36:2,12	10,11	138:23	185:5,10,
201:2,5	40:23	88:23	139:3	17,23,24,
201:2,5	41:21,23	89:3,8,	140:2,4,	25
200.1		12,17,19	12,23,25	186:10,



	LIFIELD, PH.D. vs STATE OF GI	EORGIA		ecember 01, 20 GNETS'grad
11,17,25	233:19	17,20	311:23	goals/
187:11,19	234:1,13,	272:3,6,	313:22	criteria
190:25	20	15,19,22	314:8,22	217:11
191:5,11,	235:10,13	273:1,13,		a
16 192:4,		20 274:7,		Goff
9,15	239:7,14,		316:7,19	141:15
193:12	16,17	275:1,14	•	good
194:24	240:3,12,	•	318:7,20,	9:25
195:10,	16	22	22 319:18	10:1,3
17,19,22,			320:5,11	129:17
25 196:3,	15,21	278:2,19	322:12	145:8
5,8,11,	243:8	279:9,12	326:9,12,	147:2,3,
	245:21,23	280:5,23		10 202:2
197:3,6,		282:1,6,		239:12
19 198:1,	20.0,10,	19,22	330:1,13	264:18
19,25	247:16,19	283:10,	331:4	334:1
199:17,	•			
21,22	8,9,19,21		GNETS'	government
203:5	249:1,4,	16,21	49:16	311:7
204:17,23	7,13,23	285:11,14	266:4	governor
205:20	250:13,		goal	109:18
206:2,12,	19,21	12,16,17,	28:8	110:3
200.2,12,	252:7,9,	20 287:1	36:16	267:11
207:11,23	16 253:6,	288:16	134:15,	270:13
208:3,11,	10 253:0,	289:18	17,23	274:11
208:3,11, 15 209:5,			193:22	
•	254:14,		220:9	Governor's
12,15	18,22,24	292:2		274:10
	255:3,15,		274:25	Governors
	22,25		275:4	39:16,23
214:2	256:1,3,	24 295:9,	273.4	
221:14,16	7,9,10,	20	goals	grade
222:8	11,12,24	296:15,18	28:3,7	45:5 91:
223:7,15,			69:19	92:15
20,22	22 259:1,	9,12,18	86:9	133:6
224:1,13	3,13,21	298:5,24	102:11,12	150:19
225:10,	260:2	299:11	134:1,9	152:20
18,21	262:3,11,		136:4	328:20,
226:9	14,17,20,	301:16	183:23	21,24,25
227:3,5,	24 263:5,	302:6	213:5	329:21
7,13,25	13,20	303:24	217:24	330:3
228:22	264:9,11,		218:8,13,	grader
229:2,10,	18 265:5,	7,11,12	22 219:3,	92:14
16,22	10 266:14	305:15,19	12,20	
230:1,7		306:8,10,	242:7,14	graders
231:1,8,		25 307:7	253:17	300:12,
20 232:2,	270:11,15		296:22	15,17
4,16,18	271:6,14,	309:9		



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (			ecember 01, 20 x: gradeshand
grades	grants	groups	231:13	91:9,24
87:21	109:7	65:18	237:9	128:14
194:20		66:19	252:10,19	130:5,7,
d11	<pre>great    137:14</pre>	206:3	254:14,	16,19
radually 186:12		212:25	17,23	131:4,8,
180:12	138:11 202:21	216:9,20	255:2	10 191:2,
raduate	285:12	246:12,16	257:19	12,13,15
303:24	203:12	247:1	260:11	302:7
304:1	greater	250:4,24	279:13	304:18
raduated	133:19	285:8	guide	309:12,24
143:16,20	134:16	Growth	173:13	310:21
144:6	187:9	133:16	1/3:13	311:3,14
307:14	279:1	133:10	guideline	15,18
307.14	327:11	GT	133:24	323:24,25
raduating	greeting	130:11	156:25	Gwinnett's
304:2,5	314:15	GTES	guidelines	78:21
rainger		130:12	50:22	80:2
325:21	Greg	150.12	125:12	
	249:9	guess	131:16	130:10
rant	GRLS	17:16	178:23	
104:15,18	33:25	37:20	179:3,10,	H
105:1,2,	34:14	47:17		
16 106:23	41:25	74:17	19,25	hahi bual
107:10,23	41,25	80:25	guiding	habitual
109:16,	group	81:5	205:12,18	189:13
17,23,25	45:25	109:13	206:9	Haigler
110:5,11,	68:5,8,12	111:24	Gwinnett	51:23,25
13,16	73:25	120:13	45:17,18,	half
112:11	118:15,17	129:11	23 46:8	
113:11	119:9	131:9,16		246:1
114:5,8,	146:10	134:8	49:10	Hamilton
17,23	153:16	142:7	56:25	320:23
115:2,15,	154:18	208:20	57:17	325:4,17
18 116:1,	155:23	248:19	58:3,9	20
6,10	156:2	298:2	66:8	hand
132:3	203:10	302:20	71:18	hand
149:23	205:23	315:3	76:15,22	15:4
151:23	220:21	317:5,9	77:5,11	243:21
152:9,24	247:19	·	78:4,20,	handed
153:14,	248:13	guesstimate	25 79:11,	23:6 62:9
19,23	253:20,24	56:18	17 80:3,	82:14
191:16	254:5,9,	guest	14,16	93:11
205:6	13,17,22	330:25	83:12,15,	104:10
271:6,14,	255:2,5		22 85:10,	123:16
20 273:2	324:24	guidance	23 86:15	139:25
275:20,25	325:1,14	30:24	89:25	148:3
276:10		227:6	90:1	158:16
		230:21		<del>-</del>



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (	GEORGIA		December 01, 202 dex: handlehopii
160:25	happening	held	higher	243:21
164:23	175:1	285:7	61:25	320:10
171:6	297:16		167:17	332:5,10
175:25	298:10	helped	268:25	
182:16	321:21	43:1		home
192:2	322:13	helpful	highest	44:16
202:10		154:8	147:1	70:11
207:7	happy	181:16,17	hire	74:23
209:3	12:19	holmina	58:20	96:17,21
224:23	139:11	helping	146:8	104:2
227:21	hard	40:20	180:25	110:22,23
236:19	60:18	44:4,6	1. 1 1	167:24
238:13	78:5,7,10	216:18	hired	179:22
244:18	79:11,13,	helps	196:13	182:4
247:8	19 80:9	30:6 37:8	332:20	210:17,18
250:10	86:16	II a mar a	333:1	211:2,14
255:12	124:23	Hernandez	hiring	212:7
256:20	176:10	324:3	144:13	213:16
261:22	179:4	hey	147:7	217:15,20
264:2	239:12	40:13,19	332:24	218:25
270:25	241:6	41:1 42:2		219:2
273:9	211.0	109:2	historic	232:23
	Haynes	222:8	286:4	283:23
276:19	321:1	223:14	historical	284:2
281:15	head		78:1,17	302:7
287:15	12:5	high	92:3,10	305:6,7,
290:12		43:2,4,6,		11,12
293:23	health	9,18	historicall	307:18,21
298:22	20:10	44:22	У	323:17
306:3	22:8	112:18	64:7	homoroom
327:22	61:15	133:9,10,	hold	homeroom
andle	74:11,14	11 156:9	23:23	157:12
194:18	100:6,16	183:25	24:23	Homes
	194:15	184:3	25:10	320:23
andled	275:6	242:20	230:16	325:4,17,
178:14	278:25	281:19		20
appen	280:9,13	282:1,23	holds	honestly
13:3	309:4,7,	283:9,14,	50:13	11:20
53:14	15,25	19 284:5	Holifield	11:20
54:16	310:9	286:9,12	9:4,19,25	hooked
232:13	327:10	287:1,18	10:9,10	283:24
330:11	hear	288:16,20	14:3	284:2
annorad	73:14	290:21,25	15:4,8	hope
appened		291:17,20	23:6 62:9	_
111:21,22	108:10,15 274:20	292:2,8	93:11	73:10 310:25
119:4	Z/4:ZU	300:24	123:16	310:45
199:25	heard	320:19,21	207:7	hoping
292:16	22:11		201.1	94:6



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (			ecember 01, 202 hospitalimpacte
118:5	237:20	191:25	289:16	232:19
nospital	ICS	202:8	identify	242:21
175:3	228:4,13	207:5	9:8	243:4
		209:1	190:16	244:10
not	ICSATLANTA	224:21	214:19,22	245:8,14
289:8	227:25	227:19	217:25	257:20
nour	228:21	236:17	219:12	258:20
13:5	229:14,17	238:11	220:15	260:5
232:21	230:13	243:19	236:1	285:7
	231:8	244:16	260:14	297:4,24
nours	ICSAtlanta'	247:6	200:14	318:9,13
246:2	s	250:8	IEP	333:14,17
277:20,23	229:15	255:10	19:23	TEDC
louse	227.13	256:18	27:13,18,	IEPS
73:10	ID	261:20	20 44:5	27:20,25
	78:25	263:25	64:21	28:1
noused	87:9	270:23	69:9	69:18
76:14	96:12	273:7	75:10,12	75:17
92:7	192:6	276:17	76:13	76:12,20
289:23	301:24	281:13	83:13	80:17
310:24	idea	287:13	85:14,17,	83:18
312:5		290:10	18,20,23	85:21
nouses	19:14	293:21	86:6,10,	86:2
286:16	177:4	298:20	12,16	195:11
200:10	186:8	301:9	90:11	241:11,
HR	246:25	306:1	91:12,21,	14,17,20,
49:25	303:9	312:15,	23 101:2,	25
52:1,2	310:6	19,23	20 102:13	242:17,24
146:6,8	326:20	327:20	103:20	312:8
147:9,13	ideas	327:20	135:22,24	II
ID DELAMED	274:20	identified	173:6	118:6,18
HR-RELATED		166:20	177:9	110.0,10
52:7	identificat	215:19	178:17,18	III
Hubert	ion	217:5	192:20,24	118:6,12,
129:20	15:2 23:4	219:6,15,		19 119:8
nundred	62:7	24 220:4	193:21	155:25
	82:12	295:4	194:6	156:20,24
54:22	93:9	326:10	213:5	158:1
55:11	104:8	14	214:5	181:24
259:13	123:14	identifier	217:11,23	182:6
266:11	139:23	97:1	218:8,12,	211
nunt	148:1	identifies	22,23	ill
308:19	158:14	63:4 97:5	219:3,12	278:13
	160:23	119:17	223:4,14,	impact
	164:21	208:7	21 224:4	221:1
I	171:4	246:9	229:22	269:17
	175:23	249:19	230:4,9,	d mm = = +
i-ready	182:14		14,15	impacted



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (			ecember 01, 20 pactsinformati
63:21	improve	includes	283:9,13,	individuali
impacts	215:3	66:10	14,19	zing
173:7	217:22	87:24	284:5	35:17
	improved	96:8	285:22,23	inequality
implement	326:13,17	99:14	286:9,12	289:17
38:7 43:2	320:13,17	100:15	287:1,18	209:17
67:6	improvement	154:11	288:16,20	Infinite
180:11	37:16,22,	176:8	290:21,25	76:14,21,
210:9,17	23 38:1,	182:21	291:17,20	25 83:14
216:18	21,22	184:8	292:2,8	85:19
222:13	39:6,12	191:18		87:8
·	125:25	205:24	independent	130:2,3
implementat	145:15	291:15	170:8	304:17
Lon	204:15,21	300:2	311:8	
42:7	207:23	306:8	indicating	influenced
122:1	214:10	317:4	227:5	267:18
170:1		J17.4		information
183:10	in-kind	including	individual	13:6
203:25	149:5	47:13	40:12	16:21
212:7	in-person	71:20	66:19	76:10,14
239:15	150:10	138:10	68:5,8,	77:20,25
implemented		233:4	15,17	78:2,8,
27:13,16,	incidence	J 1	69:8,19,	11,13,19,
23 35:8	60:8	inclusive	24 84:6	24 79:3,
	incident	125:11	110:6	
43:1	128:19	203:14	119:9	4,12,18,
199:13	120.19	incorporate	153:16	20,25
218:20	include	d -	154:18	80:8
223:5	87:23	203:17,24	155:24	81:17,21,
240:7	110:12	208:11	157:14	23,24
331:7	168:15		158:4	82:2,3,5
implementin	213:6	incorporati	197:13	83:1
3	246:6	ng	218:2	85:20
69:11	299:25	203:20	219:17,	87:8,18,
135:24	317:6	increased	20,23	20 88:1,5
136:4	1 1 . 1	32:1	221:10	91:9
138:6,12	included		234:14,25	92:21
217:21	65:16	increment	242:1	93:1 96:6
222:7	96:6	284:18		98:22
222.7	108:1	indefinitel	279:2	99:14
importance	110:16	У	304:23	100:5,8,
120:2	198:5	59:15	332:20	18,20
important	294:17	JJ.1J	individuali	101:9,11
120:3	299:7	independenc	zed	103:12,
	300:12	е	44:17	23,25
269:19	316:1	189:12	127:16	104:1
impressions	330:8	281:19	151:9	105:14
35:1		282:1,23	216:8	



ONTE	3 VS STATE OF C	SEOI (OI) (	ilide	x. iiiioiiiieuiiivite
113:7	initiative	15 213:1	interior	interventio
128:21	67:14	215:19	284:21	ns
129:13,	121:1,8,	216:11	internal	21:24
21,24	14	304:21	129:4	27:23
148:21				36:6
154:16,19	initiatives	instruction	209:7	68:24
161:22	43:20	<b>S</b>	301:20	69:3,8,15
164:12	input	215:8	internally	120:12
192:19	175:17	instrument	106:2	135:12,
197:24	246:23	204:20	internet	13,23
198:3	inquire	234:8	214:4	148:10
200:25	230:20	insufficien	214.4	149:1,2,
208:2	230:20	t	interns	4,11
235:25	inquired	61:25	56:2,5	153:25
242:14	277:16		internship	154:3,4,
248:14	inquiry	intake	56:5	11,12,16
253:3,7	160:10	245:8,14		208:8
263:15,17		integrated	interrelate	210:10
265:17,24	inside	72:15	d	212:2
282:5	155:6	72:15	101:5	216:13
302:12	168:20	integration	interrupt	220:19,23
306:23,24	Insights	217:5	12:12	222:5,6
308:19,21	121:20	251:8,18		253:15
322:1		intended	intersect	297:22
	inspect	295:6	109:10	331:8
informed	27:17		intervene	
119:25	instance	intense	52:14	interview
120:2,17,	320:3	167:20	78:18	144:22,
22,25		intensive		23,25
121:8,13,	instances	278:10	interventio	145:11,22
18,20	79:3		n	146:2,10,
155:13	instructed	Interaction	36:7,17,	15,17
210:24	214:19	100:11	19 37:2,	interviewin
initial	instruction	311:10	3,7,10	g
121:24	22:8	interagency	136:5	145:11
163:1	22:8 38:17	71:23	149:8,21,	interviews
203:12	38:17 116:3	73:1,4	25 152:13	
314:5		interest	153:22	42:6 146:4
initially	127:8,14, 15	144:22	222:13	140:4
121:1,9	15 150:11,	144:22	interventio	introducing
234:7,18		interested	nal	44:12
	23,25 151:6,10,	161:19	152:8	Introductio
250:25 284:20	151:6,10,	163:10	interventio	n
204:20	12 217:13	181:15	nists	165:3
initials	instruction	interests	327:2	
152:14	al	188:18	J	invite
	212:3,12,	100.10		199:23



December 01, 2022 Index: informed..invite

OMITED STATE	S VS STATE OF	GEORGIA		inaex: inviteakina
330:25	286:21	Jacqueline	justify	kids
invited	287:3	256:22	200:25	17:25
224:6,8,	issues	January		19:15,18
10 323:16	17:21,22	256:23	77	28:5 44:5
	36:14	261:25	K	60:24
invoice	39:3,4			61:13,14
234:19	40:16	Jeff	K-12	66:25
involuntary	41:2	325:23	22:17	69:17
141:10,18	43:17	Jeronald	K-3	101:13
	52:7	270:16,18	45:7	118:12,20
involve	61:9,15			151:13,21
73:18	189:14	job	K-5	152:18
involved	194:16	11:19	45:7	153:9
18:13	220:22	12:5 14:5	K-8	155:1,19
19:4	275:6	16:15	133:13	179:4,13
69:14	279:1	61:11		180:10
72:13	289:6	144:17	Kathy	181:18
214:4	293:4	166:16	202:13	182:1
	293:4	167:2	239:4	187:15,16
involvement	item	278:24	Katika	189:7,18,
155:23	178:20	322:5,23	294:1	20 191:9
iready	180:12	Johnson	77	194:12
132:25	215:2	9:16	Kaufman	195:11
133:3,4,7	269:13	172:13	151:18	210:18
134:1,3,	items	331:20,25	keeper	211:1,14,
4,13,18,	50:20	332:2,4,5	35:21	19 212:8
24 149:18	190:11	333:21	keeping	213:11
233:9,13	199:15	334:1	193:8	216:8
239:25	203:20		193:0	217:17
242:6	215:23	Judith	Keller	221:25
	246:5	142:14	293:25	280:12,15
iready/	257:14	July	Kelley	282:10
imath	270:1	93:13	66:5	284:17
237:11,14		107:12,16		297:23
IRR	itinerant	238:16	Kelly	331:8
101:4,17	163:25	T	9:10 10:4	kids'
102:3	itinerate	<b>June</b> 105:19	Kerrie	120:4
issue	30:5		249:10	
42:8	57:15	106:20		Kim
52:12	191:18	107:6,11,	kid	323:24
127:6		13,18 108:8,19	120:7	kind
201:25		111:18	177:21	28:6 29:7
226:12	J		222:8	38:12
227:10,12		276:22	229:9	42:5,6
228:21,24	Jacqie	277:7	258:9	50:13
231:23	202:13	279:6	263:13	66:14
271.27				



December 01, 2022

Index: invited..kind

	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (	GEORGIA		December 01, 202 Index: kindsleng
70:12	282:16	largely	59:14	LEAS
73:24	326:6	34:21	85:3	55:7,8
81:24		_	147:4	149:5
87:18	knowing	largest	163:24	212:13
88:12	36:7,9,17	48:6,8	196:19	213:13
98:21	64:7	56:25	270:12	286:19
100:8,18,	knowledge	laser	285:14	
20 101:7	35:21	242:5		leave
102:3	220:6		leader	94:23
103:13	267:8	launched	33:7	221:24
109:4	311:25	121:9,14	107:21	leaves
114:14	312:2	law	leaders	58:21
121:16	316:22	156:11	262:13	129:11
126:10	333:19			
128:8		lawsuit	leadership	left
	KTEA-3	10:20	24:6,17	58:13
129:4,19	151:17	17:15	26:4,7	97:23
131:7		18:4,8	32:24	267:10
136:1	<b>T</b>	196:2,11	122:18	284:6
137:5	L	lawyers	197:11	296:2
156:12		314:23	199:22	300:7
173:24	L-K-E-S	315:1	200:1	308:14
175:3	33:4		248:10	left-hand
181:24	lack	layman's	loodina	96:11
190:14	31:14	192:22	leading	
200:19	77:18	LEA	260:21	299:20
232:9	91:8	21:6	learn	legal
246:21	125:13	64:5,10,	16:13,19	103:11,13
267:10,13		20,25	174:22	111
269:3,17	278:4	75:9	177:5	legally
281:3	305:12	89:11	212:23	314:22
297:15,17	Lakesha	103:11	321:21,24	legislative
inds	106:4,11	113:22		110:4
35:24,25	199:5	113:22	learned	Toigh
38:10	276:21		214:2	Leigh
44:11	277:6	116:10	learning	25:20
78:13	1	129:22	24:2	51:22
79:24	language	178:9	33:25	52:11
	39:15	191:17	34:3,14	271:4
208:10	169:24	213:4	39:15	321:6
244:9	198:7	225:18	137:24	332:17
new	201:3	262:3	150:21	333:2
19:1	317:7	282:19	151:2	length
167:11	laptop	303:25	159:3,12	156 <b>:</b> 9
218:15	214:7	304:6,10	208:19	296:17
247:20		lead	211:6	297:1,13
	large	58:12,13,	258:10	298:10
inight	194:15	17,21,25	200.10	



UNITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA		index: lessenlocations		
lessen	187:3,10,	life	251:5	living
37:12	18,24	120:8	254:5,10	269:4
lessened	188:5,11,	likewise	255:21	283:23
189:16	21,24	57:11	289:6	284:2
109:10	189:1,2,	57:11	292:25	LKES
lessening	3,5,11,	limit	327:13	33:1,3,4
298:14	21,22,24	268:5	listed	124:5,8
lessens	190:2,4,7	limited	28:23	127:21
181:23,25	191:10	89:18	46:8	131:5,7
	196:3	312:2	90:22,24	333:11
lesser	217:3		99:11	
101:23	218:2	limits	115:21	local
259:15	222:3	268:15	117:4	21:7
lessons	267:4	link	118:15	71:23
127:12	268:1,2,	87:7	119:20	100:11
letter	3,4,13,	250:19	141:9,23	294:18
17:17	23,25	linkages	142:11	311:10
109:8	270:13	73:1,5,9	174:3,7	located
239:10	275:11	73.1,3,9	189:23	83:7
291:15	276:23	LIPT	199:9	96:16
292:17	277:13,17	72:2,4,5,	201:3,5	114:11,13
324:23	330:3	13,16,23	202:3	187:13
	levels	73:7,16	257:20	location
letterhead	32:24	74:5	262:22	83:9
104:12	45:5 91:5	100:11	294:21	92:11
level	112:18	155:4	listen	98:15
32:24	189:15	201:13	274:1	116:22
33:18	242:13	311:10		146:14
43:18	328:24	Lisa	listing	160:2
45:1	Lewis-	202:13	260:6	281:19
49:21	hawkins	256:22	lists	282:2,22
60:4	202:13	257:5	117:2	286:9
61:25	239:4,6	260:21	153:1	290:21,25
118:19	14-4	319:6,13	246:6	294:23
120:4	<b>liaison</b> 282:19	list	Literally	305:16
124:6	202:19	38:2 82:2	114:16	locations
129:22	licensed	89:13		21:15,18,
133:6	70:15	117:8	litigation	19 26:12
139:5	115:7	140:12,14	10:22	48:15
143:21,24	licenses	141:23	15:24	197:13
144:10,11	24:24	149:19	16:2,14,	241:5
152:20	115:11	154:1,4,7	19 17:3,	305:18
157:23		159:16,	20 315:15	308:5
167:18 182:6	licensure	17,21	318:21	320:12
186:25	70:12	160:11	litigations	321:9
100.25		242:11	11:10	



December 01, 2022

Index: lessen..locations

	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (			December 01, 202 lex: lodgedmakii
324:22	longest	215:2,25	30:14	72:17,18
odged	157:2	216:23	Maddox	92:2
288:23	297:1	lower	56:1	101:11
200.25	longitudina	276:3		120:9
og	1		made	132:16
81:11	84:1,3	lowest	103:17	136:14
129:2	92:3	214:24	126:2	138:25
ogical	92.5	LRE	127:23	147:11,12
172:1	looked	60:25	133:12	151:8
	88:6	101:14	171:20	154:2
ogistics	159:6	179:6,15	175:14	160:8
229:23	165:9	180:7,10	177:10	172:10
ong	171:15	181:5,22	193:1	183:19
14:8	206:4	· ·	289:25	193:7
23:17	263:1	183:21	323:3	194:17
36:3	274:24	184:1,4		197:8
	276:7	186:13,18	magical	203:14
42:9,18	291:4	192:10,25	151:5	211:21
52:25	298:9	193:15	main	213:14
75:20		217:16,17	66:22	216:15
121:13	loop	220:10	315:7	257:15
126:6	52:13	251:14		259:18
156:5,13,	281:24	257:13,25	maintain	266:1,13
25 175:12	losing	259:12,19	50:2	298:2
208:5,13	276:11	278:11,16	211:5	
248:18		280:15,	maintaining	319:14
249:4	lot	20,25	211:17	326:19
252:17	41:12	LSCI		331:7
265:24	55:8	120:15	maintenance	Maker
293:9	61:22	155:11	322:24	149:9
294:2,16	138:5		majority	malead
295:10,	156:20	lunch	157:25	makes
17,21	167:5	157:6,7,	158:2,3	121:16
298:11	168:19	8,9 172:3	266:20,	147:6
321:20	170:13	284:4,19	24,25	172:2
ong-term	177:18	lunch/	267:1	304:11
59:4,6	189:19	breakfast	272:25	333:13
59:4,0	194:11	284:12	272.25	makeup
onger	231:21	204:12	make	200:13
64:4	253:2	lunches	12:5	, ,
143:8	265:16	157:9	20:25	making
144:9,11	275:8	lunchroom	27:10,13,	28:5
156:18	326:9	284:22	15,21,24	124:23
170:21		204;22	28:5	125:8
225:10	Lovett		30:14	186:10
283:22	294:1	M	40:21	241:25
284:24	low		50:3 51:1	277:11
286:25	60:8		52:7	324:20



JINITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA			index: managementmeet		
management	82:9 93:6	17,19	mastery	118:15	
30:10,17	104:5	238:11,13	35:22	141:19	
76:6,17	123:11	243:19,22	matched	190:1	
92:24	139:20	244:16,18	212:2	195:3	
93:15,21	147:23	247:4,6,8	Z1Z:Z	208:9	
94:3,15,	158:11	250:8,10	materials	269:23	
18	160:20	255:8,10,	51:2	330:16	
205:17,24	164:18	12	121:5	moant	
206:5,9	175:20	256:16,	math	meant 206:16	
252:13	182:11	18,20	25:14		
managa	191:22	261:17,	133:5	332:10	
manager	207:2	20,22	149:8,9	measured	
39:16	224:18	263:22,25	151:21	32:5	
106:5,9	227:16	264:2	233:11	measures	
174:20	238:8	270:23,25	239:25	254:6	
maneuvering	244:13	273:7,9	239:25 242:7	404:0	
84:22	250:5	276:15,	2 <b>4</b> 2 <b>:</b> /	Medicine	
manifostins	270:20	17,19	matter	55:22	
manifesting	273:4	281:11,	9:4 11:10	56:3	
120:5	293:18	13,15	14:24	117:12	
Mankin	312:11	287:11,	127:6	155:8	
323:24		13,15	Mattox	meet	
manner	marked	290:7,10,	117:11	14:6,8,10	
151:7	15:2,5	12		38:4	
	23:4,7	293:21,23	maximize	51:21	
manual	62:7,10	298:18,	275:4	52:4,9	
98:8	82:12,14	20,22	Mccullum	61:25	
99:3,5	93:9,12	301:6,9	270:3,5	66:18	
252:7,9,	104:8,10	305:23		69:17	
16 253:1,	123:14,17	306:1,3	Mcelwee	75:4 86:8	
4,7	139:23,25	312:12,	249:9	102:11,12	
254:22,24	148:1,3	15,17,19,	Mcgaha	145:19	
manually	158:14,16	21,23	271:4	161:17	
235:4	160:23,25	327:17,		162:1	
	164:21,23	20,22	Mcneely	163:13	
March	171:2,4,6		143:7	182:5	
123:22	175:23,25	markers	Meadows	184:1,5	
124:11	182:14,16	297:11,20	302:10	184:1,5	
128:6	191:25	mascot	moaning	193:21	
195:15	192:2	190:7	meaning 137:24	205:8	
244:20,22	202:6,8,	magtor		212:3	
247:10,11	10 207:5,	master	143:15	212:3	
250:12,13	8 208:24	31:11	189:6	223:18	
255:14	209:1,3	master's	250:19	248:23	
316:7	224:21,23	24:3,13	means	248:23 259:12	
mark	227:19,21	143:21,24	11:24		
23:1 62:5	236:15,	144:10	90:8	297:24	



December 01, 2022

Index: management..meet

ONTILE OTATIE	0 10 0 17 11 2 01 1	3E01(0), (	111467	meetingmetro
324:6	206:3	260:19	25 45:11,	118:9
meeting	218:24,25	mentioned	14,21	119:6,17
16:20,22	224:4,7	33:3	46:1,7,	120:14
17:2,6,	248:12	38:20	11,15	122:12,
10,14,19	252:14	41:11	47:6,9,14	15,19
61:12	285:7	48:2 50:5	48:18,22	128:12
64:21	325:3	53:13	49:3,7,	130:18
103:20	Melanie	67:18	13,16,17	131:20
181:20	9:16	70:17	50:9,11,	132:2
189:25	332:5	117:16	13,14	135:8,15
199:23,24		155:14	51:8,11,	136:18
200:12	meltdowns	195:16	14,15,20	140:2,12
223:14,21	194:16	197:16	52:4,15,	
229:22	member	221:1	20 53:20,	
230:4,9,	333:16	250:25	24 54:4,	149:13
14,15		267:3	19 55:4,	150:3
232:19	members	311:20	13 56:12	156:1
237:4	139:4	324:22	60:11,15	157:23
245:19,21	166:3,11	332:16	61:2	158:4
247:11	201:11		62:14,21	160:14,17
250:13	203:10	mentions	63:1,19,	162:7
260:21,23	249:7,19,	64:11	24 64:18	167:8
264:7	20 333:14	message	65:20	170:14,17
273:19,23	memo	129:19	66:15	173:20,24
274:15	271:14	messed	67:23	175:6,9
319:14	Memorandum	272:13	68:10	179:2
323:22	169:1	2/2:13	70:20	182:8,19
324:13,		met	71:9	184:6,17,
20,24	mental	180:4	72:12,22	25
325:1,9,	20:10	183:23	76:9	185:10,
14,17	61:15	201:10	77:20	17,23
326:7	100:6,16	217:11	78:3 83:6	
330:14	194:15	247:17	85:10	187:11,19
meetings	275:6	248:4	89:3	190:25
27:18,20	278:25	metric	91:11	191:5
51:14,17	280:8,13	241:9	92:24	192:4,8,
52:5	309:4,6,		97:4	15 196:24
53:4,14,	15,25	metro	98:13	197:2,21
18,19,21,	310:8	9:15 18:9	102:24	198:25
22,25	327:10	23:15,18	104:18,21	199:25
54:2,11,	mentally	25:19,24,	105:3	209:5,7,
12,15	278:13	25 26:12	106:2,3 109:15	22 210:6, 12 211:4
73:16,18	mention	27:6,9	110:21,25	213:2,18
75:10,10	29:16	30:18	110:21,25	213:2,10
10,12	31:25	32:20	112:8,12	214:19
122:4	248:3	33:23	114:2	18 217:4
	210.5	37:16,24,	117, <u>0</u>	10 21/.4



December 01, 2022 Index: meeting..metro

	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (		December 01, 20 Index: Metro'smon	
218:5,7,	317:20	128:8	133:20	109:16
21 219:5	318:7	285:6	134:17	110:10,1
221:3,14,	320:11	!-	156:14,17	163:9
16,20	321:4,15	Mike	157:10	205:8
223:20,25	327:4,13	288:7	232:21	260:3
224:13	332:18,22	miles	233:1	267:10
227:14	333:1	20:8 57:5	296:2	268:2,6,
229:21			325:1,15	15 269:1
230:8	Metro's	milestone	332:7	12
231:24	49:19	88:20	333:24	276:11,1
235:12,22	133:8	150:2		
240:24	183:3	328:2	missing	monitor
241:12,14	198:1	milestones	178:23	27:20,25
264:9,11	209:14	151:12	200:25	28:1 32:
265:8	210:19	328:14,	277:16	34:18
266:4,14	273:1	19,22	mixed	77:23
267:17,18	307:11	329:4,22	114:20	86:11
	330:7	331:3		133:5
271:25	Metro_temp_		model	197:5,7
272:16	002628	Milledgevil	30:10,17	217:23
278:19	301:21	le	31:2,21	218:8,21
282:1	501.21	250:14	35:19	219:11
286:16,17	Metro_temp_	Miller	102:4	monitored
287:1	005047	249:10	118:12	243:11
290:22	192:7		119:13	243.11
292:2,19,	Michael	million	127:12	monitoring
20 293:8,	294:9	266:7	136:1	28:4 29:
11,14,17	201.0	272:4,9,	150:21	213:6
295:9,20	Michele	16,22	185:13	242:8
296:15,18	229:13	mind	modeling	Monkey
297:2,8,	mid	247:22	126:25	250:19
9,12,18	225:24	261 . 2		
298:5,24	233:17	Mindset	modificatio	month
299:11		120:15	ns	51:18,19
300:4	middle	154:25	294:20	53:6
301:16	12:24	minimum	323:2	54:16
302:6	43:2,4,5,	75:14	modified	68:14
303:23,24	9,18	118:14,20	125:23	118:21,2
304:8,12	44:22	127:8	126:1	119:4
305:14,19	112:18	163:1	173:16	156:2,4
306:7,10,	242:21	193:22		157:24
25 307:3,		220:20	module	158:5
15 308:2,	294:2,16		35:14	186:21
6,14	295:10,	minute	moment	220:21
309:9	17,22	156:15	132:1	277:21,2
311:23	321:2	minutes		321:22
314:22	midway	13:5 14:9	money	monthly
316:7	mranay		50:22	шопспту



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (			ecember 01, 202 dex: monthsnor
53:21,22	275:24	45:12	264:4,17	14,17,23
122:4	287:7	46:12	273:11	279:18
	295:10,21	57:15	281:17	280:5
onths	301:11	73:18	288:4,7	295:11
10:17	331:8	84:25	313:11,20	
16:16		90:9,12	314:15,23	Neely
51:19	moved	128:23	316:5,18	229:14,20
108:12,13	102:1	177:23	317:13,23	230:4
196:16	191:2	191:19	319:6	network
oral	232:10	203:15		16:3,10,
239:14	287:4	216:20	named	24 19:11,
	291:8	241:5	321:10	24 20:3,4
orehouse	293:11	241:5	names	104:13
55:21	301:4	museum	96:9	256:9
56:3	moving	285:11,	242:25	261:15
117:12	68:23	15,21	242:25	201:13
155:8		286:4,5,		Nevermind
ornina	91:2	7,13	311:5	107:5
orning	117:8	,	nature	
9:25 10:1	119:16		11:9 18:4	news
202:20	122:7	N	274:17	275:12
245:25	128:15		317:25	Nicole
264:18	130:6	Nakeba	322:19	324:1
328:16	152:1	17:9	331:3	
orris	167:13	82:16		NM
9:14	176:25	93:13	Neal	83:8
11:13,16	180:21	134:6	202:13	182:22
13:25	193:5	158:18	256:22	277:12
172:4	203:19	161:2	necessarily	279:15,19
315:7	213:2,14		154:1	nod
313.7	217:16	164:25	185:18	12:4
OU	230:8	174:19	100:10	12.1
161:3,6,	252:18	176:2	needed	non-gnets
12,14	253:16	182:18	38:3,19	193:14,23
162:10,22	268:7,16	196:1,12,	39:21	normalized
169:1,4,	276:9	19	40:25	37:14
8,9,13,	278:7	202:12,	120:22	37.14
14,19	316:4	20,24	146:21	normed
•	319:5	207:9	148:22	133:10,11
ove	319:5	236:22	167:11	152:14
46:5	MTSS	237:2	200:12	
100:23	152:7	238:15	227:5,25	north
101:23	M1 + -	244:20	229:2	9:15 18:9
103:19	Multi-	247:10		23:15,17
179:14	tiered	250:12	230:25	25:19
198:17	152:2	255:14,21	246:13,23	26:11
230:14,19	154:9	256:23	248:13	27:6,9
232:18	multiple	261:24	253:18	30:18
266:2		201.24	254:5,9,	32:19



TED STATES	LIFIELD, PH.D. vs STATE OF GI	EORGIA		e <mark>cember 01, 2</mark> ortheastnumb
33:22	118:8	214:18	14 309:9	notificati
45:11,14,	119:6,17	215:1,7,	311:23	n
21,25	120:14	18 217:4	314:22	108:24
46:7,11,	122:12,	218:5,7,	316:6	109:14,2
15,20,22	15,19	21 219:5	317:19	
47:9	130:18	221:3,13,		November
48:18,21		15,19	320:11	176:3,9
49:3,7,	132:2		321:4,15	275:22
11,13,16,	133:7	224:13	327:4,13	327:24
	135:8,15	227:14	330:7	number
14 51:15		229:21		46:17
52:15,20		230:8	Northeast	48:3,6,2
53:24	144:12	231:24	9:7	56:17
54:4,18			Northwest	60:19
55:4,13		240:24	33:24	61:1 97:
56:12	150:3	241:12,14	34:2,7,9,	98:23
57:5,8,		264:9,11	10,22	99:15,20
17,20,22		265:8	35:2 36:1	110:17
60:11,15	158:4	266:4,14	<b>37</b>	112:11,
61:2	160:14,17	•	Nos	12,16
62:14,20	162:7	271:25	119:21	151:5
63:1,19,	167:8		note	207:15
24 64:18	170:14,17		85:8	209:7
65:20	173:20,24	278:19	130:4	219:22
66:6,15		282:1	180:12	221:2
67:23	179:2		315:9	233:3
68:9	182:8,19	287:1	318:14	255:25
70:20	183:3	290:22	319:13	267:12,1
71:9,16,		292:2,19,	notebook	301:24
17 72:12,	24	20 293:8,	159:22,25	302:15,
22 76:9	185:10,	11,17	159:22,25	20,22
77:20	17,23	295:9,20	notebooks	303:19,2
78:3 83:6		296:14,18	199:14,19	304:7
85:9 89:3			noted	308:9
91:11	190:25	9,12,18	200:15	329:11
92:24	191:5	298:5,24		330:2
97:4	192:4,7,	299:11	notes	
98:13	8,14	300:4	72:25	numbers
102:24	196:24	301:16,21	228:23	55:14
104:18,21	197:2,21,	302:6	307:25	56:16
105:3	25 198:24		notice	61:3
106:2	199:25	304:8,12		95:16
109:15	209:5,7,	305:14,19		111:19
110:21,25	14,22	306:7,10,		112:1,3
112:8,12		25 307:2,	171:9,15,	278:25
113:7,12	19 211:4		21	279:2
114:2	213:2,18	308:2,6,		303:20



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (			December 01, 20 Index: nurseord
327:9	184:21	occurs	ongoing	201:10,18
urse	199:10	67:5	34:15	209:23
116:21,25	279:18,25	297:15	122:5	210:3,22
110:21,25	288:16	October	124:25	215:24
urses	observe	158:18	125:3	onomations
116:9,13,			126:25	operations
17,18,19	245:10	159:1	135:9	253:1,3
	observed	195:14	138:8,15	opinion
	320:13	264:4	170:10,17	178:6
0	Observers	offer	285:17	opinions
	132:8	66:15	324:13	178:11
akland	132:0	72:4	325:9	1/8:11
302:9	obtain	176:18,20		opportuniti
ath	24:8 25:6	o 6 6 a m a d	online	es
11:25	50:16	offered	25:15	90:17
11:25	99:4	65:5	83:14	oppostupit.
bjections	-1	67:16	85:15,17,	opportunity
13:22	obtained	71:23	21,23	13:9
biogtino	87:18	73:1	86:6	218:19
bjective 209:21	occasion	145:9	159:21,24	245:7
	157:1,17	212:18	211:15	331:22
210:21		offering	253:8	opt
bjectives	occasions	135:9	onsite	171:23
86:10	172:19	177:1	198:20,25	
136:5	195:16		199:3,7	option
219:4	241:12	offers	200:22	169:3
242:7	occupied	72:5	305:12	options
. 1. 1	294:22	offhand	303:12	223:16
bligated		224:16	OPB	229:4
196:24	occur	296:21	274:2,5,	251:4
observation	68:13,16		12	274:1
125:16,	75:12,15	office	open	
18,21,24	166:8	11:4 83:7	148:13,22	oral
126:2,24	217:16	103:11	291:1	12:7
132:9,13,	330:11	274:6,10	291.1	order
19 135:25	occurred	295:4	operating	12:3,5
223:3	47:22	310:11	35:2	51:6
230:10	321:21	officer	266:5,14	79:20
232:1	323:18	49:24	operation	81:11
			252:7,9,	86:11
bservation	occurrence	official	16	129:13
3	322:8	52:23		146:23
33:18	323:11	Oglethorpe	254:22,24	147:1
35:18	occurring	116:23	operational	161:19
38:4	78:18		29:19	175:9
122:8	217:15	one-on-one	31:19	197:25
127:11	238:24	61:21	92:3	198:12
132:10		292:14	198:8	170.12



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (			December 01, 202 Index: orderedpa
216:7	289:6	packages	137:17	213:22,24
223:8,10	outlining	261:9	166:14	214:3
240:17	outlining		167:14	216:17
304:19	203:16	packet	168:14,	217:20
327:5	overpass	222:21,25	16,20,22	218:25
	284:17	223:11	169:23	223:13
ordered	011011111111111111111111111111111111111	230:17,18	253:16	232:4,6,
147:17	oversaw	262:12,	260:19	15 282:9
ordinarily	40:10	18,22,25	284:10	284:6
58:5	oversee	263:19		308:20
	26:24	279:15	parameters	
regon	27:10	pages	179:13	part
190:22	30:2	112:10	parapro	11:9
organizatio	49:20,22	139:2	37:8	19:12,13
1			59:16	22:12
71:2	overseeing	paid	216:10	27:6 29:9
117:16	205:13	163:12		32:11
	overt	164:7	paraprofess	42:1
organized	120:6	169:14	ionals	48:18
20:18		234:19,23	26:15	53:2,7
231:16	overview	259:24	112:13,17	56:3
ΣT	17:17	panel	292:6	72:23
257:21	62:18	145:2	parapros	110:16
260:7	63:1	143.2	33:2	122:5
285:7	221:18	panels	56:21	127:24
	229:15	146:10	59:21	153:5,7
ourself	Owen	paper		154:20,21
212:22	324:1	79:4	215:24	155:15,18
outclass	326:1	105:12	216:4,24	188:25
194:4	320.1	105:12	paras	198:19
1)4·4	owned	paper/	26:6 55:9	
outcome	56:9	pencil	59:21	202:3
108:24	230:23	191:7		208:18
178:3	286:19	205:5	parent	211:11
Outcomes			72:17,18	220:18
214:10	_	paperwork	213:19	030 5
214.10	P	17:24	291:16,19	240:14
outlay		222:16	292:1,21	241:17
294:19	p.m.	par	parental	
outline	139:14,17	152:19	72:7	242:7,12
246:2	172:5,8			274:9
240:2	243:13,16	para	parents	282:6
outlined	296:8,11	216:3,11	62:19	284:2
219:8	331:18,23	292:14	100:10	286:9
256:5	334:5,8	paragraph	102:24	289:23,25
outlines		87:5	152:17	290:1
	package	89:7,10	211:14,20	
283:1	147:13	128:9	212:7	309:5



NITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			Index: part-timepersona	
partner	269:11	138:12	212:24	
90:10	280:11	146:9,11	248:9	
			298:8	
_				
			periodic	
286:17	269:9		82:4	
pass	pavroll		periods	
_		perceive	99:18	
		279:4	33.10	
-		nercent	permanent	
87:9		_	77:25	
nast			permissible	
_	122:20		161:22	
	pays		101.22	
	51:11		permission	
	234:11		100:13	
	268:22			
			person	
			35:20	
268:5,15		304:20	39:4 43:3	
paste		nercentage	52:1,2	
-	135:12	_	57:2	
103.13	152:6		105:6	
Pat	155:14,18		106:2	
261:24	186:25	305:14	121:2,10	
288:3,6,	187:3,5,	perfect	124:4	
14 294:10	14,18,22	145:19	143:10	
319:7	190:5,12,	-	144:3	
		_	147:1	
-			191:10	
284:21	-	242:13	208:9	
pattern	262:2	performed	248:24	
113:8	Peachtree	-	270:1,6,	
115:13,21			12 280:17	
·		-		
	peers		person's	
	18:2	153:9	140:17	
	193:3	neriod	141:4	
	nendina	_	personal	
280:11			40:14	
nav	103:4		40:14	
	people		personality	
	26:3		31:14	
	35:22		mamac===11	
	54:18		personally	
	56:9 73:6		19:4	
171:23	78:24	190:10	136:25	
259:17 268:3,10	129:21	193:9	137:4 230:11	
	<pre>partner     90:10  parts     127:18     286:17  pass     145:7  password     87:9  past     60:5,6     83:17     133:15     134:20     239:9     240:25     268:5,15  paste     105:13  Pat     261:24     288:3,6,     14 294:10     319:7  path     284:21  pattern     113:8     115:13,21     116:8     327:7  Paul     259:17     280:11  pay     50:17     162:23     164:5,12     169:3</pre>	partner       269:11         90:10       280:11         parts       paying         127:18       162:19         286:17       269:9         pass       payroll         145:7       54:24         password       70:20         87:9       113:16,19         past       122:20         60:5,6       83:17       51:11         133:15       234:11       234:11         133:15       234:11       234:11         239:9       PBIS       268:22         240:25       PBIS         268:5,15       21:22         paste       135:12       152:6         Pat       155:14,18         261:24       186:25         288:3,6       187:3,5         14 294:10       14,18,22         319:7       190:5,12         path       262:2         path       262:2	partner         269:11         138:12           90:10         280:11         146:9,11           parts         paying         177:19,23           127:18         162:19         200:4           286:17         269:9         200:4           286:17         269:9         249:11           pass         payroll         perceive           145:7         54:24         279:4           password         70:20         percent           87:9         113:16,19         31:18           past         122:20         72:24           60:5,6         pays         134:16           83:17         51:11         150:23,25           133:15         234:11         151:1           134:20         234:11         151:1           134:20         268:22         259:13           240:25         PBIS         269:20           268:5,15         21:22         304:20           paste         135:12         188:20,21           105:13         152:6         percentage           105:13         152:6         perfect           28:3,6         187:3,5         perfect           14 294:10	



December 01, 2022

	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF GI	EORGIA		ecember 01, 202 ersonnelplanne
personnel	263:16	158:11,	287:11,	20 203:2,
117:2	Pilot	13,17	12,16	6,8,21,25
144:13	262:1	160:20,22	290:8,9,	204:15,
166:17	202:1	161:1	13 291:10	18,21
167:3	Pinnell	164:19,	293:19,	205:11,
332:14	149:8	20,24	20,24	17,25
persons	pizza	171:2,3,7	298:18,	206:5,7,
260:21	284:6	175:20,22	19,23	9,13,21
200:21	204.0	176:1	301:6,8	207:11,
perspective	$\mathtt{PL}$	182:12,	305:23,25	13,24
78:17	137:15,24	13,17	306:4	208:11,16
80:14	248:13	191:22,24	312:13,	209:6,12,
280:10	place	192:3	14,17,18,	13,15,21
Peter	47:16	202:6,7,	21,22,25	214:11,
259:16	53:1	11 207:3,	313:2,4,	16,20
280:11	211:16	4,8	6,9 316:4	221:9
200.11	218:15	208:24,25	318:15	222:13
Peterson	232:11	209:4	319:5	239:16
273:24	280:24	224:19,	327:18,	240:21
PGM004		20,24	19,23	241:18,21
96:23	placement	227:17,	1	243:9,12
	103:3	18,22	plan	246:6,13,
Ph.d.	177:9	236:15,	32:7,13	20
9:19	178:17	16,20	36:17	247:11,
142:20	183:21	238:9,10,	37:2,4,7	19,20
268:2	223:16	14	42:7	248:17
phones	229:4	243:18,22	134:5	251:19
214:6	placements	244:13,	136:5	252:4,18
	63:12,22	15,19	153:6,8	253:2,19
physical	64:12,13	247:4,5,9	162:16	256 <b>:</b> 25
189:8		250:6,7,	179:15,24	257:16
physically	Plaintiff's	11 255:8,	180:2,3,	261:7,8
77:24	15:1,5	9,13	14,18	265:20
78:3	23:2,3,7	256:16,	182:19	266:3
304:21	62:6,10	17,21	183:4,7,	282:6
	82:9,11,	261:18,	13,16,18	294:18
picture	15 93:6,	19,23	186:8,15	319:15,18
87:21	8,12	263:23,24	195:17,	333:9
piece	104:5,7,	264:3	19,20,25	
154:23,25	11	270:21,22	196:9,12,	Plan_draft_
156:12	123:12,	271:1	17,20,22,	r
	13,17	273:5,6,	25 197:3,	182:23
pieces	132:2	10	7,14,19	Plan july
203:16	139:21,22	276:15,	198:1,19	264:12
213:14	140:1	16,20	200:16	
242:9	147:23,25	281:11,	201:22,24	planned
260:3	148:4	12,16	202:1,15,	217:12



NITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA		December 01, 202 Index: planningprevious		
lanning	288:19	41:15	ppt	321:4,14,
71:23	298:4	58:18	262:4	19 324:4
100:11	301:1	114:4		325:18
198:17	310:15	139:4	practice	326:6
250:21	318:1	140:17	145:22	330:18,22
255:15		144:15	205:12	
256:1	points	145:2,8,	221:6	presentatio
274:6	155:20	9,18,23	practices	n
311:10	188:1,8,	146:14	210:24	73:19
311.10	20,21	268:7,16,	211:10	presented
olans	190:3,20	20 269:7	212:15	80:6
36:7 38:7	191:6		212.15	174:22
170:2	194:20	315:15	preceded	1/4:22
218:13		318:21	228:12	presenting
221:6,10	populated	332:22	prefer	167:20
	98:5	positions	269:25	
olatform	population	55:12	269:25	president
95:16	19:20	113:25	preliminary	248:19
131:9	29:25	117:9	109:11	249:5
150:1	37:14	118:1	110:1	presidents
191:4	62:2	153:21	271:5,13,	248:7
234:10	189:13		20	
239:16	213:24	269:15		pretty
1	213:24	positive	preparation	98:24
olay	populations	21:23	14:11,17	232:10
18:16	38:18	36:5	prepare	235:17
69:11		210:9	14:4	327:16
270:10	portal	211:5	299:4	
olayed	76:9	239:11		prevent
204:17	80:22	233.11	322:3	91:10
	81:2,7,	post	prepared	prevented
olays	11,15,18	144:15	140:8	63:21
69:18,21	84:5	nost-		
ooint	95:19,23,	post-	prescribes	preventions
12:17	25 96:7	secondary	233:25	120:11
40:11	102:22	304:5	presence	previous
	105:8,10,	posted	320:4	107:11,
95:17	13,14	144:19		16,23
130:9	131:10		present	174:8
132:7	199:17	pot	17:6	178:22
160:1		267:10,11	46:6,18	179:3
172:2	portion	potential	77:19	
179:20	132:9	275:14	174:17	294:15
181:20	319:21		242:13	318:12
189:17	portions	potentially	260:23	previously
	_	311:2	261:14	19:7
190:19	// '/ • Ь			
	47:5	Dower		42:15
190:19	47:5 position	<b>Power</b> 87:8	304:22 305:15	42:15 47:13



December 01, 2022

	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (	SEORGIA	December 01, 202 Index: primarilyprogra		
178:15	privacy	72:7	product	32:8,20	
319:25	301:25	109:22	39:16	33:23	
		126:17		34:10,13,	
rimarily	private	144:12,	professiona 1	23 35:2	
169:25	228:25	22,23	_	36:2	
rincipal	229:6	145:11	24:23	40:4,11	
323:23	231:12	163:21	34:14,20,	41:18	
325:20	311:8	167:15	22,25	42:11	
	privilege	173:6,22	35:4,6,7,	45:11,19	
rincipals	13:23	174:12	12,25	46:4,5,	
67:6	313:12	175:8,9	41:13	11,15	
136:10,19	315:11,25	198:20,22	90:22,24	47:7,9	
305:18	316:8	200:16	122:6	48:22	
324:21	317:4,7	213:6	125:1	49:4,7,13	
rior	318:17		137:24		
47:25	320:2,3	214:5	150:10	50:9,14	
86:19	320:2,3	221:18	208:19	51:4,15	
90:14	privileged	222:15,17	6	52:20	
107:6	314:8	230:19,20	professiona	54:19	
		231:14	lly	55:4,22	
108:15	privileges	232:8	150:6,17	56:2	
167:1	155:21	241:21,23	151:4	60:11,16	
199:2	187:8	243:9	professor	61:3	
204:17	188:10,	246:18	121:12	62:18,21	
205:25	16,17,22	274:2,8		63:19,24	
243:11	problem	277:11	proficiency	64:18	
256:5	33:16	318:5	121:6	66:15	
277:13	305:7	332:24	proficient	67:17,22,	
281:7	303.7	333:11	329:13,14	24 69:16	
291:3	problematic			72:13	
295:16	125:14	processes	330:4,5	73:9	
315:20	problems	222:11	331:9	80:15	
316:2	39:9	produce	profile	90:8	
318:25		315:19	73:14	92:24	
320:8	128:13,16	320:7			
323:20	130:5	520.7	profiles	94:21,23	
324:8	189:14	produced	73:20	95:1	
325:3	procedure	18:19	program	98:1,2,5,	
	226:3,8	94:9	16:4,6,7,	17,23	
riorities	-	104:22	11 18:9,	101:4	
214:20,23	proceed	140:2,4	11,23	102:1,2,	
rioritize	13:17	192:4	19:8,10,	3,13,14	
198:13	229:22	301:15	17,19	106:5,9,	
1)U.IJ	231:1	303:2	20:4	11 109:21	
riority	process	315:25	23:15	116:20,21	
215:20,23	19:22	318:25		118:11	
216:23	35:5		25:12,19	121:21	
217:5,10	50:16	producing	27:6,9,	133:14	
•	20:10	221:25	10,12	140:3	



UNITED STATES	VS STATE OF	GLONGIA	ilidex. p	nogram sprovide
143:16,	297:13	237:21	151:2	19:14
21,24	299:25	238:24	promise	26:7
149:9	301:16	240:3	332:6	33:19
167:6	302:21	255:25	332:0	35:13,15
169:17	304:3	256:4	prompted	44:18
173:20	308:25	258:19	161:14	55:8
174:20	309:2,3,	265:5	177:15	58:14
178:8	5,17,19,		263:4	61:21
181:3	20 312:3,		282:4	63:9
185:17	4,5	275:14	293:1	69:23
186:10,12	315:14	276:11	317:6	70:3,4
187:15,17	316:19	279:22		71:7
191:4	317:20	286:1	proper	90:16
192:5,15	318:7,20	302:7	186:5	91:3
195:10	320:12	307:7	proportion	100:8,13
196:3	330:2	315:16	304:20	118:17
197:5,13,		318:22		120:17
15,18	program's	329:8	proportiona	124:25
	90:6	349:0	te	
198:10,25	332:13	programs/	267:13	126:13,20
201:9	programmati	materials	proposal	132:17
	C	212:3	275:19	135:25
206:12	52:10	progress		136:18
207:23	52:10	27:21	propose	138:4,8
208:3	programs		44:11	149:1
214:2	20:6,12,	28:4,5,22	proposed	151:15
217:12	13,17	29:1 32:8	171:20	154:16,24
218:1	28:18	75:4,7,12	176:11	155:6
219:7,13,	41:21,24	86:11	178:23	165:25
16,19	43:2,23,	124:23	180:13	173:4,14,
220:9,17	24 44:1,	125:8,13	275:18	20,25
224:14	4,6,14	133:5	294:24	174:25
225:23,25	63:5,9	193:2,7		177:9
229:8	85:4 91:4	197:5	pros	179:10
231:20	92:4	213:6	216:3	181:2,21
234:14	109:15,20	218:25	prospective	182:3
241:5,12	118:14	219:3	20:2	184:17,
259:14	134:13	242:8	111:20	21,25
264:20	153:10	296:22		185:10
278:19	168:8	progresses	protect	198:16
280:12	173:2	187:8	301:25	205:7
283:18	176:20		protocol	207:22
286:8	187:13	project	193:13,17	212:19
290:22	204:23	205:17,24	219:7	220:22
292:3	232:7	206:5,8	279:15	222:4
293:8,17	234:2,20,	project-		229:15
295:4,21	25 235:10		provide	237:8,9
296:15			18:10	241:13



December 01, 2022 Index: program's..provide

ONTIED CITTLES VS CITTLE OF GEORGIA		mack: provided::i dtine		
242:25	285:9	psychiatris	262:12	pump
243:6	298:24	t	327:1	126:23
259:11,14	306:16	56:1	psychology	purchase
275:11	307:2	117:12	24:5	51:6
277:20	309:7,13	psychiatris	24:5	159:17
278:9	310:11,16	ts	psychometri	239:17
279:16,	provider	155:9	cally	240:10,18
18,21	100:12	155:9	254:6	240:10,10
280:6,14	155:4	Psycho	PT	purchased
285:1,10,	155:4	43:24	257:21	160:12,13
18 286:10	providers	psychologic	260:7	purchasing
288:9	152:17	al		159:5,15
306:25	153:22	155:9	public	
309:4,15	providing		22:6	purpose
310:8,23	34:21,25	psychologis	30:23	52:5
326:25	36:5	t	31:3,4	62:17
327:7	38:17	57:1,6,8	42:16	183:17
provided	41:4	69:21	43:7,14	265:20
15:13	69:14	112:25	45:22	purposes
35:4 36:1		113:1	46:20,24	11:13
55:7	135:4,15	115:6	47:4,10,	194:25
62:21	148:19	117:20,21		
69:4	161:20	142:9,16,		pursue
77:11	163:8	22 200:11	·	304:5
87:6,9	169:2	224:8	85:18	pushback
100:6	176:12	psychologis	116:23	179:6,7,
113:21	185:17	ts	175:13	11
150:24,25	255:24	26:18,19	184:14	
150:24,25	275:7	27:3	185:6	put
167:24	277:18	30:21	239:11	134:5
170:20,21	278:3,24		publish	135:23 195:20
175:7	279:22	56:20,22,	94:10	
184:13	280:19,21	23 57:14		216:8
185:5,23		66:17	pull	245:25
186:3	provisional	67:3	65:2	253:4
191:13	143:11,13	69:5,10	90:10	260:2
192:13	144:5	70:4	pull-out	286:1,2 315:8
194:24	provisional	114:24	185:5,11	315:8
197:25	ly	115:1,5,	pulled	344:44
205:8	144:4	8,9	96:24	Putman
206:4,13		117:23	96:24 142:14	25:20,21,
209:17	PSC	119:2		22 51:22
212:5,12	70:15	141:23	232:5	271:4
212:3,12	psychiatric	142:4	252:12	321:6
238:23	55:22,23	143:4	pulling	332:17
251:5	56:2	151:24	257:23	333:2
	275:10		280:7	



December 01, 2022 Index: provided..Putman

puts	260:4		261:24	ranked
105:2	298:3	R	264:4	147:1
268:19	305:17		265:7,13	rate
putting	question/	Rahming	273:11,22	133:16
194:19	concern/	17:9	281:17,24	134:20
	recommendat	82:16,23	282:5,14	183:25
	ion	86:19,25	288:4	184:4
Q	257:18	87:5	289:2,3	197:12
		88:22	313:11,20	276:3
QBE	Questionnai	89:6	314:11,	300:24
99:11	re	93:13	19,23	
275:21,25	153:2	158:18	316:5,18,	rated
276:2,10	questions	159:1,13,	22	209:22
	11:19	20 161:2,	317:13,23	210:6,12,
qualificati	13:13,16	10,15	319:6	15,21,25
ons	29:14	164:25	Rahming's	211:4,13,
150:10	83:6	165:9,13	171:14	25
qualified	132:4	167:1	203:12	212:10,22
150:6,18	145:3	169:10	205:10	213:2,18
151:4	146:18	171:8	265:1	215:2,24
170:23	176:12	174:19,25	315:22	216:23
	202:21	176:2,9,		rates
qualitative	205:7	16 180:22	Rains	305:19
101:9,11	226:19	181:8	225:15,20	
quality	331:21	182:18	249:16	rating
290:2	332:8,12	183:4,6	273:12	201:17
question	333:22	196:1,13,	Rains'	203:17
12:14,18,		19	226:1	209:6,25
20,24,25	quick	202:12,24		213:10,21
	153:8	203:10	raise	ratings
13:7,9	207:19	207:10,22	269:4	209:14
46:2	212:24	236:22	raised	214:15
99:12	quickly	237:2,18	174:11	215:3
109:5,13 138:24	228:24	238:15	281:6	
	271:23	240:6		raving
146:20		244:20,22	ran	159:4
175:4	quit	245:2,6,	200:7	RBT
176:16	141:20	13,18,24	range	25:4
177:6,8,	quote	246:5,15	156:16	71:7,11
15 206:23	55:14	247:10	157:16	161:3,6,
207:19	228:7		266:12	11,21
219:9		250:1,12,		162:11,
220:1,13	quote-	18,25	rank	12,14,18,
229:5	unquote	254:1,5,	146:23	19,24,25
230:6	223:10	23 255:2,	147:17	163:2,6,
231:17		14,22	198:12	15 164:2,
259:18		256:23		5,13



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (			ecember 01, 20 RBTSrecogn
165:1,3,	83:1	174:23	294:19	280:15
10 166:1,	146:7	177:7	305:20	maginiant
3,18	179:5,14	204:24,25		recipient 255:21
167:16	189:11	226:17	received	255 <b>:</b> 21
169:2,16,	192:25	228:8	86:20	recognize
25 170:15	193:2	231:13,25	97:13	23:8
171:9,15	220:8	232:1,3	119:18	62:11
DEG.	251:14	236:10	200:20	82:20
RBTS	257:7,13	241:25	282:24	93:25
56:10		243:2	292:16	104:16
59:19	real	245:15	receivers	124:1
70:25	92:3	251:24	180:10	140:6
71:8	218:14	252:25	receives	148:17
163:18	reason	253:1		158:22
170:11,24	13:12	291:25	92:8	161:8
171:18,24	36:22,23	292:12,24	receiving	165:6
e-	64:2	297:2	27:11	171:12
rganizatio	77:12	303:18	109:16	176:6
1	103:21	311:4,5,	119:6	183:1
29:18	120:7	19 318:3	167:1,23	192:11
	142:2,12	325:2,16	168:17,24	202:17
e-	211:1	323.2,10	179:21	207:17
raumatize	212:5	receive	180:6	209:9
120:10	213:9,11,	18:9	181:19	225:6
reached	20,22	22:8,19	205:23,25	228:2
225:15	221:3	44:23	251:24	236:24
229:14	230:16	94:20	259:19,21	238:20
231:3	310:6	95:1,4,7	278:5	244:2,25
	310.0	99:19	281:25	247:14
reaching	reasons	100:21	201.25	250:16
226:11	319:24	103:1,18	recent	255:18
Read	rebuilding	109:14	10:16	257:2
149:7	286:2	121:22	148:5	
		156:1	225:9	262:8
reading	recall	160:9	244:21	264:15
25:14	15:17	170:17	275:12	271:10
133:5	17:7,8	186:10	recently	273:16
149:7,8,9	25:17	200:17	263:2	277:1
151:21	45:2,4	223:11	203.2	281:21
175:15	49:6	227:9	recess	290:17
220:14	74:20	255:5	75:25	294:4
233:11	87:25	265:12	172:7	299:2
239:25	98:3	267:24	243:15	302:3
242:7	126:7	268:6,16	296:10	306:13
ready	128:18	275:6	recidivism	313:15,1
44:5	164:11	277:14,22	183:25	316:10
60:24	167:5,11	288:10	184:3	319:8
00.24	-	200.10	T04:2	328:11



NITED STATES	ITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			Index: recognizedreintegration		
recognized	312:24	230:7	64:22	regional		
259:20	315:9	300:24	72:1 75:3	18:11		
11	318:15		103:15,16	20:13		
ecollectio	319:2,25	reference	109:10	21:10		
0.4	331:19,	29:23	135:19	25:11		
94:7	24,25	180:14,18	136:8,13	46:5		
138:21	334:6	204:6	173:5,15	307:7		
237:25		209:7	177:16			
ecommend	recording	240:11	178:7	registered		
146:8	111:21,22	301:20	183:22	24:25		
	records	319:17,18	222:12	166:1,20		
ecommendat	64:6 79:4	referenced	231:19	regular		
on	87:23	166:7	232:2	51:14		
168:12	89:25	169:8	232.2	283:14		
186:2	102:25	177:4	referring	310:16		
260:11	102:23	190:4	80:21,23	310.10		
ecommendat	19,22	233:3	81:9	regularly		
ons	·	263:1	176:17,19	303:23		
147:5	148:13,22		189:20	304:7		
147:5	recruit	274:15	205:4	reimbursed		
ecommended	292:18	references	223:7,13	164:9		
168:11	3	94:3	227:6	164:9		
178:24	red	145:6,7	230:4	reinforced		
180:15	202:21	146:25	237:15	190:15		
272:3,7,	redacted	147:2	239:24			
15,20,23	301:23	188:24	257:10	reinforceme		
		204:12	261:5	nt		
ecommendin	redaction	246:16	262:20	190:22		
	320:1		309:25	191:3		
179:2	reduce	referencing	309:25	reinforceme		
ecord	276:4	53:9	reflect	nts		
9:9 10:8		117:13	247:18	187:9		
12:4	reduction	203:5	reframe	188:9		
30:15	29:19	referral	12:19	189:16		
65:1	31:18	19:22				
75:24	reevaluatio	72:7,18	270:9	reinforcers		
76:2 80:9	n	221:18	refresh	190:12		
92:25	263:11	222:16	94:6	reintegrate		
139:12,		·	237:25	251:13		
15,16,18	refer	227:25	mo fu =			
160:8	75:1	230:17	refused	reintegrati		
	193:10	231:14	232:4,15	ng		
172:6,9	218:10	232:7,11	Regan	180:9		
243:14,17	224:13	261:9	323:23	279:17		
276:23	225:17	referred	325:18	reintegrati		
277:13,17	226:7,15	16:7		on		
295:25	227:2	20:13	region			
296:9,12	229:9	25:4	247:18	179:15,24		
304:12		40.4		180:2,14,		



December 01, 2022

	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (		Index: Reintegrat	ecember 01, 20 ion/transireque
18 181:11	release	renovated	219:1	277:13,18
182:19,22	102:25	282:10	235:4,22	represent
183:3,7,	103:4,19,	284:15	236:4,11	-
9,13,15	22	286:3	237:5,10	10:4
186:7,15		290:3	240:15	239:10
192:20	relevant		241:4,7	301:22
218:13,	25:11	renovations	308:22	315:16
15,18	36:12	294:20	332:17	318:22
251:19	242:3	reorganizat		332:6
252:4	274:7	ion	reported	representat
256:25	relocate	29:22	235:1	ion
257:6,9	294:16	30:8,16	236:8	74:18
261:7		30.0,10	253:20	74.10
	relocated	repeat	307:13,25	representat
264:6,12	295:16	22:11		ive
265:20	remain	48:23	reporter	74:16
Reintegrati	59:22	219:10	11:23	111:15
on/		326:14	12:2 23:1	
transition	169:17		30:14	representat
181:1	278:14	repeats	62:5 82:9	ives
	279:1	113:3	93:6	248:11
relate	remained	rephrase	104:5	represented
228:12	46:17	79:6	123:11	11:13
related		109:12	139:20	248:11
38:18	remaining		147:23	314:23
39:9 41:2	194:5	replaced	158:11	
	314:1	179:25	160:19	representin
137:14	remember	replies	164:18	g
169:9	13:5 88:6	237:18	175:20	315:2
212:12	121:11	237:10	182:11	mograph t
225:10		reply	191:22	request
246:7	152:13	159:20	207:2	41:8 51:8
256:12	205:11	replying	224:18	72:17
260:4	206:1		238:8	103:12
317:16	208:14	317:10		148:21,22
relates	221:7,9	report	244:13	180:23
16:3	229:6	25:18	312:11	181:9
	236:9,11,	26:1,3,5,	reporting	183:8
127:20	13 245:16	15,20,21	135:11	222:20
258:20	249:12,14	27:5 65:1	240:17	229:21
relating	289:4	92:25	241:13	260:20
244:9	331:2	93:19	242:9	261:8
256:9		96:7,24	254:19	279:14
	remembering	97:20		294:15
relationshi	169:18		reports	315:18,21
	233:6	98:13	26:5,9	318:24
169:12	renewal	100:18	219:3	320:6
	162:23	142:14	252:12	324:25
celative		149:17		



MILED STATE	S vs STATE OF (	BLUINGIA	IIIUGA. INGC	quest_nmresur
Request_nm	25:20,23,	179:21	responds	responsibil
148:14	24,25	resisting	86:19	ity
equested	34:7	230:8	159:13	208:9
34:16	37:16,25		response	responsible
103:10	49:17	resolved	13:6	68:1 70:6
250:2	50:11,13	286:24	18:20	169:25
306:8,25	51:9,11,	287:3	62:21	205:13
318:3,9	15,20,23	resource	78:21	203.13
323:16	52:5	150:14	79:2,23	rest
	53:21	151:16	80:2,10	84:7
equesting	54:6	253:8	83:6	261:14
18:10	106:1,3	257:12	104:22	restrictive
230:14	144:16	207,122	140:3,9	63:12,22
equests	212:13	resources	148:21	64:12,13
82:4	321:6	33:25	160:9	100:24
242:24	332:18,	34:3	171:14,17	101:23
244:9	22,23	73:25	177:5,7	102:4,5,
261:9	333:1	90:18	192:5,14	6,14
322:25	RESAS	150:24	202:23	110:18
	54:8	151:11	202:23	186:4
equire	J4.0	160:2	226:14	192:10
63:11	research	208:19	239:4	194:13
237:23	36:14	246:12	245:5	213:7
equired	120:1	253:18	257:5,16	259:15
84:22	240:10	respect	265:12,15	297:17
105:16,20	246:21	47:1	279:9	298:1
156:11	253:11	119:5	288:9	200.1
157:5	255:1,3	221:2	298:25	restructure
167:15,16	research-	227:13	298:25	30:1,22
168:10	based	270:8,10	299:8 301:17	result
183:12	239:18,22			276:10
237:5,15,	253:14	respective	319:20,21	
19 238:1	255.14	30:3	responses	resulting
298:15	reserve	277:12	152:16	29:18
328:24	285:11,17	respond	285:1	results
	reserved	124:15	responsibil	35:15
requirement	13:23	148:22	ities	154:13,15
<b>3</b>		314:11	39:19	236:5
103:8	reside	319:12	40:8	329:12
219:2	162:24		58:22	
equires	residential	responded	144:18	resume
- 61:16,18,	63:11,22,	226:23	162:15	23:11,12
22	25 64:3,	279:5	204:22	29:13
162:11,14	8,14,22	responding	204:22	34:2
	102:14	18:13	206:19	37:15
RESA		287:24	200.10	39:14



UNITED STATES	S VS STATE OF C	SEURGIA	mae	ex: retiredSchollii
145:19,20	264:25	297:8	202:4	239:19
retired	revising	315:5	209:6	240:10
117:22	276 <b>:</b> 6	roles	rule	Samad
142:14		39:18	53:2,8,9,	
225:22	rewards	204:21	11 157:15	326:6
239:8	187:9		168:6,9	
249:14	189:16	roll	172:20,23	sample
	rid	250:25	173:7,10,	242:17
return	37:12	rolled	16,18,21	Samuel
101:17		134:18,24	174:4,7,	256:22
returning	right-hand		9,12,15	
110:22	300:13	rolling	175:7,11,	sat
186:9	rigorous	267:15	15	192:21
278:11	211:18	room	176:11,25	satisfactio
	ringa	283:23	178:24	n
revamping	rings 283:9	324:8	180:13,	281:8
39:20	283:9	***	15,19	Sauls
review	risk	rooms 216:16	239:17	
14:16	152:19		252:13	323:23
91:15	154:15	285:17	259:10	325:18
106:2,5,	219:6,13,	290:5	262:14	save
15,17	15,25	root	263:6,20	31:22
201:1,9	220:4,16	120:4	266:3	savvy
242:18	236:1	Ross	280:6	213:25
244:6	robbing	326:4		
254:7,11	259:16		rules	scale
265:1	280:11	rotated	305:5	188:19
318:4		216:18	run	203:17
reviewed	Robert	rotating	193:11	schedule
14:23	282:15,18	248:7		99:23,25
105:19	role		<b>running</b> 127:25	156:21
108:4	18:16	rotation		157:4,13,
145:3	19:1	249:23	128:1	19
243:5	29:15	roughly	289:8	268:11,
262:11	37:21	196:7		18,19
	40:9,10	round-robin	S	324:16
reviewing	41:3,21,	145:3		325:7
213:6	24 42:9,	145:5	sake	
reviews	10 44:12	Rowland	319:2	scheduled
105:24	49:19	294:9,14		156:8
318:10,13	69:11,18,	rubric	salary	schedules
	22,24	125:12	147:14	323:3,5
revised	204:16	197:4	169:22	Schofill
173:22	248:25	198:5,10	268:3,11,	288:3,6,
174:3,7,	256:7	199:9	18,19,20	14 294:10
12 175:8	270:8,10	201:3,5	sale	14 ZJ4.1U
206:20				



December 01, 2022

Index: retired..Schofill

December 01, 2022 Index: school..scoring

chool	16 103:7	219:1	21,24	120:14
22:17		221:21	321:2,15	
26:17,19		222:2,4,	325:5	167:24
	17,23,24	12,22	326:25	177:10
•	108:2,5,	•		187:13,
22,25	16	224:8,13	school-	20,21,22
•	110:18,23	227:12	based	190:5
	111:10,		21:17	191:16
	12,14,23,		44:4,13	195:10
	25 112:1,		98:10,14,	197:12
13,21,22	4,7,18	231:7,14	22 101:3	225:2,11,
	114:17,18		102:2	17 227:6
			111:7	
	115:6,7,8		118:8	228:5,25
24 41:8,	116:17	241:5	119:14	229:1,4
25 43:2,		242:20,21	308:5	285:24
	19,20,21,		Schoolmax	289:17
•	23 125:24	281:19		293:13
14,20,25	129:21	282:2,23	87:9	309:7,12,
	131:19,20	283:9,14,	schools	24 310:1,
	133:9,10,	17,19,20	22:7	7,9
50:24		284:5	26:23	325:23
	136:16,17	285:25	30:23	schoolwide
19 55:2,	137:7	286:9,12	31:3,4	187:6
21 56:1,	138:22	287:1,8,	37:24	188:16
3,19,22,	142:4,16,	18	38:2,13,	
23 57:1,	22	288:17,20	19 39:3,7	science
6,8,13,16	143:17,20	289:22	40:13	25:14
60:12,16	145:15	290:21,25	42:16,20	score
66:8,16,	151:24	291:17,21	43:9,12,	
17 67:3	155:7,8	292:2,9,		146:18,22
69:4,10,	156:7,9	23 293:9	23 45:16,	
21 70:4	157:21	294:2,17	18,22,23,	scored
72:21	167:22	295:10,	24 46:20,	147:17
74:23	168:4	17,22	21,24,25	200:24
78:4	177:17,23	299:12	47:4,5,	scores
84:19,20,	179:8,20,	300:5,10		92:11,16
25 85:20	22 180:6	302:7,13,	11,24	215:25
87:8,12	184:14	21,23	57:4,15	216:23,24
88:4	185:7	303:1,13,	78:25	235:1,22
90:13	187:14,	19 304:2,	80:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
92:6	17,25	23,24,25	83:8,12,	236:7
96:15,17,	188:12	305:4	13 85:10,	238:1
21,24	190:7	307:17,	19 97:2	scoring
97:3,6	190:7	18,21	100:13	234:8,9,
			107:12	10,11,19
98:3	200:9,11	310:11,24	116:16,	235:25
99:21	210:20	312:5	17,23	236:4
100:6,14,	211:17	320:19,		



UNITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA			index: screenerservice		
screener	sections	17,22	157:22	112:17	
153:8	206:4	198:13	172:2	191:9	
screening	208:17	202:4	216:15	195:11	
145:25	253:19	209:14	304:11	248:18	
145:25	282:7	210:20	gon gowii	249:4	
153:5	a.a	214:10	sensory 190:14	267:12,15	
153:5	<b>secure</b> 78:24	246:7	290:14	311:12	
SDI	70:24	self-	290:5	served	
38:17	secured	contained	sentence	45:18	
SDQ	181:11	45:8	137:13,	46:4,7	
153:2,4,	segment	111:4	19,22	47:6,14	
11,13	99:23	118:7	138:2,10	60:11,15	
233:4	257:21	110:/	168:15,21	61:2	
234:5,12,		self-	277:9	83:11	
19 235:22	segments	interest	278:8	85:9	
236:4	94:22	222:1	separate	98:12	
237:11,	98:24	self-rated	109:22		
14,22	99:15,21	214:23	117:4	111:16,23	
238:1	100:1	214:23	163:14	228:22	
240:1	segments/	self-	206:22	231:9,24	
240:1	academics	sabotage	283:14	249:8,20	
SDQS	194:24	194:14	285:23	256:8	
242:10		semester	203:23	292:3	
second-to-	SEL	127:4,10,	separately	299:12,	
last	159:5,10	11	71:8	18,21	
169:23	201:12		September	300:4,19,	
186:24	selected	senator	108:18,20	21 311:15	
203:13	166:2,10	291:16	164:25	326:12	
277:5	215:22	send	171:8	serves	
	217:10	109:19	306:7	45:21	
secretary		161:14	300.7	46:1 47:9	
98:19	self-	218:25	serve	52:20	
303:9	advocacy	219:2	20:8,18	54:4	
section	67:16	245:2	26:23	66:5,6,7	
29:16	self-	263:16	30:22	90:9 97:4	
31:25	advocate	284:25	31:3	221:14	
65:4	66:25	293:1	45:11,15,	294:22	
66:10	16		16 46:11	service	
71:22	self-assess	sending	54:8	21:10	
109:4	198:6	203:9	57:14	74:19	
137:12	self-	307:10	61:8 75:8	100:12	
214:16	assessing	308:15	76:11	152:17	
215:23	197:18	sends	79:21	152:17	
251:8,17	self-	124:11	83:8		
254:3,9,	assessment		84:19,20	184:9	
13	197:10,	sense	90:5	185:4,16 254:14	
	± 2 7 • ± 0 ,	48:24	103:24	2J4.14	



December 01, 2022

Index: screener..service

UNITED STATES	V3 STATE OF C	BLOITGIA	max	ex. servicessriow
279:22	183:22	309:4,7,	150:20	17:15,16
321:15	184:13,	10,12,15,	276:2	66:7
	18,23,25	25 310:1,	279:4	116:13
services	185:1,5,	8,15,24	281:1	167:9
19:13,15	11,22	311:22,24		
22:9	186:2	326:12,	sets	shares
27:11,12	201:8,12	16,19,21,	53:19	189:10
44:16	203:22,24	23 327:7	setting	sharing
61:23	205:1,7		22:15,16,	331:10
63:10,20	213:4,12	${ t Services}_{-}$	17 44:8	
65:5,9,15	217:5	${ t revised}_{\_}$	167:22	Shaun
68:25	220:21	july	168:4	324:1
69:4,15		264:10	184:13,	326:1
71:22	221:19	serving	18,24	sheet
72:4,5,	222:20	33:22	185:6,11	190:19
10,16,19	223:7,22		103:0,11	306:14
73:1,4	226:16	49:2	settings	300.14
75:3 92:8	228:15	57:19,22,	21:20	sheets
94:21	229:2,10,	24 58:3	22:6,21	190:23,24
95:1	16 230:1,	59:14	110:18	short
97:13	25 231:1	78:2		
99:19	232:5	130:18	Setup	37:19
100:6,14,	251:9,18	191:11	123:23	75:21
16,21,24	254:4	248:24	severe	269:23
101:12	257:21,22	session	61:14	shortage
103:1,16,	258:5	110:4	167:20	293:6
18	259:11,	157:8	298:14	-1
	20,21,24	245:8		shortages
118:14,	260:6	243.0	severity	293:5
16,23	261:8,10	sessions	63:12	shortest
135:3,19	262:1,12,	68:6,9,	118:16	157:2
136:9,13	18,20,24	12,15	127:5	296:17
147:20	263:19	118:21,25	297:5	
149:2,3	264:19	119:11	shake	show
152:7	265:4,9,	127:12	12:5	65:2
153:17	10 276:4,	156:4,5,	12:5	112:11,
155:6,10	5,12,13,	15,25	share	17,24
161:20	23	157:6,15	62:18	116:25
163:8		166:19	83:1	148:25
167:23	277:18,20	245:14	160:1,2	175:5
169:2	278:3,10		161:22	177:19
173:2,4,	279:10,	set	273:25	203:23
5,14,15	13,14,21,	28:14	282:4	265:22
176:17	23 280:6,	36:16,18	301:12	283:7
177:1,3,	14,19,22	53:3	306:20	299:11
17,21	285:8,10,	134:2,10,	311:5	301:5
178:7,17,	18 286:10	18,23		302:11
19 181:19	295:5	144:22	shared	306:17
	298:1		16:21	



December 01, 2022 Index: services..show

ONTED OTTTEO VS OTTTE OF OLONO			iiide	x. snowingseelal
314:5	signed	sink	160:14	88:2,7,
318:12	255:25	283:24	191:1,19	10,24
329:2,16	295:20	sit	200:5	89:3
330:1	aianifianna	72:7 73:6	205:15	90:9,11,
ahouina	significanc	72:7 73:6 75:2	279:16	14,25
showing 301:23	<b>e</b> 154:15	199:13,18	sitting	91:12,14,
329:21	195:7	222:10	151:14	15,19
329:21	195:7		256:4	92:7,16,
shows	significant	223:15	250:4	18
110:16,21	61:9	245:8	situation	127:21,25
112:6	152:18	site	35:15	130:1,2,
114:22	189:17	26:12	42:4	10 131:6,
115:14	220:22	56:24	78:15	11 239:16
140:16,19	236:2	57:11	221:22	
154:14	242:12	112:12,	291:17	small
256:3	280:12	13,15,20,	situations	66:18
300:3	-1161	22,25		68:5,8,12
302:5	significant	122:24	62:3	118:15,17
303:12	ly	136:25	79:24	212:25
329:7,11	61:5	137:1	178:14	216:9,20
	278:13	200:6	sixth	220:21
shrink	signify	205:13	92:14	Smith-dixon
278:15,25	302:19	224:5	skill	227:23
side	a 1 am 1 m a	287:1		228:7,18
52:10	signing	293:9,11	281:1	229:15
96:11	277:14	294:23	skill-	271:3,16
259:6	similar	305:17	acquisition	
283:17,18	44:13	320:11	170:1	snapshot
284:12	45:3	321:5,7,	skills	126:11
285:14	89:23	8,20	66:11,14,	social
289:22	152:8	323:17,21	18,22	26:18,19,
	196:17	324:10	67:20,21	25 30:20
side-by-	205:7	325:4	68:20	31:5
side	231:15	326:8	69:7 70:8	56:20
125:3	311:9		119:1	57:10,13,
sides	318:15	sites		16,18,19
102:18	325:3	46:12,14,	133:5	58:2
a i am	329:21	17 48:2,	136:2	66:11,14,
sign	a i mm ]	6,11,12,	138:4	16,18,22
102:25	simply	16,17,21,	152:12	67:2,20,
103:2,19,	95:23	25 49:7	254:10	21 68:3,
22 168:25	single	56:25	SLDS	20 69:7,
169:4	31:11	67:24	83:24,25	13,23
250:20	68:9	91:5,11	84:15,17	70:2,5,
255:16	131:9	133:8	85:6	12,14,15
sign-on	154:2	149:14	87:7,16,	115:14
131:9		150:4	19,23	119:1,2
				,
1				



December 01, 2022 Index: showing..social

	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF G	SEORGIA		ecember 01, 20 I-emotionalsp
WIILD STATE	3 V3 STATE OF C	DECINGIA	IIIUEA. SUCIA	i-emotionalspi
120:14	155:25	14,19,21	205:3	162:6
142:15	156:1,25	48:9,10,	228:19	275:9
143:7,16,	157:15	12,13,17,	258:7,18,	specially
17,20	158:4,8	18 57:5,	19 259:1,	38:17
144:1	163:21	9,24 58:8	4 309:16,	127:7,13
145:1	174:17	59:11	19 310:21	
155:23	175:8	66:6	311:21	specialty
159:2,3	178:1	249:11	312:5	39:5
200:11	182:5	293:14	321:19	specific
254:10	185:3	space	322:24	24:2 39:4
327:1	199:24	150:8	323:25	57:11,13
social-	201:16	285:10,11	specialist	86:13
motional	204:22		_	93:2
	208:6	286:7,8,	37:16,22,	112:20
254:6	221:12,15	13 287:4,		126:20
Social/	222:15,19	7 289:18	21 40:4	149:16
motional	223:24	295:5	41:19	181:25
159:12	234:1	310:12	42:11	201:2,4,
solicit	241:8	speak	65:20	6,14
	245:19	12:6	106:12	•
246:12,15	248:23	52:11	167:10	256:11
ort	260:7		200:8,10	258:10,1
19:3	278:2	speakers	222:4	259:3,7
20:18	285:2	330:25	224:7	295:4
30:9	290:5	speaking	230:12	296:21
33:10	298:4,6	178:21	237:4	319:20
41:4 42:7	304:15		specialists	specifical
51:3,8		special	30:2,9,	У
66:19	sorts	24:1	12,17,19	19:2
67:6	28:24	38:1,21,	31:3,9,10	38:23
72:15	156:24	23 39:10,	38:23	50:8
73:19	201:13	15,20,22,	39:1,6	86:13
75:14	sound	24,25	40:11	124:7
76:6,8,20	166:10	42:1	59:19	256:12
81:1 84:7	254:6	44:19	65:11,25	289:4
85:3		45:8	106:5	318:3
87:22	sounded	52:17,18,	115:21	161 1.
91:20	175:2	19 53:5,	116:4	specificity
92:23	sounds	19 54:3,5	117:3,4	146:21
102:25	19:6 31:8	92:9	127:1	175:17
111:19	60:20	118:11	166:22	spectrum
118:5		155:21	167:8	102:18
125:12	sources	176:20	222:3	
127:23	55:24	177:24		speech
135:16	south	195:3,5,	specialized	260:7
146:10	46:21,22	11	118:17	285:8
	47:4,5,6,	204:11,19	161:17,25	spent



ASSANDRA H NITED STATE:	S vs STATE OF C	GEORGIA	Ir	ndex: Spiveysta
50:3,4	stacked	213:4	131:16	18:25
Spivey	178:12	224:3	132:15	20:6,9
144:2	staff	257:24	150:13	24:14,16
144:2	27:6	279:16,	199:11	33:12
spoke	29:25	19,20,23	201:15	40:10
203:18,21	30:23	280:7,8,	211:7,12	41:7,8
204:6	30:23	22 285:11	start	49:21
288:7		305:12		50:6,21
sporadic	32:6,16,	322:7	12:15 108:15	51:1
323:15	19 45:1	323:7		53:2,8,11
323.13	50:17	333:8	131:7	63:5
spreadsheet	53:25		144:21	66:21
93:18	54:18,25	staff's	146:24	67:11,14,
94:19,24	55:3,6,	129:13	147:15	15 74:4,
95:4,7,9,	14,15,16,	staffed	154:9	17 84:8,
14,19,24	19,25	44:25	240:15	17
96:6,8	61:16,18	45:2	272:13	109:16,19
98:4,20,	65:20		277:3	114:4,8
25 102:20	67:5	staffing	294:6	115:2,18,
237:10	70:13	113:8	298:8	25 116:6
301:15	71:9	115:13,21	started	121:2,12
302:2,25	87:10	116:8	16:15	134:2,10,
307:2,4,	89:19	141:23	17:11	18,24
11 308:8	90:4,17	269:18,	18:24	143:18
329:21	112:21	19,21	121:1,10	149:23
	113:4,12,	293:5	122:10	150:13
spreadsheet	21 115:5	327:6	127:19	151:23
also	119:18,24	stairs	143:15	152:9,24
100:5	121:22	285:14	144:5,6,7	153:13,
spreadsheet	122:3,18		172:11	19,23
- 5	135:3	stamped	196:16	157:5
28:20	136:11,19	319:10	222:19	
237:9	139:3	stand	310:12	162:8
	140:12,16	83:25		168:5,9
spring	141:3		starting	172:12,
107:20	163:18	standalone	274:19	19,22
275:13	164:9	21:14	282:8	173:3,17
291:4,11	166:2,11,	standard	313:9	174:3,7,8
SRS-7	14,18	150:8	starts	175:15
142:24	167:16,17	194:1	168:22	176:11,25
75	168:3	212:16	100.22	178:23
Stacey	169:16		state	180:13
249:9	178:8	standardize	9:5,17	195:4
273:11	184:23,25	<b>d</b>	10:7,20	196:2
stack	185:25	197:24	11:1	204:10
177:18,22	191:18	standards	13:21	205:18
	212:11,14	126:8,12	15:25	206:10



### CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D.

December 01, 2022 UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA Index: state's..student

225:2,10,	States	step	201:22	structuring
17 227:12	9:5,11,13	108:23	202:15,20	208:7
228:19,25	10:5,25	185:3,15	203:6,21,	
229:6	15:21	223:10,12	25 204:18	struggled
231:7,12	18:10		205:11	240:24
234:13,15	62:22	steps	206:6,21	struggling
239:17	104:22	218:5	208:11,16	123:5
248:12	140:2,9	Steve	209:6,12,	124:16,
252:13	192:4,14	225:15,20	13,15,21	20,21
258:18	209:17	226:1,2	214:16	125:2,5,
259:10	298:25	249:12,	239:16	15
261:10	299:7	14,16,17	241:17,21	126:15,21
262:14	301:16,17	273:12	243:9,12	127:2
263:5,20	315:9		246:6,13,	131:21,25
266:3,15,	318:16	Steve's	20	217:18
17,19,20	320:2,13	249:12	247:11,	
267:1	323:17,20	Stevenson	19,20	student
268:19	324:14,25	106:4,11,	248:16	28:13
271:6,14,	325:10,13	17 276:22	250:21	37:9
20 272:3,			252:18	61:22
23 273:2	statewide	sties	253:2,19	62:1 64:4
274:12	20:2	205:14	255:15	68:9,17
276:10	122:17	stipend	256:1	69:9,20
280:6	137:14	169:14,	266:3	72:15
291:16	138:18	15,22	282:6	73:15
294:19	153:6	aton	319:14,	74:1
311:12	195:6	stop	17,18	75:13
315:14,18	258:24	12:18	333:9	76:17
318:21	265:17	232:25	333.9	78:16
320:6	status	331:10	strategies	79:21
326:4	140:5	stopping	180:11	82:2
328:24	164:6	172:2	208:15,16	84:1,3,6
	201:10	straight	210:17	86:13
331:1,20	201.10	_	Street	87:7
332:6,13	stay	231:20	9:6	88:19,20
333:7,16	296:17,23	strategic		89:19,25
state's	297:1	32:7,13	Strength	92:6,8,9,
212:15	298:11	134:5	153:1	20 96:15
268:10	305:1,6,	153:6,7	strongest	97:12,16,
324:2	11 323:17	162:16	193:5	17,23
stated	stayed	195:17,	210:3	98:12,14
105:25	231:18	19,25		100:22
253:20,25		196:8,12,	structure	101:16
233.20,23	steered	20,25	155:25	103:15,
statement	29:17	197:3,6,	structured	18,21,24
168:2	STEM	19 198:1,	19:24	127:16
	285:25	19 200:16	20:5	136:8



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF GI	EORGIA		ecember 01, 20 student'ssubj
150:19	87:20	91:4,9,	219:5,12,	305:6,9,
156:10,	88:2	11,16,19	14,25	11,15
11,19	96:17,21	92:14	220:3,7,	307:14,
169:15	100:10	94:20,25	9,15	17,20,22
178:19	101:19	102:24	221:10,	308:1,5,
184:23	104:1	103:1	13,15	9,20,23
185:19,24	157:13	110:17,22	224:12	309:4,7,
186:13,	186:5	111:1,3,	225:17	9,16,19
14,15	218:22	6,23	226:15	311:16,
187:7,25	219:20	116:18	227:7	22,23
188:7,11	242:21	119:6	228:14	312:4,6,
192:20	301:23	124:24	229:25	318:13
193:21		125:6,8	231:9	323:8,12
217:25	students	130:19	233:7,10	16
219:18,23	19:13,20	133:6,19	239:13	326:12,
221:19,22	20:9,18	134:16	241:8,14	17,25
222:5,24	22:17,18	135:4,22	242:20	327:10
223:7,17	27:11	151:9	251:13,24	329:11
228:21	28:15,18	152:15,22	257:15,25	330:2,4
229:2,7,	29:25	156:1,20,	258:7,8,	331:6
17 230:6,	30:7	23 157:22	20 259:5,	
11,24,25	31:24	158:3	13,15,19	students'
231:23	36:13	160:14	267:12	61:12
242:25	39:25	161:18,21	269:23	80:16
243:4	42:15	162:1	275:5	83:17
244:5,10	43:22,25	163:8,13	277:12,16	96:8
257:13	44:2,7,23	167:22	278:11,	217:23
258:9	45:12	173:5,14	14,15,23	218:8
259:7	46:12	177:16	279:1,3,	219:12
262:20	49:2	178:6	17,24	242:3
267:14	60:4,10,	179:22	280:19,22	323:5
276:23	14 61:1,8	181:3,4,	282:12	studying
277:13,	63:10,25	22,23,24	284:5,7,	39:25
17,19,21	64:8,19,	182:4,6	10,22	
281:4	21,24	183:20	285:18	subcategori
296:18,21	67:17	184:5	289:14	es
297:2,6,	69:25	185:1,22	292:1,13	151:22
12,16	72:12,23	186:8	294:25	subject
298:5	73:21,22	188:14,15	296:23	11:9
301:24	75:2,5,8	190:21	297:7	82:17
304:19	76:10,12	191:12	299:16,21	93:14
	77:20			123:22
323:2	78:2	192:9	300:5,10, 19,24,25	133:20
329:13	83:11	197:9		134:17
udent's	85:9	205:9	302:6	148:9
63:21	86:9,14	212:1,19	303:24	161:3
72:8	87:11,12	217:11	304:1,4,	165:1
		218:2	8,10,13	



UNITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA			index. submissionsupports		
171:9	241:17,20	substituted	summer	68:24	
182:19	242:17,20	179:16	264:20	69:4,15	
193:4	258:12	substitutes	291:24	71:7 74:1	
202:15	submits	58:15	gun o v	104:14	
207:10	105:6	50:15	<b>super</b> 129:12	118:23	
225:1	105:0	subtitle	129:12	120:12	
227:24	submitted	193:13	superintend	125:3	
238:16	95:14	success	ent	126:21,25	
244:22	105:17,21	149:9	277:14	135:9,16	
247:11	106:15,20	298:13	superior	136:7	
250:13	107:3		290:1	138:8,22	
255:15	108:8	successful		152:2,7	
256:24	111:11,17	44:9	supervision	153:17	
261:25	113:11	70:10	26:8	155:6,10	
264:6	114:23	180:8	162:24	170:5	
271:5	120:20	181:4	170:5,10,	181:21,	
273:13	169:13	183:24	18,22,23	23,25	
281:18	199:16	186:14	216:12	184:22	
287:18	243:4	194:21	supplement	185:17,	
306:7	291:16	257:15	268:11,22	18,22	
313:11	299:7	280:25		186:11,17	
316:6	submitting	281:2	supplementa -	192:9	
317:3,7	109:23	297:23	1	201:8,17	
328:2	144:21	sufficientl	176:17,19	204:1	
329:10	201:16	У	177:3	205:20	
330:3		285:10	212:2	206:11	
submission	subpoena		257:21	212:6	
237:23	15:6,7	suggest	259:19	215:19	
	18:9,14,	260:12	260:6	222:8,24	
submit	20 62:22	suggesting	supplies	239:15	
51:5	104:23	72:18	50:18	246:9	
80:22	140:3,10	guggogtion	51:2	254:4	
81:7,12,	192:5,14	<pre>suggestion 259:9</pre>	269:25	259:14	
17 95:10,	209:18	259:9	support	275:11	
19 105:8,	298:25	Suite	16:11	278:10	
11 107:6,	299:8	9:7	19:15	279:17,	
11,15,18	301:17	Suits	33:19	19,23	
108:7,22	324:18	294:12	34:15,17	281:5	
109:25	325:7		35:17	326:19,23	
110:9	subs	summarizes	38:3	supportive	
147:13	59:6	214:15	44:7,15	240:23	
197:14		288:15	55:23		
198:15	substitute	Summary	56:2	supports	
201:15	58:20,25	214:10	59:20	16:4	
202:1	59:4,13		61:22	19:12	
237:19	292:4	summative	63:10	20:3,11	
238:1		123:1	03.10	21:24	



December 01, 2022

Index: submission..supports

	ISSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. IITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			Index: supposeteache		
22:19	SWIS	211:11	Tabron	12:11		
30:3 36:6	190:23,24	220:20	52:1,2	30:13		
38:16	switch	221:21	tabs	43:5		
44:11,17	76:4	240:18	90:23	105:10		
58:14		302:7	302:11	119:1,5		
65:10,15	139:12,13 233:1	aa.t.oma	302:11	129:7,8,		
118:19	233:1	systems 29:10	303:12	23 131:7		
135:12,13	sworn		takes	161:16,25		
154:10,	9:20	45:12,14,	265:24	172:18		
19,20	11:23	21,25	taking	194:8,10		
173:4	Synergy	46:8 47:6	10:5	196:5		
176:18,19	76:16,22	54:3	47:19	206:20		
181:2	77:2,18	84:25	58:17	207:20		
182:3	•	129:23	164:10	232:16		
186:10	85:24,25	130:14		248:15		
203:19	86:3,16	138:23	177:20	258:16		
210:10	191:2,4,6	152:2	211:16	280:18		
242:2	304:18	154:10	talk			
275:7,10	system	179:20	65:8 76:5	talks		
277:24	28:9,14	182:5	104:25	173:3		
278:25	29:8	321:15	122:10	180:3		
279:24	31:15		130:14	TAPS		
2,,,,,,	33:7,8,9,	т	136:3	211:7,9		
suppose	10,11,25		146:19,22			
104:13	34:3 46:4		147:19	target		
supposed	84:2,4	T&e	155:1	19:20,22		
50:4	85:18,20	267:21	183:15	30:7		
107:2	87:8	269:10	198:11	31:23		
135:24	96:12,20,	T-K-E-S	199:15	targeted		
136:15	25 97:6	33:4	210:5	39:5		
177:1	104:2		213:15	m - 1 -		
211:23	124:5	tab	218:17	Tasha		
224:2	128:3,14,	81:6,14,	221:14	306:6		
263:12,19	22 129:3,	15 90:25		taught		
285:9	14,21	95:13,15,		42:15,25		
	130:6,8,	18,23	14:13,19	+ o o a b		
Survey	11,13,17	127:21	68:20	teach		
250:19	131:4,6,	199:17	149:18	42:20		
suspended	8,11	298:23	155:5,22	45:5		
305:10	155:18	table	167:5	66:24		
	186:25	53:6	192:21	68:7		
swap	187:3,5,	54:10	214:14	212:8		
276:8	10,14,18,	73:7,14	218:14	teacher		
swear	24 189:1	74:5 75:2	221:12,13	33:7,16		
236:12	190:4,8,	190:2	250:3	37:8		
	20 191:3	223:15	326:9	44:18,19		
Sweeney	205:5	232:4,16	talking	58:7,17,		
323:25	200.0	/	2			



December 01, 2022

UNITED STATES	S VS STATE OF C	BEURGIA	index: te	eacher smanking
18,21,25	130:18,	100:12	80:25	17:18
59:11,14	22,25	101:2	tells	20:17
60:1	131:20	130:23		29:6,14
125:14	135:4,10,	146:5,17	94:21	48:25
126:21	17 138:5	147:17	Temp_005656	77:19
127:2,7,9	150:5,9,	178:18	209:8	92:15
135:21	13,17	179:5	temperament	106:14
144:15	152:16	189:25	269:22	107:2
151:1,3,6	161:19	192:20,24	209:22	108:23
177:24	163:25	194:6	Template	109:15
184:21	181:4,21	197:11	148:14	129:24
185:18	184:1,4	199:23	207:14	131:15
193:12	185:13	200:1,14	temporary	138:11
211:10	191:8,11,	201:11	192:6	141:19
216:5,9,	13 211:5	215:2	209:7	175:6
12,18,19	212:17	229:22	292:3	185:22
219:2	216:6,24	230:14,15	301:20	190:24
269:5,8,	222:2	248:10	301:20	192:22
10,11	251:23	262:13	ten-minute	215:22
290:20	270:2	264:20	296:4	290:2
291:20	279:24	279:19	tend	
293:6	280:16	297:4,24	70:5	territory
	281:1,4	311:11	70:5	231:11
teacher's	292:18	333:14,17	Tennessee	test
125:6	322:16		24:10	92:11
teachers		tearing	tenure	151:18
26:4,6,15	teaches	286:2	23:21	152:14
33:2,14,	58:22	tease		329:12
15 40:1	teaching	152:15	term	
55:8	25:15			tested
56:21	65:17	technical	21:2,6,9,	329:12
58:12,13		41:4 42:5	13,17,22	330:2,4
59:20,22	151:1	technician	22:1,5,20	testified
65:10	211:15	24:25	141:6	9:20
67:4,9	217:3		terminated	
87:11,12		technicians	141:20,21	testify
112:13,16	Teachtown	166:1,21,		15:6
114:7,11,	161:18	22 167:8	termination	testing
14,17,18	164:7,15	technologie	141:7,9,	88:13
122:13,	170:6,7,	s	10,17,18,	92:10
16,19	19	213:1	19	
123:4,7	team		terminology	tests
124:13,	19:23	technology	174:24	233:13
16,19,21,	27:24	212:24	175:3	text
23 125:4	38:5 44:5	213:23	179:16	204:5
126:12,15	71:23	214:1		thankina
129:7	86:10	telling	terms	thanking 176:10
127.				T / O: TO
i e				



December 01, 2022

Index: teacher's..thanking

CASSANDRA HOLIFIELD, PH.D. INITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			December 01, 20 Index: therapeuticti		
therapeutic	84:7	thinking	267:15	76:1	
16:4,11	87:22	60:1	thrust	84:14,16	
19:12,15	89:17	107:4		85:8	
20:3,10	90:15	258:18	138:11	88:6,22	
44:15	92:1	274:25	thumb	92:12	
63:10	96:23	311:2	157:15	97:24	
65:18	125:10	+ h h +	Thursdays	108:9	
68:24	142:19	thought	194:25	113:11	
69:4,15	143:6	44:5	195:13,14	114:22	
70:9	145:15	151:13	195:15,14	115:15,	
104:14	150:18	312:3	tied	22,23	
112:21	155:11	thoughts	109:24	116:4	
113:3	174:1	38:5	258:14,21	120:19	
118:21	176:15	159:7	278:21,23	124:23	
147:20	187:16	274:21	298:13	126:10	
153:17	204:22	283:3	tier	129:5	
154:4,7,	260:7	285:3	118:12,	130:14	
11,16,18,	290:5			132:17	
20,21,23	316:15	thousand	13,15,18,	134:12	
155:1,6,		266:11	19 119:8	135:18	
10,16	things	thread	152:7	139:14,15	
173:5	18:2	124:10	155:25	140:13	
176:18	28:25	127:18	156:20,23	145:12	
181:2	31:15	148:4	157:23	156:9	
197:9	36:4	158:17	158:1	157:2,3,	
201:8,12	38:18	176:1,8	181:24	7,16,20	
254:4	51:9	225:10,14	182:6	158:24	
257:24	65:18	228:12	tiered	163:24	
278:10,24	66:20	229:13	154:19	164:3	
	70:2,5	236:21	212:2	167:9	
285:8 286:10	79:10	237:1	220:20	172:5,8	
297:22	89:13	244:19		174:20	
	126:5	245:17	tiers		
326:11, 16,19,23	188:18	276:20	118:6	175:12,13	
16,19,23	190:15	277:4	152:8	181:12	
herapy	192:23	287:24	192:9	186:20	
68:6,9	197:17	288:13	tight	187:8	
69:23	201:8,13	293:24	240:19	190:10,	
155:24	211:21	294:7	time	12,13 193:9	
156:2,24	222:16	313:10,	9:2 12:22		
157:14	223:6	15,19		194:5	
158:5	246:23	314:18	17:12	196:7	
hing	252:14	316:5	36:4	198:24	
_	255:5	317:1	37:19	199:5	
12:10	282:11,24		42:24,25	204:10	
42:7 51:3	285:5	three-year	48:7 49:8	205:25	
66:19		169:21	59:5	212:24	
76:20			75:16,23	213:22	



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (			ecember 01, 20 dex: time'train
216:10,21	119:4	to-do	tools	325:11
218:23	127:8	257:14	239:18,23	toured
225:15	128:23	+ o d o	240:4,10,	320:13
233:16,23	136:17	<b>today</b> 10:6	25 241:1,	320:13
241:24	137:9		7	town
243:13,16	156:2,4	11:13,17, 24 13:14	ton	91:2
246:11	157:24	20:23	<b>top</b> 15:20	track
252:18	158:5	48:4	32:1	63:24
256:10	194:12	58:24	94:14	64:5,18,
265:24	197:23	58:24	104:13	23 190:20
277:4	220:20	85:12	104:13	303:23
291:21	timina		114:1	304:4,7,9
292:8	<b>timing</b> 105:1	160:16		308:18
295:24	105:1	195:17 252:12	119:21	
296:6,8,	tired	288:8	132:7	tracked
11 297:13	267:2	315:2	146:23	304:6,10
298:8	title		169:22	traditional
314:21	25:23	326:9	184:12	19:16,19
317:24	104:13	331:12	188:16	22:17
324:11,14	192:8	today's	214:19	65:17
325:9	268:20	9:1 14:4,	268:22	99:23,25
330:16	200.20	11,14,17	303:6	100:2
331:12,	titled	15:16	total	127:9
18,23	207:23	Token	99:20	187:20
332:22	214:9	155:15,17	111:1	195:10
333:24	264:9,11	155:15,17	113:12	229:3
334:5	303:7	told	140:20	258:6
• •	titles	18:5	249:5	282:11
ime'	166:16	267:9	272:15	
92:4	167:2	277:19	299:11,	train
ime-	107.2	285:12	23,25	35:19
tamped	TKES	286:12	329:11	164:8
313:17	33:1,3,4,	tomorrow	330:2	180:5,7
imalima	6 122:11,	220:11	+o+o11	330:24
imeline	12,25	220.11	totally	331:1
228:8	123:4	tool	208:20	trained
263:11	124:5,7	92:24	285:23	35:22
298:7,13,	126:16	93:15,21	touch	69:17
15	127:21	94:3,18	68:9	119:24
imelines	130:19	125:18,21	149:7	120:15,
297:11,20	131:1,3,	126:2,10	tough	16,18,19,
imes	5,7,17	132:19	tough 239:10	21 121:6
10:13	132:9	133:4	239 <b>:</b> 10	161:21
	211:11	153:5	tour	163:12,24
32:10	215:25	252:13	288:20	171:19,24
68:14	216:23	254:19	289:21	201:11
78:10	333:10		324:15	269:25



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (	BEORGIA		ecember 01, 202 c: TrainerUh-hu
280:16	212:12,17	transpire	11:25	139:5
rainer	transcript	67:13	truthfully	329:24
35:19	12:3	trauma	13:14	types
	+	119:25	Tu o a doua	38:16
raining	transcripts	120:2,8,	Tuesdays 194:25	65:18
35:13,14,	14:24	16,22,25		135:3
25 36:5	transition	121:8,13,	195:13,14	189:10
44:20	44:21	18,20	turn	
66:11,14	60:24,25	155:13	86:22	typical
67:5,17	61:13	210:23	110:13	18:2
68:20	181:12	210.23	118:4	44:18
69:7	186:11	trauma-	132:1,24	193:3
120:23	213:3,7,	informed	135:1	200:13
121:3,5,	15 217:11	67:22	146:25	typically
10,22,24	218:18	traumas	186:24	13:20
135:9,16	219:21	120:10	329:1	51:22
136:2,6,		120:10	329:1	
18,24	220:7,10,	travel	turned	61:16,19
137:14	11,12	284:22	285:25	70:7
138:4,5,	251:14	b b b	A	103:11
9,15,17	278:16	treatment	turning	108:17,23
161:17	293:13	64:8,14,	62:25	130:20
162:1,2,6	transitione	15,19,23	113:6	137:1,6
164:2,13	d	102:15	165:20	144:24
164:2,13	307:18,21	Tri	211:3	156:5,18
		320:21	214:9	185:12
167:16	transitioni		turns	201:24
170:6,15	ng	trial	147:1	221:21
180:10	43:23	13:23	<u> </u>	224:3
181:25	44:1,3	triangulati	tweak	233:23
184:22	110:17	on	128:3	269:2
186:5	182:4	236:1	tweaked	297:21
212:21	217:14,25	238:5	121:25	330:23
248:14	219:6,13,	230:5		
251:23	16,25	trigger	132:22	typo
257:14	220:5,16	298:4	tweaking	106:21,22
267:22	-	h	206:15	107:2
268:21	transitions	trouble		165:15
281:3,4	186:3	189:18	Twelve	251:21
	translate	269:9	49:12	
rainings	81:20	Troy	Twenty-one	
67:8	01.20	293:25	46:16	<b>U</b>
119:17	transmit			
122:5	81:20,23,	true	type	UGA
136:11	24	41:15	42:5	144:6
137:2,5	h	85:12	90:10	
166:1,3,	transmittin	151:15	98:1,2,5,	Uh-hum
7,15	g	truthful	17 136:6	49:5
	96:2	CIUCIIIUI		65:14



MILED STATES	S VS STATE OF C	JEURGIA	index: i	ullmateryutilizing
66:3,13	umbrella	113:10	19	238:17,23
73:23	42:1	127:7	United	247:24
96:10	235:7	138:12		250:1
101:24		139:1	9:5,10,12	255:24
102:9	unable	155:2	10:5,25	275:22
115:24	319:14	169:1	15:21	287:22
123:18,24	unclear	177:2	18:10	
127:22	263:8	187:23	62:22	updated
128:7	underneath	206:19	104:22	149:17
132:23	235:8	226:10	140:2,9	215:14
133:21	233:0	242:16	192:4,14	252:17,22
139:6	${\tt underperfor}$	275:17,19	209:17	Updates
142:1,10,	ming	299:19	298:25	82:17
25 143:2,	33:16	307:6	299:7	undating
5 148:8,	understand	309:3,14,	301:16,17	updating 247:22
11 149:20	11:3,21,	17,18,21	315:9	Z4/:ZZ
154:5	25 12:17	311:21	318:16	upgraded
158:21	15:23	317:15	320:2,12	192:18
161:4	16:2,10		323:17,20	upload
165:19	17:19	understood	324:14,25	201:1
172:25	18:4 20:1	74:3	325:10,13	201.1
179:1	21:3,7,	170:25	universal	upset
189:4	10,14,18,	175:16	33:10	284:6
204:13	23 22:2,	191:20	IIn i sona i ter	usage
206:24	6,14,21	undertook	University	133:15,
207:21,25	28:12	175:9	24:10,14,	18,24
225:3	30:25		16 39:16, 23 121:12	134:15
237:7	78:17	unenroll	23 121:12	
238:18	90:20,21	229:7 230:23	unlocking	user
239:21	92:5	230:23	285:13	129:12
257:8	107:9	301:2	unquote	utilize
262:5	120:9	301:2	55:14	66:21
264:5	154:3	unenrolled		132:8
271:7	177:10	64:24	unredacted	198:10
273:14	183:19	unified	315:19,21	212:23
276:24	205:14	239:17,22	316:1	214:6
277:8,25	219:9	240:2	318:24	235:15
278:17	226:6		320:7	240:22
289:9	227:2	uniform	upcoming	
293:15	263:18	254:14,18	107:24	utilized
313:13,25	280:1	unilateral	110:18	241:1,2
	309:6,22	177:11	111:10,25	utilizing
ıltimatelv	•		166:3	31:20
_		uni ແນວ		
170:15	understandi	unique	239:16	241:3
170:15 179:25	ng	84:24		241:3 242:6
		_	239:16 <b>update</b> 95:9	



December 01, 2022

Index: ultimately..utilizing

NITED STATES	3 VS STATE OF C	BLUNGIA	IIIuex	: vacancieswe
	version	331:17	198:20	161:21
v	23:12	334:4	320:11	169:16,17
	94:10	videotape	321:5,14,	171:18
acancies	125:23	9:3	18,20,25	174:13
56:13,15	192:18	9.3	322:4,7,	175:16
57:3,10	215:11	view	16,25	176:24
58:7,11	315:19,22	91:19,20	323:3,9,	188:18
59:17,25	318:24	294:23	18	243:1
60:1	320:7	295:15	visual	250:25
290:21	versus	viewing	214:13	263:17
291:20,23	9:5 15:21	91:10		266:1
292:21	263:11		volume	269:8
292:21	203.11	Villages	288:22	272:25
acancy	veteran	73:11	voluntary	293:3,17
59:11	127:10	virtual	141:11,	302:16
144:14	Vickie	186:17	12,13,18	318:13
vacant	106:3,7	211:24	12/13/10	324:19
58:17	109:1	212:9,20	vote	325:8
	123:19	213:11	177:11,20	wanting
racated	124:11	216:10,19	178:3,17	280:14
269:7	148:5,6	217:13		200:14
/anessa	199:4,6,		W	water
51:23,25	21 224:25	virtually		289:8,10
•	225:16	211:16,19		290:4
varied	227:23	217:3	Wait	ways
108:11	243:23	vision	267:1	34:12
varies	256:23	247:21,22	walk	41:23
187:12	261:24	250:1	113:24	274:18
194:8	271:3	254:23	199:11	326:10,15
267:13	276:21	255:2	221:17	
297:5	277:6	1	284:11,19	we/north
	281:17	visit		128:12
vary	287:17	198:25	walk-	weakest
146:11,12	288:4,14	199:3,7	through	209:25
156:15	290:14	294:23	125:17,24	
vendors	293:25	320:16,	walk-	weakness
310:8	294:9	19,21,23	throughs	198:18
verbal	306:5	321:1	122:25	weather
154:25	317:24	323:21	123:7	284:18
154:25	327:24	324:5,7,	132:6	
verbiage	327.24	10,16	Malham	website
252:1	Vickie's	325:4	Walter	99:13
/erificatio	228:7	visitors	42:21,22,	144:16
refilicacio	Victoria	322:22	25 43:6	253:5
93:19	323:25	323:13	45:6	week
23:13			wanted	133:20
	video	visits	92:13	134:17



	OLIFIELD, PH.D. S vs STATE OF (	SEORGIA		ecember 01, 20 dex: weekswrit
220:12	wing	168:19	267:7	159:2
316:7	111:7	work	268:9	327:1
reeks	Winter	25:11	269:22	working
186:13,	262:3	29:8	310:10	41:11
18,22	202:3	32:19	327:2	43:22,25
193:22	wise	33:23	work-around	43:22,25
194:11	260:12	34:9,12	79:9	89:1
303:19,20	withdrawn	35:10	79.9	129:21
321:22	300:25	37:8	worked	167:19
521.22		39:12	18:25	196:16
est	Wolf	41:20,23	19:6	203:2
24:21,22	261:24	43:12	37:15	218:1
estern	319:7	44:12	39:14	222:7
39:16,23	wonderful	50:1,19	43:10,21	239:12
,	145:21	51:1	57:1	252:16
hat-have-		56:24	128:2	261:7
ou	wondering	77:14,15	129:5	265:23
200:25	20:4	78:25	229:24	322:23
hite	28:12	80:3,11	257:6	332:21
42:21,22,	46:6 49:6	103:6	265:22	332:21
25 43:6	70:1 76:8	110:10	worker	works
45:6	79:7,16	114:15	31:5	124:7
324:1	120:17	118:7	57:10,16	274:12
	208:6	129:22	69:23	workshop
hytry	215:11	143:16,20	70:14	159:3
66:22	219:14	144:23	143:7,17	
67:18	220:3	162:12	144:2	world
120:15	230:15	173:8,10	200:11	322:13
154:21	326:10	176:10		wrap
159:3	Woods	188:18	worker's	325:1,15
160:16	202:12	190:16	145:1	
201:12	249:10	191:8,15	workers	Wright
ride	273:12	196:18	26:18,20,	66:5
201:10		198:14	25 30:20	write
219:19	word	203:14	56:20	12:2
256:9	148:12	205:10	57:13,18,	82:23
	161:5	206:11	19 58:2	161:11
iggins	165:2	224:5	66:16	176:15
306:6	182:21	231:8	67:3 68:3	202:19
rindow	207:13	231:6	69:13	228:6
233:15,	245:3	239:12	70:2,5,	237:2
18,23	258:15	241:23	13,16	239:9
234:25	314:6	241:23	115:14	250:18
vindows	315:23	246:12,16	119:2	262:10
234:1	words	22,23	120:15	264:17
77#;T	31:14	250:2,24	155:23	
	131:6	250:2,24		writes



ASSANDRA HO NITED STATES				December 01, 20. Index: writingZoo
165:13	17,21,23,	24 307:17		
229:21	24 108:2,		Z	
313:21	5,16,19,	years		
	21 110:18	17:7		
writing	111:10,	23:19	Zelphine	
36:6	12,14,16,	25:7,9	227:23	
151:21	23,25	42:13,19	228:17	
226:20	112:2,4	46:19,23	229:14	
227:1,9	117:21	47:18,20	271:2,16	
242:14	121:24	61:4	Zoned	
written		67:15	184:14	
69:9	122:1	83:17	185:6	
85:21	126:11	99:6	103:0	
	127:9	105:12	Zoom	
86:13	128:3,20,	107:12	315:4	
168:5	21	121:15		
218:12	131:19,	139:8		
227:6	20,23	140:20,		
231:13	136:16,17	22,24,25		
wrong	137:6,9	142:15,20		
107:4	151:21	142:15,20		
232:3	157:21			
232:3	169:3,14,	163:1		
wrote	22	169:19		
86:25	170:19,21	170:20		
	173:18	239:10		
	180:24	248:20		
Y	195:15	249:6		
		263:11,13		
year	196:15	267:19,25		
28:4	197:6,23	268:23		
32:10	198:16	269:1,2,		
37:20	210:16,20	6,8,10		
47:19	218:24	274:23		
54:19	233:16,17	291:7		
55:3	263:11,14	296:15		
	266:5,9,	298:5		
56:10	11 267:14	302:13		
60:12,16,	268:19			
21 61:2	269:6,7	yesterday		
67:8	274:3	247:17		
72:21	277:10	you-all		
77:8,9	287:9	324:6		
81:8,12	291:6,10	J24:0		
92:25	292:23	Youth		
95:8,10	296:23,	73:11		
97:14,18,	24,25			
24 107:5,	302:23			
7,11,16,	303:1,13,			

